## (I) CALL TO ORDER

(II) APPOINTMENTS
(1) Appointment with the Architectural Review Board (ARB) Chairman to receive the Architectural Review Board's recommendations and comments for items on the agenda requiring architectural review.
(III) OPEN FORUM

This is a time for anyone to address the Planning and Zoning Commission on any topic that is not already listed on the agenda for a public hearing. Per the policies of the City of Rockwall, public comments are limited to three (3) minutes out of respect for the time of other citizens. On topics raised during the OPEN FORUM, please know that the Planning and Zoning Commission is not permitted to respond to your comments during the meeting per the Texas Open Meetings Act.

## (IV) CONSENT AGENDA

These agenda items are administrative in nature or include cases that meet all of the technical requirements stipulated by the Unified Development Code (UDC) and Chapter 38, Subdivisions, of the Municipal Code of Ordinances, and do not involve discretionary approvals.
(2) Approval of Minutes for the August 10, 2021 Planning and Zoning Commission meeting.
(3) P2021-045 (ANGELICA GAMEZ)

Discuss and consider a request by David Bond of Spiars Engineering on Andrew Melzer of Gingercrest Inc. for the approval of a Replat for Lot 8 , Block 1, Meadowcreek Business Center, Phase 2 being a 8.240 -acre tract of land identified as Lots 6 \& 7 , Block 1, Meadowcreek Business Center, Phase 2 Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, situated within the SH-205 Overlay (SH-205 OV) District and the SH-276 Overlay (SH-276 OV) District, addressed as 2301 S. Goliad Street, and take any action necessary.

## (V) ACTION ITEMS

These items are not advertised public hearings and deal with discretionary approvals for the Planning and Zoning Commission related to variances and special exceptions to the technical requirements of the Unified Development Code (UDC) or Chapter 38, Subdivisions, of the Municipal Code of Ordinances.
(4) MIS2021-009 (HENRY LEE)

Discuss and consider a request by Francisco Alverez for the approval of an Exception to allow the construction of a front yard fence for an existing single-family home on a 0.628 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot $61-\mathrm{R}$, Block A, Turtle Cove Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 2 (PD-2) for single-family detached land uses, addressed as 751 Turtle Cove Boulevard, and take any action necessary.
(5) MIS2021-010 (HENRY LEE)

Discuss and consider a request by James Best for the approval of an Exception to allow the construction of a front yard fence for a single-family home on a 2.60 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block A, Best Estate Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District, addressed as 54 Shadydale Lane, and take any action necessary.
(6) MIS2021-011 (HENRY LEE)

Discuss and consider a request by Matt Waivering of the Rockwall Economic Development Corporation (REDC) for the approval of a Treescape Plan and an Alternative Tree Mitigation Settlement Agreement for a 137.448-acre tract of land identified as Tracts 5 \& 6 of the J. H. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 125, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Light Industrial (LI) District, situated within the SH-276 (SH-276 OV) District, generally located at the northwest corner of the intersection of Springer Road and Rochelle Road, and take any action necessary.
(7) Hold an election to elect a Chairman and Vice-Chairman for the Planning and Zoning Commission in accordance with Subsection 03.03 (B) of Article 02, Development Review Authority, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), and take any action necessary.

These items are for discussion between staff and the Planning and Zoning Commission and relate to administrative information and/or cases that will come forward for action or public hearing at a future Planning and Zoning Commission meeting. Public comment on these cases can take place when these items are considered for action by the Planning and Zoning Commission. The anticipated Planning and Zoning Commission public hearing and/or action date for the following cases is September 14, 2021.

## (8) Z2021-032 (HENRY LEE)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Sam Moore of Main \& Main on behalf of Jason Claunch of 7.1 Ridge LLC for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Restaurant with Less Than 2,000 SF with a Drive-Through or Drive-In for the purpose of constructing a restaurant (i.e. Dutch Bros. Coffee) on a 0.57 -acre portion of a larger 8.583 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block A, Sky Ridge Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, situated within Scenic Overlay (SOV) District, generally located southeast of the intersection of Ridge Road [FM-740] and W. Yellow Jacket Lane, and take any action necessary.
(9) Z2021-033 (DAVID GONZALES)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Robert Weinstein of WB Companies on behalf of Richard Chandler of HFS Management, Inc. for the approval of a Zoning Change amending Planned Development District 4 (PD-4) [Ordinance No. 0126] to allow a Mixed-Use Development (i.e. Apartments, Retail/Restaurant, and Office land uses) on a 12.1148-acre tract of land identified as Tract 2 of the D. Atkins Survey, Abstract No. 1, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 4 (PD-4) for General Retail (GR) District land uses, situated within the Scenic Overlay (SOV) District, generally located in between Lakedale Drive and Becky Lane on the eastside of Ridge Road [FM-740], and take any action necessary.

## (10) Z2021-034 (DAVID GONZALES)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Maxwell Fisher of Masterplan on behalf of Saro Partners, LLC for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Light Industrial (LI) District for a 5.07-acre tract of land identified as Tract 2-06 of the D. Harr Survey, Abstract No. 102, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-205 By-Pass Overlay (SH-205 BY-OV) District, located at the southeast corner of the intersection of John King Boulevard and Airport Road, and take any action necessary.

## (11) Z2021-035 (DAVID GONZALES)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Robert LaCroix and Brian Berry of BNSBS, L. P. on behalf of Bradley Gideon for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Neighborhood Services (NS) District for a 2.751acre identified as Tract 1-04 of the S. R. Barnes Survey, Abstract No. 13, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-205 By-Pass Overlay (SH-205 BY-OV) District, located at the southeast corner of the intersection of John King Boulevard and Quail Run Road, and take any action necessary.

## (12) Z2021-036 (HENRY LEE)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Adam Shiffer of the Skorburg Company on behalf of Gordon C. Fogg for the approval of a Zoning Change to amend Planned Development District 91 (PD-91) [Ordinance No. 21-36] to incorporate a 20.00acre tract of land identified as Tracts 22-04 \& 22-05 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, addressed as 505 Clem Road, and take any action necessary.
(13) Z2021-037 (HENRY LEE)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by James Best for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for an accessory building on a 2.60-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block A, Best Estate Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District, addressed as 54 Shadydale Lane, and take any action necessary.
(14) SP2021-024 (DAVID GONZALES)

Discuss and consider a request by Dan Gallagher, PE of Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. on behalf of L. R. Tipton of the Hitt Family, LP for the approval of a Site Plan for a warehouse/distribution center facility on a 43.237-acre tract of land identified as Tract 11 of the J. Lockhart Survey, Abstract No. 134, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the FM-549 Overlay (FM-549 OV) District, located east of the intersection of Corporate Crossing [FM-549] and Capital Boulevard, and take any action necessary.
(15) Director's Report of post City Council meeting outcomes for development cases (RYAN MILLER).

- P2021-037: Final Plat for Lots 1 \& 2, Block A, Isaac Addition (APPROVED)
- P2021-040: Final Plat for Lot 1, Block A, SWBC Rockwall Addition (APPROVED)
- P2021-041: Master Plat for the Homestead Subdivision (APPROVED)
- P2021-044: Preliminary Plat for the Homestead Subdivision (APPROVED)
- Z2021-026: Amendment to Planned Development District 8 (PD-8) for Townhomes (APPROVED; ${ }^{\text {ND }}$ READING)
- Z2021-028: Text Amendment to Article 11, Development Applications and Review Procedures, of the UDC (APPROVED; $1^{\text {st }}$ READING)
- Z2021-029: SUP for a Residential Infill at 104 Reliance Court (APPROVED; 1st READING)
- Z2021-030: SUP for a Residential Infill at 118 Mischief Lane (APPROVED; 1st READING)
- Z2021-031: Zoning Change AG to SF-1 for 2075 Airport Road (APPROVED; 1st READING)


## (VII) ADJOURNMENT

The City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission reserves the right to adjourn into executive session at any time to discuss any matters listed on the agenda above, as authorized by Texas Local Government Code $\$ 55.071$ (Consultation with City Attorney) or any other exception allowed under Chapter 551 of the Texas Local Government Code.

This facility is wheelchair accessible and accessible parking spaces are available. Request for accommodations or interpretive services must be made 48 hours prior to this meeting. Please contact the City Secretary's Office at (972) 772-6406 for further information.

I, Angelica Gamez, Planning and Zoning Coordinator for the City of Rockwall, Texas, do hereby certify that this Agenda was posted at City Hall, in a place readily accessible to the general public at all times, on August 27,2021 at 5:00 PM, and remained so posted for at least 72 continuous hours preceding the scheduled time of said meeting.
I. CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Chodun called the meeting to order at 6:00 PM. Commissioners present were Sedric Thomas, John Womble, Jean Conway, Derek Deckard and Vice-Chairman Jerry Welch. Absent from the meeting was Commissioner Mark Moeller. Staff members present were Planning and Zoning Director Ryan Miller, Planning and Zoning Manager David Gonzales, Planning and Zoning Coordinator Angelica Gamez, Planner Henry Lee, Civil Engineers Sarah Johnston and Jeremy White. Absent from the meeting was City Engineer Amy Williams.

## II. APPOINTMENTS

1. Appointment with the Architectural Review Board (ARB) Chairman to receive the Architectural Review Board's recommendations and comments for items on the agenda requiring architectural review.

A representative from the Architectural Review Board gave a brief explanation concerning the agenda items that were discussed at the Architectural Review Board meeting.
III. OPEN FORUM

This is a time for anyone to address the Planning and Zoning Commission on any topic that is not already listed on the agenda for a public hearing. Per the policies of the City of Rockwall, public comments are limited to three (3) minutes out of respect for the time of other citizens. On topics raised during the OPEN FORUM, please know that the Planning and Zoning Commission is not permitted to respond to your comments during the meeting per the Texas Open Meetings Act.

Chairman Chodun explained how open forum is conducted and asked if anyone wished to speak to come forward at this time.
Patty Griffin
2140 Airport Road
Rockwall, TX 75087
Mrs. Griffin came forward and stated that her issue was not receiving the notices sent for the zoning cases and Historic District expansion.

Chairman Chodun asked if anyone else wished to speak to come forward at this time. There being no one coming forward, Chairman Chodun closed the open forum.
IV. CONSENT AGENDA

These agenda items are administrative in nature or include cases that meet all of the technical requirements stipulated by the Unified Development Code (UDC) and Chapter 38, Subdivisions, of the Municipal Code of Ordinances, and do not involve discretionary approvals.
2. Approval of Minutes for the July 27, 2021 Planning and Zoning Commission meetings.
3. P2021-037 (HENRY LEE)

Discuss and consider a request by Isaac Peña for the approval of a Final Plat for Lots $1 \& 2$, Block A, Isaac Addition being a 6.388 -acre tract of land identified as Tract 1 of the J. A. Ramsey Survey, Abstract No. 186, Rockwall County, Texas, situated within the City of Rockwall's Extraterritorial Jurisdiction (ETJ), addressed as 2185 S. FM-549, and take any action necessary.
4. P2021-040 (DAVID GONZALES)

Consider a request by Jeremy Nelson of McAdams Co. on behalf of Spencer Byington of SWBC Rockwall, LP for the approval of a Final Plat for Lot 1, Block A, SWBC Rockwall Addition, Phase 2 being currently identified as a 21.275 -acre tract of a larger 42.555 -acre tract of land identified as Tract 1-4 of the J. M. Allen Survey, Abstract No. 2, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development 83 (PD-83) District, situated within the SH-205 By-Pass Overlay (205 BY-OV) District, located east of the intersection of Discovery Boulevard and John King Boulevard, and take any action necessary.
5. P2021-041 (DAVID GONZALES)

Discuss and consider a request by Humberto Johnson, Jr. of the Skorburg Company on behalf of Ben Klutts, Jr. of the Klutts Farm, LLC for the approval of a Master Plat for the Homestead Subdivision being a 196.009-acre tract of land identified as Tract 6 of the J. A. Ramsey Survey, Abstract No. 186, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 92 (PD-92), generally located at the northeast corner of the intersection of FM-549 and FM-1139, and take any action necessary.
6. P2021-044 (DAVID GONZALES)

Discuss and consider a request by Kevin Harrell of the Skorburg Company on behalf of Ben Klutts, Jr. of the Klutts Farm, LLC for the approval of a Preliminary Plat for the Homestead Subdivision being a 196.009-acre tract of land identified as Tract 6 of the J. A. Ramsey Survey, Abstract No. 186, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 92 (PD-92), generally located at the northeast corner of the intersection of FM-549 and FM-1139, and take any action necessary.
7. SP2021-023 (HENRY LEE)

Discuss and consider a request by Rob Baldwin of Baldwin Associates, LLC on behalf of Matt Gillen CM Goliad I GO, LLC for the approval of an Amended Site Plan for a Restaurant on a 2.2297-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block 11, Rockwall Centre Corners Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, situated within the SH-276 Overlay (SH-276 OV); the IH-30 Overlay (IH-30 OV); and the SH-205 Overlay (SH-205 OV) Districts, addressed as 2235 S. Goliad Street [SH-205], and take any action necessary.

Commissioner Deckard made a motion to approve the consent agenda. Vice-Chairman Welch seconded the emotion which passed by a vote of 6-0 with Commissioner Moeller absent.

## V. PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS

This is a time for anyone to speak concerning their issues with a public hearing case. If you would like to speak regarding an item listed in this section, please submit a Request to Address the Planning and Zoning Commission (i.e. the yellow forms available at the podium or from staff). The Planning and Zoning Commission Chairman will call upon you to come forward at the proper time or will ask if anyone in the audience would like to speak. Please limit all comments to three (3) minutes out of respect for the time of other citizens.
8. Z2021-028 (RYAN MILLER)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider approval of a Text Amendment to Section 10, Fee Schedule, of Article 11, Development Applications and Review Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] for the purpose of creating a Non-Compliant Structure application fee for Specific Use Permit (SUP) applications.

Director of Planning and Zoning Ryan Miller provided a brief summary in regards to the request. He explained that on May 17, 2021 City Council requested that Staff look into ways to deter residents from constructing non-compliant structures without building permits. Based on this information, Staff proposed establishing an alternative application fee of $\$ 1000.00$ for any structures that were constructed without a building permit and any structures that were constructed not in conformance with the approved building permit. This fee would be in addition to the standard application fee. The amount was derived from estimates of the additional staff time to review these types of requests. In accordance with the Unified Development Code (UDC), the request is being brought before the Planning and Zoning Commission for a recommendation to the City Council. Staff also sent out a 15-day notice that was published in the Rockwall Herald Banner.

Chairman Chodun opened the public hearing and asked anyone who wished to speak to come forward at this time. There being no one indicating such, Chairman Chodun closed the public hearing and brought the item back to the Commission for discussion or action.

Vice-Chairman Welch made a motion to approve item Z2021-028 with staff recommendations. Commissioner Womble seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 6-0.

Chairman Chodun advised that the case will go before the City Council on August 16, 2021.
9. Z2021-029 (HENRY LEE)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ed Cavendish of Cavendish Homes for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Residential Infill in an Established Subdivision for the purpose of constructing a single-family home on a 0.1465 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 28, Block A, Chandler's Landing, Phase 20, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 8 (PD-8) for single-family residential land uses, addressed as 104 Reliance Court, and take any action necessary.

Planner Henry Lee provided a brief summary in regards to the request. The proposed single-family home meets all of the density and dimensional requirements per the UDC. However, garages must be located at least 20 -feet behind the front façade as stated in the UDC. In this case, the garage is 10.75 -feet in front of the front façade but this is not out of character for the neighborhood. If approved, this would be waived but is ultimately a discretionary decision for City Council pending a recommendation from the Planning and Zoning Commission. On July 27, 2021, Staff mailed out 208 notices to property owners and occupants within 500 -feet of the subject property. All Homeowners Associations (HOAs) within 1500 -feet were notified as well.

Chairman Chodun opened the public hearing and asked anyone who wished to speak to come forward at this time. There being no one indicating such, Chairman Chodun closed the public hearing and brought the item back to the Commission for discussion or action.

Commissioner Conway made a motion to approve Z2021-029. Commissioner Thomas seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 6-0.

Chairman Chodun advised that the case will go before the City Council on August 16, 2021.
10. Z2021-030 (HENRY LEE)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ed Cavendish of Cavendish Homes for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Residential Infill in an Established Subdivision for the purpose of constructing a single-family home on a 0.1637 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 12, Block A, Chandler's Landing, Phase 20, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 8 (PD-8) for single-family residential land uses, addressed as 118 Mischief Lane, and take any action necessary.

Planner Henry Lee provided a brief summary in regards to the request. The proposed single-family home meets all of the density and dimensional requirements per the UDC. However, garages must be located at least 20 -feet behind the front façade as stated in the UDC. In this case, the garage is 8.6 -feet in front of the front façade but this is not out of character for the neighborhood. If approved, this would be waived but is ultimately a discretionary decision for City Council pending a recommendation from the Planning and Zoning Commission. On July 27, 2021, Staff mailed out 284 notices to property owners and occupants within 500 -feet of the subject property. All Homeowners Associations (HOAs) within 1500 -feet were notified as well.

Chairman Chodun opened the public hearing and asked anyone who wished to speak to come forward at this time. There being no one indicating such, Chairman Chodun closed the public hearing and brought the item back to the Commission for discussion or action.

Commissioner Deckard made a motion to approve Z2021-030. Commissioner Thomas seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 6-0.

Chairman Chodun advised that the case will go before the City Council on August 16, 2021.
11. Z2021-031 (DAVID GONZALES)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Tino and Judy Liscano on behalf of Jeremy Lance Epton for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Single-Family 1 (SF-1) District for a 4.95-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block A, Epton Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, addressed as 2065 \& 2075 Airport Road, and take any action necessary.

Planning and Zoning Manager David Gonzales provided a brief summary in regards to the request. The applicants are requesting to change the zoning on the property from an Agricultural District to a Single-Family 1 District. The purpose of rezoning the subject property is to subdivide it for the purpose of constructing a single-family home. If this request does go through for approval, it will require a replat in order to subdivide that property. If approved, the subject property will also be required to conform to all the requirements of the SF-1 District. OurHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan indicates the subject property within the Central District and is designated for low-density residential. Staff mailed out notifications to all property owners and occupants within 500 -feet of the subject property as well as notifying all HOAs within 1500 -fee of the property. Mr. Gonzales then advised that he was present and available to answer questions.

Commissioner Deckard asked how many homes could fit on the property under SF-1.
Chairman Chodun asked the applicant to come forward.
Jeremy Epton
2075 Airport Road
Rockwall, TX 75087
Mr. Epton came forward and provided additional details in regards to the request. He also mentioned that they do have a prospective second buyer for the remainder of the property.

Chairman Chodun opened the public hearing and asked anyone who wished to speak to come forward at this time.
Will Hester
405 Fox Hollow
Rockwall, TX 75087
Mr. Hester came forward and wanted clarification on which properties were in question for the zoning change.
Chairman Chodun asked if anyone else wished to speak to come forward; there being no one indicating such, Chairman Chodun closed the public hearing and brought the item back to the Commission for discussion or action.

Commissioner Thomas made a motion to approve item Z2021-031. Commissioner Deckard seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 6-0.

Chairman Chodun advised that the case will go before the City Council on August 16, 2021.

## VI. ACTION ITEMS

These items are not advertised public hearings and deal with discretionary approvals for the Planning and Zoning Commission related to variances and special exceptions to the technical requirements of the Unified Development Code (UDC) or Chapter 38, Subdivisions, of the Municipal Code of Ordinances.
12. SP2021-015 (DAVID GONZALES)

Discuss and consider a request by Greg Wallis of Mershawn Architects on behalf of Kris Sharp for the approval of a Site Plan for a warehouse/office building on a 1.914-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 5, Block A, Sharp Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 46 (PD-46) for Commercial (C) District land uses, generally located on the southside of Springer Road west of the intersection of Springer Road and Data Drive, and take any action necessary.

Planning and Zoning Manager David Gonzales provided a brief summary in regards to the request. The applicant is requesting approval of a site plan for the construction of a 22,000 -square foot office warehouse distribution facility. The site plan, landscape plan, elevations, and photometric plan all generally conform to the technical requirements associated with a property that's developed within PD-46 as well as a Commercial Zoning district. Mr. Gonzales explained that the applicant is requesting 2 variances: one for the building articulation and the other for the Engineering Standards of Design. This is a discretionary decision for the Planning and Zoning Commission and does require a super majority vote for approval. Mr. Gonzales then advised that the applicant and staff were present and available to answer questions.

Chairman Chodun asked the applicant to come forward.
Greg Wallis
1520 E. I-30
Rockwall, TX 75087
Mr. Wallis came forward and provided additional details in regards to the request.
Commissioner Deckard made a motion to approve item SP2021-015. Commissioner Conway seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 6-0.
13. SP2021-021 (DAVID GONZALES)

Discuss and consider a request by Keaton Mai of the Dimension Group behalf of Russell Phillips of Rockwall 205 Investors, LLC for the approval of a Site Plan for a Retail Store with Gasoline Sales on a 1.503-acre portion of a larger tract of land being a 34.484-acre tract of land identified as Tracts 17-5 of the W. W. Ford Survey, Abstract No. 80, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, situated within the SH-205 Overlay (SH-205 OV) District, generally located at east of the intersection of S. Goliad Street [SH-205] and S. FM-549, and take any action necessary.

Planning and Zoning Manager David Gonzales provided a brief summary in regards to the request. The applicant is requesting approval of the site plan for the purpose of developing a retail store with gasoline sales. According to the UDC, a convenience store with gasoline sales is a permitted use by right within the Commercial District. The site plan does meet the standards of the General Overlay district with the exception of the roof design. The Architectural Review Board (ARB) is forwarding a recommendation for approval on this request. The applicant does have the ability to request the variance by providing compensatory measures. This is a discretionary decision for the Planning and Zoning Commission and does require a super majority vote for approval. Mr. Gonzales then advised that the applicant and Staff were present and available for questions.

Chairman Chodun asked the applicant to come forward.
Keaton Mai
10755 Sandhill RD
Dallas, TX
Mr. Mai came forward and was prepared to answer questions.
Commissioner Deckard made a motion to approve item SP2021-021. Vice-Chairman Welch seconded the motion which passed by a vote of $6-0$.
VII. DISCUSSION ITEMS

These items are for discussion between staff and the Planning and Zoning Commission and relate to administrative information and/or cases that will come forward for action or public hearing at a future Planning and Zoning Commission meeting. Public comment on these cases can take place when these items are considered for action by the Planning and Zoning Commission.
14. Director's Report of post City Council meeting outcomes for development cases (RYAN MILLER).

- P2021-038: Replat for Lots 2-7, Block A, Sky Ridge Addition [APPROVED]
- P2021-039: Final Plat for Lot 1, Block A, Rockwall Friendship Baptist Church Addition [APPROVED]
- P2021-042: Replat for Lot 2, Block A, Ladera Rockwall Addition [APPROVED]
- P2021-043: Conveyance Plat for Lots 1 \& 2, Block B, Fit Sport Life Addition [APPROVED]
- MIS2021-008: Alternative Tree Mitigation Settlement Agreement for RISD [APPROVED]
- Z2021-020: SUP for a House of Worship at 1500 Sunset Hill Drive [APPROVED; $2^{\text {ND }}$ READING]
- Z2021-021: SUP for a Mini-Warehouse Facility at 1280 E. Ralph Hall Parkway [APPROVED; $2^{N D}$ READING]
- Z2021-022: SUP for a Residential Infill at 147 Eva Place [APPROVED; 2ND READING]
- Z2021-024: Zoning Amendment to Planned Development District 46 (PD-46) [APPROVED; $2^{\text {ND }}$ READING]
- Z2021-025: SUP for a Residential Infill at 154 Lynne Drive [APPROVED; $2^{N D}$ READING]
- Z2021-026: Amendment to Planned Development District 8 (PD-8) [APPROVED; $11^{S T}$ READING]

Director of Planning and Zoning Ryan Miller provided a brief update about the outcome of the above referenced cases at the City Council meeting.

Chairman Chodun adjourned the meeting at $6: 44 \mathrm{pm}$.
PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE PLANNING \& ZONING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, Texas, this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2021.

Eric Chodun, Chairman

## Attest:

Angelica Gamez, Planning and Zoning Coordinator

TO:
DATE:
APPLICANT:
CASE NUMBER:

Planning and Zoning Commission
August 31, 2021
David Bond
P2021-045; Lot 8, Block 1, Meadowcreek Business Center, Phase II Addition

## SUMMARY

Discuss and consider a request by David Bond of Spiars Engineering on Andrew Melzer of Gingercrest Inc. for the approval of a Replat for Lot 8 , Block 1, Meadowcreek Business Center, Phase 2 being a 8.240 -acre tract of land identified as Lots 6 \& 7 , Block 1, Meadowcreek Business Center, Phase 2 Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, situated within the SH-205 Overlay (SH-205 OV) District and the SH-276 Overlay (SH-276 OV) District, addressed as 2301 S. Goliad Street, and take any action necessary.

## PLAT INFORMATION

$\square$ The applicant is requesting the approval of a replat combining two (2) parcels of land (i.e. Lots 6 \& 7, Block 1, Meadowcreek Business Center, Phase 2 Addition) into one (1) parcel of land (i.e., Lot 8, Block 1, Meadowcreek Business Center, Phase 2 Addition).

च On May 11, 2010, the Planning and Zoning Commission approved a site plan [Case No. SP2010-006] for a retail store with gasoline sales at 2301 S. Goliad Street. This facility (i.e. RaceTrac) was constructed in 2010-2011 and has been in operation since late 2011. On January 12, 2021, the Planning and Zoning Commission approved an amended site plan [Case No. SP2020-033] allowing the construction of an additional gas canopy, separate from the existing general retail store with gasoline sales. On June 29, 2021, the Planning and Zoning Commission approved a subsequent amended site plan [Case No. SP2021-019] reducing the size of the canopy's square footage by 580 SF (i.e. total 2,040 SF) and reducing the number of fuel dispensers from five (5) to four (4).
$\square$ The surveyor has completed the majority of the technical revisions requested by staff, and this plat -- conforming to the requirements for plats as stipulated by the Chapter 38, Subdivisions, of the Municipal Code of Ordinances -- is recommended for conditional approval pending the completion of final technical modifications and submittal requirements.

V Conditional approval of this plat by the City Council shall constitute approval subject to the conditions stipulated in the Conditions of Approval section below.
$\square$ With the exception of the items listed in the Conditions of Approval section of this case memo, this plat is in substantial compliance with the requirements of Chapter 38, Subdivisions, of the Municipal Code of Ordinances.

## CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

If the Planning and Zoning Commission chooses to recommend approval of the replat for Lot 8, Block 1, Meadowcreek Business Center, Phase II Addition, staff would propose the following conditions of approval:
(1) All technical comments from the Engineering, Planning and Fire Departments shall be addressed prior to the filing of this plat; and,
(2) Any construction resulting from the approval of this plat shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government.

CITY OF ROCKWALL
385 S. GOLIAD STREET
ROCKWALL, TEXAS 75087
PHONE: (972) 771-7700

## DATE: 8/26/2021

PROJECT NAME:
SITE ADDRESS/LOCATIONS:

P2021-045
Lot 8, Block 1, Meadowcreek Business Center Phase II 2301 S GOLIAD ST, ROCKWALL, 75032

CASE MANAGER: CASE MANAGER PHONE: CASE MANAGER EMAIL:

Angelica Gamez 972-772-6438 agamez@rockwall.com

CASE CAPTION: Discuss and consider a request by David Bond of Spiars Engineering on Andrew Melzer of Gingercrest Inc. for the approval of a Replat for Lot 8, Block 1, Meadowcreek Business Center, Phase 2 being a 8.240-acre tract of land identified as Lots $6 \& 7$, Block 1, Meadowcreek Business Center, Phase 2 Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, situated within the SH-205 Overlay (SH-205 OV) District and the SH-276 Overlay (SH-276 OV) District, addressed as 2301 S. Goliad Street, and take any action necessary.

| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PLANNING | Angelica Gamez | 08/26/2021 | Approved w/ Comments |

## 08/26/2021: P2021-045; Lot 8, Block 1, Meadowcreek Business Center, Phase II

Please address the following comments ( $\mathrm{M}=$ Mandatory Comments; I Informational Comments)
I. 1 This is a request for the approval of a Replat for Lot 8, Block 1, Lot 8, Block 1, Meadowcreek Business Center, Phase 2 being a 8.240-acre tract of land identified as Lots 6 \& 7 , Block 1, Meadowcreek Business Center, Phase 2 Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, situated within the SH-205 Overlay (SH-205
OV) District and the SH-276 Overlay (SH-276 OV) District, addressed as 2301 S. Goliad Street.
I. 2 For questions or comments concerning this case please contact Angelica Gamez in the Planning Department at (972) 772-6438 or email agamez@rockwall.com.
M. 3 For reference, include the case number (P2021-045) in the lower right-hand corner of all pages on future submittals.
M. 4 Please tie in and label two (2) corners to state plane coordinates on Sheet 1.
M. 5 Please label a 15 -foot building line adjacent to the primary roadways SH-205 and SH-276.
M. 6 Please add the owner information to the adjacent properties.
M. 7 Please add/change the Standard Plat Wording/Dedication to the following below on Sheet 2 :

OWNER'S CERTIFICATION [PUBLIC DEDICATION]
STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF ROCKWALL
WHEREAS [OWNER'S NAME], BEING THE OWNER OF A TRACT OF land in the County of Rockwall, State of Texas, said tract being described as follows: [LEGAL DESCRIPTION]
NOW, THEREFORE, KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS:

STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF ROCKWALL
I (we) the undersigned owner(s) of the land shown on this plat, and designated herein as the [SUBDIVISION NAME] subdivision to the City of Rockwall, Texas, and whose name is subscribed hereto, hereby dedicate to the use of the public forever all streets, alleys, parks, water courses, drains, easements and public places thereon shown on the purpose
and consideration therein expressed. I (we) further certify that all other parties who have a mortgage or lien interest in the [SUBDIVISION NAME] subdivision have been notified and signed this plat. I (we) understand and do hereby reserve the easement strips shown on this plat for the purposes stated and for the mutual use and accommodation of all utilities desiring to use or using same. I (we) also understand the following;

1. No buildings shall be constructed or placed upon, over, or across the utility easements as described herein
2. Any public utility shall have the right to remove and keep removed all or part of any buildings, fences, trees, shrubs, or other growths or improvements which in any way endanger or interfere with construction, maintenance or efficiency of their respective system on any of these easement strips; and any public utility shall at all times have the right of ingress or egress to, from and upon the said easement strips for purpose of construction, reconstruction, inspecting, patrolling, maintaining, and either adding to or removing all or part of their respective system without the necessity of, at any time, procuring the permission of anyone.
3. The City of Rockwall will not be responsible for any claims of any nature resulting from or occasioned by the establishment of grade of streets in the subdivision.
4. The developer and subdivision engineer shall bear total responsibility for storm drain improvements.
5. The developer shall be responsible for the necessary facilities to provide drainage patterns and drainage controls such that properties within the drainage area are not adversely affected by storm drainage from the development
6. No house dwelling unit, or other structure shall be constructed on any lot in this addition by the owner or any other person until the developer and/or owner has complied with all requirements of the Subdivision Regulations of the City of Rockwall regarding improvements with respect to the entire block on the street or streets on which property abuts including the actual installation of streets with the required base and paving, curb and gutter, water and sewer, drainage structures, storm structures, storm sewers, and alleys, all according to the specifications of the City of Rockwall; or

Until an escrow deposit, sufficient to pay for the cost of such improvements, as determined by the city's engineer and/or city administrator, computed on a private commercial rate basis, has been made with the city secretary, accompanied by an agreement signed by the developer and/or owner, authorizing the city to make such improvements at prevailing private commercial rates, or have the same made by a contractor and pay for the same out of the escrow deposit, should the developer and/or owner fail or refuse to install the required improvements within the time stated in such written agreement, but in no case shall the City be obligated to make such improvements itself. Such deposit may be used by the owner and/or developer as progress payments as the work progresses in making such improvements by making certified requisitions to the city secretary, supported by evidence of work done; or

Until the developer and/or owner files a corporate surety bond with the city secretary in a sum equal to the cost of such improvements for the designated area, guaranteeing the installation thereof within the time stated in the bond, which time shall be fixed by the city council of the City of Rockwall.

I (we) further acknowledge that the dedications and/or exaction's made herein are proportional to the impact of the Subdivision upon the public services required in order that the development will comport with the present and future growth needs of the City; I (we), my (our) successors and assigns hereby waive any claim, damage, or cause of action that I (we) may have as a result of the dedication of exactions made herein.

Property Owner Signature

## M. 8 Please add the Public Improvement Statement to Sheet 1.

GENERAL NOTES [Please add this to any other notes included on the plat.]
General Notes:

1) It shall be the policy of the City of Rockwall to withhold issuing building permits until all streets, water, sewer and storm drainage systems have been accepted by the City. The approval of a plat by the City does not constitute any representation, assurance or guarantee that any building within such plat shall be approved, authorized or permit therefore issued, nor shall such approval constitute any representation, assurance or guarantee by the City of the adequacy and availability for water for personal use and fire protection within such plat, as required under Ordinance 83-54.
2) Property owner shall be responsible for maintaining, repairing, and replacing all systems within the drainage and detention easements.
1.9 Please be sure to address all comments made by the Engineering Department.
I. 10 This project is subject to all requirements stipulated by the Unified Development Code (UDC) and Chapter 38 of the Municipal Code of Ordinances that are applicable to the subject property.
M. 11 Please provide the Storm Drainage Improvement Statement in the General Notes section.
I. 12 Staff has identified the aforementioned items necessary to continue the submittal process. Please make all revisions and corrections and return to staff as soon as possible for a subsequent review prior approval. The Planning \& Zoning Work Session meeting will be held on August 31, 2021.
I.13 Although this agenda item may be on the consent agenda, staff recommends that a representative be present for all meetings.
I. 14 This City Council meeting date for this case will be September 7, 2021.
I.15 Please note that once the Replat has been approved by the Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council, the case will be considered to be conditionally approved pending all of staff's comments from all City Departments and any applicable conditions of approval contained in staff's case memo are addressed prior to the subdivision plat being filed.

| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENGINEERING | Sarah Johnston | 08/26/2021 | Needs Review |

08/26/2021: M - Label two corners Northing and Easting
M - Add Note: All drainage and detention easements and facilities to be maintain, repaired and replaced by property owner.
M- Need to show and label the flood plain cross-sections and elevations

| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BUILDING | Rusty McDowell | 08/23/2021 | Approved |
| No Comments |  |  |  |
| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| FIRE | Ariana Kistner | 08/23/2021 | Approved |
| No Comments |  |  |  |
| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| GIS | Lance Singleton | 08/23/2021 | Approved w/ Comments |
| 08/23/2021: Please tie two corners to State Plane Coordinate System (grid). NAD83 NCTX 4202 |  |  |  |
| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| PARKS | Travis Sales | 08/23/2021 | Approved w/ Comments |

08/23/2021: no comments

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION
City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087

STAFF USE ONLY
PLANNING \& ZONING CASE NO.
NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE. SIGNED BELOW.
director of planning:
CITY ENGINEER:

Please check the appropriate box below to indicate the type of development request [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

## Platting Application Fees:

[ ] Master Plat $\left(\$ 100.00+\$ 15.00\right.$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Preliminary Plat ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
(V )Final Plat $\left(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00\right.$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
〔 $\}$ Replat $(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00 \text { Acre })^{1}$
[ ] Amending or Minor Plat (\$150.00)
[ ] Plat Reinstatement Request ( $\$ 100.00$ )
Site Plan Application Fees:
[ ] Site Plan ( $\$ 250.00+\$ 20.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Amended Site Plan/Elevations/Landscaping Plan (\$100.00)

Zoning Application Fees:
[ ] Zoning Change ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Specific Use Permit ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15,00$ Acre $)^{1}$
[ ] PD Development Plans ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ Acre) $)^{1}$
Other Application Fees:
[ ] Tree Removal (\$75.00)
[ ]Variance Request (\$100.00)
Notes:
${ }^{1}$ : In determining the fee, please use the exact acreage when multiplying by the per acre amount. For requests on less than one acre, round up to one (1) acre.

## PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

## Address

Subdivision Meadow creek Business Center Phase 2 Lot 6 \&. 7 Block 1 General Location SE Corner of st. Hwy 205 \& St. Hwy 276

## ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]


[ ] SITE PLANS AND PLATS: By checking this box you acknowledge that due to the passage of HB3167 the City no longer has flexibility with regard to its approval process, and failure to address any of staff's comments by the date provided on the Development Calendar will result in the denial of your case.
OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLLEASE PRINT/CHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACt/original signatures are required]

| I downer Gingercrest Inc. | I Applicant | Spars Engineering |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Contact Person | Andrew Malzer | Contact Person | David Bond |
| Address | P.O. Box 2437 | Address | 765 Custer Rd. |
|  |  |  | Suite 100 |

## NOTARY VERIFICATION [REquired]

Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared $\qquad$ [Owner) the undersigned, who stated the information on this application to be true and certified the following: "I hereby certify that I am the owner for the purpose of this application; all information submitted herein is true and correct; and the application fee of $\$ 464.80$, to
cover the cost of this application, has been paid to the city of Rockwall on this the 3 cover the cost of this application, has been paid to the City of Rockwall on this the . $\qquad$ day of December $\qquad$ , $202{ }^{2}$ By signing this application, I agree
 permitted to reproduce any copyrighted information submitted in conjunction with this application, If such reproductionsisasspchend Neiliregslopse to a request for public information."

Given under my hand and seal of office on this the



City of Rockwall

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.


FINAL PLAT
MEADOWCREEK BUSINESS CENTER PHASE 2

## LOT 8, BLOCK 1,

BEING A REPLAT OF LOTS 6 \& 7, BLOCK

## Cilty of Rockwall Geodedic Control Monuments:





STATE OF TEXAS §
COUNTY OF ROCKWALL \&
WHEREAS, GINGERCREST, INC., a Georgia corporation, is the owner of a tract of land situated in the Joseph Cadle Survey, Center Phose 2, an addition to the City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, according to the replat recorded in Cabinet H, Slides 109, 110 and 111 of the Plat Records of Rockwall County, Texas, being a replat of Lots 4 and 5 , Block 1 of
Meadowweek Business Center Phase 2, and being the samel tract conveyed to Gingercest, Inc., cordeorgia corporation, by
Deed without Warranty recorded in Instrument No. 2015000000065 of the Official Public Records of Rockwall County, Meadowcreek Business Center Phase 2 , and being the same tract conveyed
Deed with Worranty recorded in Instrument No. 201500000006 of
Texas, with the subject troct being more particularly described os follows:

BEGINNING at a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with yellow plastic cap stamped "SPIARSENG" set at the east corner of a Lot 3, Block
1 of Meadowcreek Business Center Phase 3 , an addition to the City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, according to the plat thereof recorded in Cabinet $G$, Page 373 of the Plat Records of Rockwall' County, Texas, and lying in the northwest

THENCE, $N 31^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 229.88$ feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod found lying in the northeast line of said Lot 3 , Block THENCE, N $25^{2} 22^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime}$ W, 38.13 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with yellow, plastic cap stamped "SPIARSENG" set at the north
corner of said Lot 3, Block A of Meadowreek Business Center Phase 3; THENCE, $\mathrm{S} 60^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 220.73$ feet, to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with yellow plastic cap stamped "SPIARSENG" set lying in

THENCE, $N 25^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 371.37$ feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with yellow plastic cap stamped "SPIARSENG" set at the
south corner of a corner clip ot the intersection of scid northeast right-of-way line of State Highway 205 and the south south corner of a corner clip ot the intersection of said northeast riat
right-of-way line of State Highway 276 (variable width right-of-way);
THENCE, N $34^{\circ} 0^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime}$ E, 74.99 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with yellow plostic cap stamped "SPIARSENG" set ot the north

THENCE, $S 87^{4} 45^{\prime} 01$ " E, $1,268.68$ feet to ${ }^{\text {a }} 1 / 2$ inch iron rod with yellow plastic cap stamped "SPIARSENG" set at the
horthwest corner of Lot 1, Block 1 of said Meadowcreek Business Center Phase 1 ; THENCE, $\mathrm{S} 60^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 947.76$ feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING with the subject tract containing 358,937 square feet or
8.240 acres of land. . 240 acres of land.

STATE OF TEXAS \&
COUNTY OF ROCKWALL \&

## now, therefore, know all men by these presents:

That GINGERCREST INC., acting herein by and through its duly outhorized officers, does hereby adopt this plot designating the
herein above described property as MEADOWCREEK BUSINESS CENTER PHASE 2 , LOT 8, BLOCK 1 , an addition to the City of Rockwall, Texas, and does hereby dedicate to the public use forever, the streets, alleys and easements shown thereon of created hereby. The streets and alleys are dedicated for street purposes. The easements and public use areas, as show
and created hereby, are dedicated, tor the public use forever, for the purposes indicated on this plat. No buildings, fences trees, shrubs or other improvements or growths shall be constructed or placed upon, over or across. the easements create
by this plat as shown, except that landscape improvements may be placed in landscape easements if aporoved by the city by this plat as shown, except that landscape improvements may be placed in landscape easements, if approved by the City
of Rockwal: In oddition, utility easements created hereby may also be used for the mulual use and accommodation of a
of puld
putic utilities desiring to use or using the same unless the easement limits the use to particular unilities, said use be bub public
utilities being subordinate to the public's and City of Rockwall's use thereof. The City of Rockwall and public utility entities utilities being subordinate to the public's and City of Rockwal's use thereof. The City of Rockwall and public utility entities
shall have the right to remove and keep removed all or parts of any buildings, fences, trees, shrubs or other improvements
 systems in said easements created hereby. The City of Rockwall and public utility entities shall at all times have the ful
right of ingress and egress to or from their respective easements created hereby for the purpose of constructing reconstructing, inspecting, patrolling, maintaining, reading meters, and adding to or removing all or parts of their respective
systems without the necessity ot any systems without the proctission from anyone.
witness my hand at $\qquad$ Georgia, this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2021

GINGERCREST INC.

> By:
> $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max E. McBrayer, Jr. } \\ & \text { Chief Executive Officer }\end{aligned}$

State of georia §
COUNTY OF COBb §
BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, a Notary Public in and for The State of Georgia, on this day personally appeared acknowledged to me that he executed the same for the purposes ond considerations therein expressed ond in the capacity therein stoted.
GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE this the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2021

Notary Public, Stote of Georgia

RECOMMENDED FOR FINAL APPROVAL

Planning and Zoning Commissio
Date
APPROVED
 This approval shall be invalid unlesss the approved plat for such addition is recorded in the office the County Clerk of Rockwall County, Texas, within one hundred eighty (180) days from soid date of this inal approva.
witness our hands, this __ day of $\qquad$ 20

Mayor, City of Rockwall
City Secretary
$\overline{C i t y}$ Engineer

FINAL PLAT
MEADOWCREEK BUSINESS
CENTER PHASE 2

## LOT 8, BLOCK 1 ,

BEING A REPLAT OF LOTS 6 \& 7, BLOCK 1
8.240 ACRES OUT OF THE

JOSEPH CADLE SURVEY ~ ABSTRACT NO. 207 AN ADDITION TO THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS

TO:
DATE:
APPLICANT:
CASE NUMBER:

Planning and Zoning Commission
August 31, 2021
Francisco Alvarez
MIS2021-009; Exception for a Front Yard Fence at 751 Turtle Cove Boulevard

## SUMMARY

Discuss and consider a request Francisco Alverez for the approval of an Exception to allow the construction of a front yard fence for an existing single-family home on a 0.628 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot $61-\mathrm{R}$, Block A, Turtle Cove Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 2 (PD-2) for single-family detached land uses, addressed as 751 Turtle Cove Boulevard, and take any action necessary.

## BACKGROUND

On September 5, 1960, the subject property was annexed -- along with the other properties that make up the Turtle Cove Subdivision -- by the City Council through Ordinance No. 60-02 [Case No. A1960-002]. According to the January 3, 1972 zoning map, the subject property was rezoned from an Agricultural (AG) District to Planned Development District 2 (PD-2) prior to the establishment of this map. On September 4, 1973, the City Council approved an amendment to Planned Development District 2 (PD-2) [Ordinance No. 73-32] designating the area that makes up the current boundaries of the Turtle Cove Subdivision for single-family, multi-family, neighborhood services, office, and shopping center land uses. On March 18, 1985, the City Council adopted Ordinance No. 85-16 amending Planned Development District 2 (PD-2) and establishing the Turtle Cove Subdivision as a single-family subdivision. On June 20, 1985, the City Council approved a final plat [Case No. PZ 1985-025-01] for the Turtle Cove Subdivision; this final plat created the subject property (i.e. Lots 17-20, Block C, Turtle Cove Addition). On January 5, 1993, the City Council approved a replat for the subject property and establishing Lots 8R, 9R, and 10R, Block C, Turtle Cove Addition. On April 1, 1996, the City Council approved a subsequent replat that establish the subject property as Lots 59-61, Block C, Turtle Cove Addition. According to the Rockwall Central Appraisal District (RCAD), a 2,966 SF single-family home was constructed on the subject property in 1997. On November 14, 2003, the City Council approved a replat that established the subject property as Lot 61R, Block C, Turtle Cove Addition.

## PURPOSE

The applicant is requesting approval of an exception to allow for a front yard fence as stipulated by Subsection 08.03(D)(b) of Article 08, Landscape and Fence Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC).

## ADJACENT LAND USES AND ACCESS

The subject property is addressed as 751 Turtle Cove Boulevard. The land uses adjacent to the subject property are as follows:
North: Directly north of the subject property is the Takeline for Lake Ray Hubbard. Beyond this is the corporate limits of the City of Rockwall followed by Lake Ray Hubbard.

South: Directly south of the subject property is Phase 2 of Turtle Cove Subdivision, which was established on February 17, 1998 and consists of 55 single-family homes. This phase of the Turtle Cove Subdivision is zoned Planned Development District 2 (PD-2). Beyond this is a 100 -foot right-of-way for the Union Pacific Railroad.

East: Directly east of the subject property is a small floodway that is a part of the Takeline for Lake Ray Hubbard. Beyond this is the Lakeridge Park Subdivision, which was established on September 29, 1972 and consists of 87 singlefamily homes. This subdivision is zoned Single Family 10 (SF-10) District.

West: Directly west of the subject property is a parcel of land identified as Common Area No. 4, which is owned by the Turtle Cove Residential Association. Beyond this are seven (7) parcels of land developed with single-family homes and situated within Phase 1 of the Turtle Cove Subdivision. These properties are zoned Planned Development District 2 (PD-2). Beyond this is the Takeline for Lake Ray Hubbard.

## CHARACTERISTICS OF THE REQUEST:

The applicant is proposing to install a 48 -inch high wrought iron fence with a gate along the southwestern property line, which is shared with a parking area to the west that is owned by the Turtle Cove Residential Association. According to Subsection 08.03(D)(2) of Article 08, Landscape and Fence Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "( n ) o fence shall be constructed in the front yard of a residential property without being granted an exception from the Planning and Zoning Commission unless specifically permitted by Subsection 08.03(D)(3)." Subsection 08.03(D)(3) outlines exemptions for certain properties that do not need to request a special exception for a front yard fence. These exemptions include properties in a Single-Family Estate zoning district and model homes, neither of which apply to the subject property. Additionally, Subsection $08.03(\mathrm{D})(2)(\mathrm{b})$ of Article 08, Landscape and Fence Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) states that "(w)rought iron or decorative metal fences that are $50 \%$ transparent shall not exceed 48 -inches in height". In this case, the applicant is requesting a special exception to construct a wrought iron fence, which does meet the requirements for the special exception request; however, front yard fences are discretionary decisions for the Planning and Zoning Commission.

## CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

If the Planning and Zoning Commission chooses to approve the applicant's request for an exception to allow for a front yard fence, then staff would propose the following conditions of approval:
(1) The applicant will be required to obtain a fence permit from the Building Inspections Department;
(2) The fence be limited to a maximum of 48 -inches in the front yard in accordance with the requirements of Article 08 , Landscape and Fence Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC); and,
(3) Any construction resulting from the approval of this request shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government.

City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087
STAFF USE ONLY
PLANNING \& ZONING CASE NO. MSS 2021 - 009
NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.

DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:
CITY ENGINEER:

Please check the appropriate box below to indicate the type of development request [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

## Platting Application Fees:

[ ] Master Plat ( $\$ 100.00+\$ 15.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Preliminary Plat $\left(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00\right.$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$

## Zoning Application Fees:

] Zoning Change ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Final Plat ( $\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00$ Acre)
[ ] Specific Use Permit ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Replat $\left(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00\right.$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Amending or Minor Plat (\$150.00)
[ ] PD Development Plans ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$

## Other Application Fees:

[ ] Plat Reinstatement Request (\$100.00)
Site Plan Application Fees:
[ ] Site Plan $(\$ 250.00+\$ 20.00 \text { Acre })^{1}$
[ ] Tree Removal (\$75.00)
[ X ] Variance Request $(\$ 100.00$ )
Notes:
[ ] Amended Site Plan/Elevations/Landscaping Plan (\$100.00)
${ }^{1}$ : In determining the fee, please use the exact acreage when multiplying by the per acre amount. For requests on less than one acre, round up to one (1) acre.

## PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

Address 751 Turtle Cove Blud
subdivision Turtle Cove lot 61-R Block $A$
General Location

| ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT] |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Current Zoning | PD -2 | Current Use |  |
| Proposed Zoning | Proposed Use |  |  |
| Acreage | Lots [Current] |  | Lots [Proposed] |

[X] SITE PLANS AND PLATS: By checking this box you acknowledge that due to the passage of HB3167 the City no longer has flexibility with regard to its approval process, and failure to address any of staff's comments by the date provided on the Development Calendar will result in the denial of your case.
OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT/CHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACT/ORIGINAL SIGNATURES ARE REQUIRED]


## NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUiRED] <br> Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared <br> $\square$ [Owner] the undersigned, who stated the information on

 this application to be true and certified the following:"I hereby certify that I am the owner for the purpose of this application; all information submitted herein is true and correct; and the application fee of $\$ 100,00$, to cover the cost of this application, has been paid to the City of Rockwall on this the 20 th day of A A g S St, , 20 21. By signing this application, Iagree that the City of Rockwall (ie. "City") is authorized and permitted to provide information contained within this application to the public. The City is also authorized and permitted to reproduce any copyrighted information submitted in conjunction with this application, if such reproduction is associated or in response to a request for public information."

Given under my hand and seal of office on this the $\qquad$
 .202 .


City of Rockwall

Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75032
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.

TO:<br>Ms. Angelica Gamez, Planning and Zoning Coordinator

FROM: Francisco J. Alvarez
DATE: August $18^{\text {th }}, 2021$

## RE: Applicant's Letter of Explanation for a Development Application (Variance Request)

Dear Ms. Gamez:
Pursuant to the 2021 Development Application Schedule at City of Rockwall's Planning and Zoning Department, please consider this letter of explanation as part of your Special Exception approval process.

My family wishes to install a wrought iron fence consisting of vertical pickets spaced out every four inches (4") along eight-feet ( $8^{\prime}$ ) posts with a height of forty-eight inches (48") in our front yard. The fence would include two (2) four-feet (4') side-by-side gates for a total access gate of eight feet (8'). The subject fence has been unanimously approved by the Turtle Cove HOA Board so we plan to install the fence shortly after hearing back from your Department. We have requested markings from utility companies via "Texas 811 " and have a legal start date of August 19", 2021.

Please let me know if you have any questions whatsoever.

Sincerely,


Francisco J. Alvarez




## ALVAREZ RESIDENCE

751 Turtle Cove Blvd, Rockwall, TX 75087 August 20th, 2021

## LOCATION





Property
Creek
Single Neighbor


TO:
Planning and Zoning Commission
DATE:
APPLICANT:
CASE NUMBER:

August 31, 2021
Andrew Thomas
MIS2021-010; Special Exception for a Front Yard Fence at 54 Shadydale Lane

## SUMMARY

Discuss and consider a request by James Best for the approval of an Exception to allow the construction of a front yard fence for a single-family home on a 2.60 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block A, Best Estate Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District, addressed as 54 Shadydale Lane, and take any action necessary.

## BACKGROUND

The subject property was platted on July 30, 1968 as Lots 1 \& 2, Block B, Highland Acres Addition. In 1980, a 2,475 SF singlefamily home was constructed on Lot 1, Block B, Highland Acres Addition, which was addressed as 10 Shadydale Lane. On January 9, 1984, the City Council annexed a portion of the subject property (i.e. Lot 1, Block B, Highland Acres Addition) by approving Ordinance No. 84-05 [Case No. A1984-001]. At the time of annexation, this portion of the subject property was zoned Agricultural (AG) District. In 1986, a 4,068 SF single-family home was constructed on Lot 2, Block B, Highland Acres Addition, which was addressed as 3189 S. Ridge Road. On January 3, 1989, this portion of the subject property was annexed by the City Council through the adoption of Ordinance No. 88-67. At the time of annexation, this portion of the subject property was zoned Agricultural (AG) District. On May 15, 1989, the subject property -- along with the remaining properties in the Highland Acres Addition -- were rezoned from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District by Ordinance No. 89-13 [Case No. PZ1989-001]. The single-family home on Lot 2, Block B, Highland Acres Addition was demolished on April 30, 2007 by Permit No. DEM2007-0016. The subject property was replatted from Lots 1 \& 2, Block B, Highland Acres Addition to Lot 1, Block A, Best Estates Addition by Case No. P2018-032. Following this plat, a permit [i.e. BLD2019-2107] to demolish the existing single-family home (i.e. the home on the previous Lot 1, Block B, Highland Acres Addition) was issued on August 8, 2019. On August 3, 2020, the City Council approved a Specific Use Permit (SUP) [Case No. Z2020-023; S228] to construct a single-family home on the subject property. After approval of the Specific Use Permit (SUP) the applicant received approval for three (3) separate Special Exceptions [Case No. MIS2020-013, Case No. MIS2021-003, and Case No. MIS2021-006] allowing a pre-cast concrete screening wall that exceed the maximum permissible height.

## PURPOSE

The applicant is requesting approval of an exception to allow for a front yard fence as stipulated by Subsection 08.03(D)(b), of Article 08, Landscape and Fence Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC).

## ADJACENT LAND USES AND ACCESS

The subject property is located at 54 Shadydale Lane. The land uses adjacent to the subject property are as follows:
North: Directly north of the subject property are two (2) parcels of land (i.e. Lots 3 \& 4, Block B, Highland Addition) with two (2) single-family homes situated on them. These properties are zoned Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District. Beyond this is Phase 1 of the Fox Chase Subdivision, which was platted on January 16, 1989 and consists of 32 singlefamily homes. This subdivision is zoned Planned Development District 9 (PD-9) for Single-Family 10 (SF10) District land uses.

Directly south of the subject property is Shady Dale Lane, which is identified R2 (i.e. residential, two [2] lane, undivided roadway) on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this are two (2) tracts of land (i.e. Tracts 35 \& 54 of the E. Teal Survey, Abstract No. 207) with two (2) single-family homes situated on them. These properties are zoned Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District. South of these properties is the Benton Woods Subdivision, which was platted on January 13, 1993 and consists of 34 single-family homes. This subdivision is zoned Planned Development District 40 (PD-40) for SingleFamily 10 (SF-10) District land uses.

East: $\quad$ Directly east of the subject property is the Shadydale Estates Subdivision, which was platted on March 5, 2014 and consists of 14 single-family homes. This subdivision is zoned Planned Development District 9 (PD-9) for SingleFamily 12.5 (SF-12.5) District land uses. Beyond this is Phase 4 of the Fox Chase Subdivision, which was platted on February 22, 1995 and consists of 40 single-family homes. This subdivision is zoned Planned Development District 9 (PD-9) for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses.

West: Directly west of the subject property is Ridge Road [FM-740], which is identified as M4D (i.e. minor collector, four [4] lane, divided roadway) on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this thoroughfare is Phase 19 of the Chandler's Landing Subdivision, which was platted on June 27, 1985 and consists of 77 single-family residential lots. This property is zoned Planned Development District 8 (PD-8) for single-family residential land uses.

## CHARACTERISTICS OF THE REQUEST:

The applicant is proposing to construct an eight (8) foot cedar front yard fence, a six (6) foot wrought iron front yard fence, a six (6) foot steel gate, and two (2) seven (7) foot masonry columns for the gate. The subject property is a keystone or corner lot and has front yards along Ridge Road [FM-740] and Shady Dale Lane. According to Subsection 08.03(D)(2) of Article 08, Landscape and Fence Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) states that front yard fences should be "(w)rought iron or decorative metal fences that are $50 \%$ transparent shall not exceed 48 -inches in height". Additionally, Subsection 08.03(D)(2) states that, "(0)paque fences are prohibited in the front yard of residential properties." Based on this, the applicant's proposal will require five (5) variances to the fence requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), which are as follows:
(1) An eight (8) foot opaque cedar fence along the north property line from the existing cedar fence approximately 90 -feet, due west, into the front yard.
(2) A six (6) foot wrought iron front yard fence that connects to the proposed cedar fence on the north property line, follows the build line along Ridge Road, and terminates at the proposed steel gate on Shadydale Lane.
(3) A six (6) foot steel gate in the front yard along the southern build line.
(4) Two (2), seven (7) foot cast stone and stucco columns connecting the gate to the wrought iron front yard fence on the southern build line.
(5) An eight (8) foot opaque cedar front yard fence on the southern build line connecting the proposed wrought iron front yard fence to the existing cast-concrete fence.

According to Subsection 08.03(D)(3) outlines exemptions for certain properties that do not need to request a special exception for a front yard fence. These exemptions include properties in a Single-Family Estate zoning district and model homes, neither of which apply to the subject property. Additionally, Subsection 08.03(D)(2), "(n)o fence shall be constructed in the front yard of a residential property without being granted an exception from the Planning and Zoning Commission unless specifically permitted by Subsection 08.03(D)(3)." All considered, the applicant is requesting five (5) special exceptions to construct a front yard fence, which does not meet the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC). Based on this, this request is a discretionary decision for the Planning and Zoning Commission.

## CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

If the Planning and Zoning Commission chooses to approve the applicant's request for an exception to allow for a front yard fence, then staff would propose the following conditions of approval:
(1) The applicant will be required to obtain a fence permit from the Building Inspections Department, and;
(2) The cedar fence on the north property line shall be limited to a maximum of eight (8) feet in the front yard, and;
(3) The wrought iron fence shall be limited to a maximum of six (6) feet, and;
(4) The automatic gate on the southern property line shall be limited to a maximum of six (6) feet, and;
(5) The cedar fence on the southern property line shall be limited to a maximum of eight (8) feet, and;
(6) Any construction resulting from the approval of this request shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government.

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION
City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087

## Staff USE ONLY <br> PLANNING \& ZONING CASE NO. <br> M1s 2021-010

NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.

DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:
CITY ENGINEER:

PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW TO INDICATE THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT REQUEST [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

| PLATTING APPLICATION FEES: |
| :--- |
| $\square$ MASTER PLAT $(\$ 100.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$ |
| $\square$ PRELIMINARY PLAT $\left(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00\right.$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$ |
| $\square$ FINAL PLAT $(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$ |
| $\square$ REPLAT $(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$ |
| $\square$ AMENDING OR MINOR PLAT $(\$ 150.00)$ |
| $\square$ PLAT REINSTATEMENT REQUEST $(\$ 100.00)$ |
| SITE PLAN APPLICATION FEES: |
| $\square$ SITE PLAN (\$250.00 + \$20.00 ACRE) ${ }^{1}$ |
| $\square$ AMENDED SITE PLAN/ELEVATIONS/LANDSCAPING PLAN $(\$ 100.00)$ |

## ZONING APPLICATION FEES:

$\square$ ZONING CHANGE (\$200.00 + \$15.00 ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
$\square$ SPECIFIC USE PERMIT ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE $)^{1}$
$\square$ PD DEVELOPMENT PLANS $\left(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00\right.$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
OTHER APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ TREE REMOVAL (\$75.00)
VARIANCE REQUEST ( $\$ 100.00$ )
NOTES:
1: IN DETERMINING THE FEE, PLEASE USE THE EXACT ACREAGE WHEN MULTIPLYING BY THE PER ACRE AMOUNT. FOR REQUESTS ON LESS THAN ONE ACRE, ROUND UP TO ONE (1) ACRE.

## PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

ADDRESS $\quad 54$ Shady Dale, Rockwall, Texas 75032
SUBDIVISION
Best Estate
LOT
BLOCK
GENERAL LOCATION
2.6 acres located at the corner of Shady Dale and Ridge Road

## ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

| CURRENT ZONING | James Best | CURRENT USE | Same |  |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| PROPOSED ZONING | Fence variance |  | PROPOSED USE | Same |
| ACREAGE | 2.6 acres | LOTS [CURRENT] | 1 | LOTS [PROPOSED] |

SI LITE PLANS AND PLATS: BY CHECKING THIS BOX YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT DUE TO THE PASSAGE OF HB3167 THE CITY NO LONGER HAS FLEXIBILITY WITH REGARD TO ITS APPROVAL PROCESS, AND FAILURE TO ADDRESS ANY OF STAFF'S COMMENTS BY THE DATE PROVIDED ON THE DEVELOPMENT CALENDAR WILL RESULTIN THE DENIAL OF YOUR CASE.
OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINTICHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACT/ORIGINAL SIGNATURES ARE REQUIRED]



City of Rockwall


# TO: City of Rockwall Planning \& Zoning Department <br> FROM: James Best c/o Andrew D. Thomas <br> RE: Variance Request: Fencing at 54 Shady Dale, Rockwall, Texas 75087 <br> DATE: $\quad$ August 18, 2021 

## Variance Request

The homeowners, James and Kimberly Best, request the Planning \& Zoning Commission ( $\mathbf{P} \& \mathbf{Z}$ Board) grant a variance to City of Rockwall Unified Development Code § 08.08.03(D) allowing the homeowners to:

- Continue an 8-foot cedar fence ${ }^{1}$ approximately 90 feet past the front building façade on the north side of the property;
- Construct a 6 -foot aluminum wrought iron styled fence from the end of the 8 -foot cedar fence to the front set-back line of the property, across the front of the property to the Shady Dale set back line, down Shady Dale to a column on the west side of the driveway;
- Construct two 7-foot cast stone and stucco columns on either side of the driveway with a 6 -foot-tall automatic steel gate between the columns;
- Construct a 6-foot aluminum wrought iron styled fence from the east driveway column to the front building façade; and
- Construct an 8 -foot cedar fence from the front building façade along Shady Dale to the existing 8 -foot cast-concrete fence.

A plat of the property identifying the requested variances is attached as Exhibit $\mathbf{A}$ and the requests are discussed in more detail below.

[^0]
## Brief Statement

The homeowners are senior citizens that travel 12-15 weeks a year. Due to their age and travel schedule, they desire a fully fenced and gated property to protect them and their property when they are away, and to secure the swimming pool being constructed on the property.

The property is a 2.6 -acre tract located at the intersection of Ridge Road and Shady Dale Lane. The property is zoned single family 16 and subject to the General Residential District Standards and Residential District Development Standards. See §§ 05.03.06, 05.03.01, and 05.07.01. The property setbacks are: (1) 25 -feet along Ridge and Shady Dale Roads, (2) 10 -feet in the rear, and (3) 8 -feet to the north. See § 05.07.01.

## Building Code Requirements

The applicable fencing requirements are:
$\mathbf{0 8 . 0 8 . 0 3 ( D ) ( 1 ) ( a )}$ - Side Yard Fences. Side yard fences shall not extend beyond the front façade of a single-family structure. In cases where a structure has a front porch or other encroachment, the fence shall not extend beyond where the front porch or encroachment meets the front façade of the single-family structure (as depicted in Figure 18).
.08.08.03(D)(1)(d) - Corner Lots. Corner lots shall be permitted to construct a fence along the side yard property line adjacent to a street (as depicted in Figure 14); however, in cases where a house is facing in the same direction as the side yard of a corner lot, the side yard fence adjacent to the street shall not extend beyond the front yard building setback (as depicted in Figure 16).
08.08.03(D)(2) - Fences in the Front Yard. No fence shall be constructed in the front yard of a residential property without being granted an exception from the Planning and Zoning Commission unless specifically permitted by Subsection 08.03(D)(3). For the purposes of this provision the front yard is defined as the area between the front façade of the primary structure and the front property line (as depicted in Figure 14). The Planning and Zoning Commission may authorize the issuance of an exception for the construction of a front yard fence subject to the following provisions:
(a) Wood Fences. Wood fences that are $50 \%$ transparent (e.g. as depicted in Figure 14) shall not exceed 42 -inches in height.
(b) Wrought Iron or Decorative Metal Fences. Wrought iron or decorative metal fences that are $50 \%$ transparent (e.g. as depicted in Figure 14) shall not exceed 48inches in height.
(c) Opaque Fences. Opaque fences are prohibited in the front yard of residential properties.

In considering a front yard fence, the Planning and Zoning Commission may require applicants to provide additional information, plans, drawings, and/or other information concerning the proposed front yard fence. In addition, the Planning and Zoning Commission may establish additional conditions of construction for any fence.

## Discussion

## 1. 8-foot cedar fence - north side.

The homeowners have been approved for an 8-foot cedar fence from the northeast corner to the front building façade of the home being constructed. See Exhibit B. The property to the north has a chain link fence running from its southwestern corner west to a point perpendicular to its front building façade. Because the neighboring property is constructed closer to Ridge Road, the chain link fence continues for approximately 90 feet in front of the subject property's front building façade and the neighbor's utility shed sits adjacent to the chain link fence and in front of the front building façade of the subject property. See Exhibit C.



The homeowners want to extend the 8 -foot cedar fence from their front building façade approximately ninety feet to the end of the chain link fence. Pictures demonstrating the style of the proposed 8 -foot cedar fence are attached as Exhibit $\mathbb{D}$. Extending the cedar fence along the chain link fence will not impede the visibility of drivers along Ridge Road or neighboring property owners because the neighboring property is already heavily landscaped with trees and hedges along the fence line preventing visibility through chain link fence. Extending the fence will only affect the subject property and the neighboring property to the north providing additional privacy to both homeowners.

## 2. 6-foot aluminum wrought iron styled-fence.

The homeowners want to construct a 6-foot aluminum wrought iron styled fence from the end of the proposed 8 -foot cedar fence to the front set back line, across the property to the Shady Dale set back line, and then to a point along Shady Dale perpendicular to the front building façade. See Exhibit C. Because of the homeowners' age and travel schedule, they desire a fully fenced and gated property for their protection and security. Having an entirely fenced and gated property would also provide additional security for the lap pool being constructed on the property. See Rockwall Code of Ordinances § 10-425.

A picture demonstrating the style of the proposed 6-foot aluminum wrought iron styled fence is attached as Exhibit $\mathbb{E}$ and a schematic identifying the dimensions of the proposed fence is attached as Exhibit F. The fence will be constructed using 6-foot spires and have a 2 -inch gap underneath the bottom of the fence for drainage.

Construction of a 6-foot aluminum wrought iron styled fence on the front and side of the property is consistent with several fences on nearby properties along Ridge Road. The property directly to

Fencing Variance Request
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the north has a 6 -foot wrought iron fence separating it from the subject property and 4 -foot fences that appear to be within the setback line.

3187 S. Ridge Road


Across the street, Chandler's Landing has a 6-foot wrought iron fence facing ridge road.
Chandler's Landing


Fencing Variance Request
August 18, 2021
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Across the street and to the north of the subject property, several properties have solid, 8-foot wooden fences (at the back of the properties) that face Ridge Road.

5303 Yacht Club Drive


2705 Cedar Court


2701 Cedar Court


Fencing Variance Request
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Due to the heavy traffic on Ridge Road and the speed to which it travels, front yard fences are very common in and around the subject property.

3187 S. Ridge Road


1 Shadydale Lane


3201 Ridge Road


Fencing Variance Request
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## 3. 7-foot cast stone and stucco columns with 6-foot steel automatic gate.

The homeowners want to build two 7-foot stone and stucco columns on either side of the driveway to support and house a 6 -foot steel automatic gate. A diagram of the proposed columns and gate is attached as Exhibit G. The columns would be constructed to match the architecture of the house. The automatic steel gate would provide safety and security to the homeowners.

The proposed columns are consistent in size and material to columns located on nearby properties. With regard to the steel gate, the neighboring property to the north has a wrought iron automatic gate, and across the street Chandler's Landing has two 6-foot automatic gates.

## Benton Woods



3187 S. Ridge Road


## 4. 8-foot cedar fence along Shady Dale

The homeowners intend to connect the end of the 6-foot aluminum wrought iron styled-fence along Shady Dale to the existing cast concrete fence with an 8 -foot cedar fence identical in style to the 8 -foot cedar fence along the northern side of the property.


CITY OF ROCKWALL
BUILDING PERMIT
BUILDING INSPECTIONS DEPT.
385 S. GOLIAD
City of Rockwall
, hre eleax thimisen
ROCKWALL. TX 75087
Phone (972) 771-7709

Schedule Inspections:
hitp i/aww,rockwall comibuildingnspections/rspestionReq.asp

PERMIT RES2021-1285

## TYPEISUBTYPE

Residential Building Permit/Fence Permit

54 SHADYDALE LN, ROCKWALL, 75032


BUILDING INFORMATION

| SFR/MFR: | Proposed SF: | Valuation of Work | Garage SF: | Structure Height: | Lot Size: | Plan Number: |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

PERMIT DESCRIPTION: Install a 8 ft. tall fence made of cedar boards and iron frame and posts as pictured.

## CITY REMARKSIDESC: <br> NEW FENCE SHALL STOP AT THE FRONT CORNER OF THE HOUSE INDICATED BY THE RED LINE, NOT ENCROACH THE FRONTYARD. FENCEIPOSTS SHALL NOT EXCEED 8 FEET IN HEIGHT MEASURED FROM THE GROUND TO THE TOP OF THE FENCE/POST AT ANY LOCATION

NOTICE TO APPLICANT: THIS PERMIT IS ISSUED ON THE BASIS OF INFORMATION FURNISHED IN THIS APPLICATION AND ON ANY SUBMITTED PLANS. AND IS SUBJECT TO THE PROVISIONS AND REQUIREMENTS OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL CODE OF OROINANCES AND ANY OTHER APPLICABLE ORDINANCE. THIS PERMIT IS ISSUED ONLY FOR THE PURPOSE OF ALLOWING CONSTRUCTION OF A BUILDING OR STRUCTURE CONFORMING TO THE CODES AND ORDINANCES OF THE CITY, REGARDLESS OF INFORMATION ANDIOR PLANS SUBMITTED.

SCOPE OF PERBIT: FOR NEW BULLDINGS AND FOR ADDITIONS TO EXISTING BUILDINGS, THIS PERMIT AUTHORIZES ALL STRUCTURAL, PLUMBING, ELECTRICAL, MECHANICAL. WORK TO BE PERFORMED IN THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE BULLDING OR STRUCUTRE AT THIS ADDRESS, IF DONE AT THE SAME TIME OF INITIAL CONSTRUCTION. NO SEPARATE SUBCONTRACTOR PERMITS ARE NEEDED FOR THOSE TRADES. HOWEVER. THE PERMIT HOLDER IS REQUIRED TO USE ONLY SUBCONTRACTORS LICENSES, REGISTERED, OR BONDED BY THE CITY OF ROCKWALL WHERE SUGH REQUIREMENT IS APPLICABLE.

IHEREBY ACCEPT ALL CONDITIONS HEREN ABOVE MENTIONED AND CERTIFY THAT ALL STATEMENTS HEREIN RECORDED BY ME ARE TRUE.

## AGENT OR APPLICANT

(DATE)

## (DATE)

## ESTIHATED VALUE (MFR ONLY):

| Credit Card Processing Fee | $01-4015$ | $\$ 1.00$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Fence Permit Fee | $01-4302$ | $\$ 50.00$ |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

fence


Proposed new cedar fence to
cover chain link fence on neighboring property

Front of my house - Build line present Permit stops fence her.
fence



## Colors Options Accessories





TO:<br>Planning and Zoning Commission<br>FROM:<br>DATE:<br>SUBJECT:<br>Henry Lee, Planner<br>August 31, 2021<br>MIS2021-011; Treescape Plan and Alternative Tree Mitigation Settlement Agreement for the REDC

The applicant, Matt Wavering of Rockwall Economic Development Corporation (REDC), is requesting the approval of a Treescape Plan and Alternative Tree Mitigation Settlement Agreement. The subject property is located on a 137.448 -acre tract of land (Tracts 5 \& 6 of the J. H. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 125) generally located at the northwest corner of the intersection of Springer Road and Rochelle Road. The proposed Treescape Plan and Alternative Tree Mitigation Settlement Agreement indicate that: [1] 2,198.50 caliper inches of trees will be removed from the site, [2] 80 -inches of trees will be planted in the future right-of-way of Discovery Boulevard, and [3] the franchise utilities will be relocated undergrounded in lieu of paying a $\$ 211,750.00$ tree mitigation fee.

Based on the Treescape Plan the total tree mitigation balance is $2,117.50$ caliper inches. If the applicant applied for the maximum tree preservation credit this would equate to them providing $1,677.80$ inches of tree and a payment of $\$ 43,970.00$ (i.e. 2,117.5-inches $\times 20 \%=439.70 \times \$ 100.00 /$ Caliper Inch $=\$ 43,970.00$ ). In lieu of this method of mitigation the applicant has calculated the total cash value of the $2,117.50$ caliper inches of tree to be $\$ 211,750.00$ (i.e. $\$ 100.00 \times 2,117.50=$ $\$ 211,750.00$ ). In addition, the applicant estimated the cost of undergrounding the existing utilities in accordance with the City's ordinances at $\$ 570,475.00$. Based on this, the applicant is requesting to utilize the total cash value of the tree mitigation towards the undergrounding cost of the utilities. In this case the applicant is proposing to do this through an Alternative Tree Mitigation Settlement Agreement. According to Subsection 05(G), of Article 09, Tree Preservation, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) "... the City Council -- upon recommendation from the Planning and Zoning Commission -- may consider an alternative tree mitigation settlement agreement..." Staff should point out that within Chapter 7, Community Character, of the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan, Implementation Strategy \#4 indicates that the City of Rockwall should "(I)dentify opportunities and explore possible incentives for the relocation of existing overhead utilities underground." That being said an Alternative Tree Mitigation Settlement Agreement is a discretionary decision for the City Council pending a recommendation from the Planning and Zoning Commission. In addition, the Planning and Zoning Commission is charged with acting upon Treescape Plans. Should the Planning and Zoning Commission have any questions concerning the applicants request, staff will be available at the meeting on August 31, 2021.

## DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION

City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087

STAFF USE ONLY
PLANNING \& ZONING CASE NO.
NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.

DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:
CITY ENGINEER:

PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW TO INDICATE THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT REQUEST [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

| PLATTING APPLICATION FEES: |
| :--- |
| $\square$ MASTER PLAT $(\$ 100.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$ |
| $\square$ PRELIMINARY PLAT $\left(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00\right.$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$ |
| $\square$ FINAL PLAT $(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$ |
| $\square$ REPLAT $(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00$ ACRE) |
| $\square$ AMENDING OR MINOR PLAT $(\$ 150.00)$ |
| $\square$ PLAT REINSTATEMENT REQUEST $(\$ 100.00)$ |
| SITE PLAN APPLICATION FEES: |
| $\square$ SITE PLAN $(\$ 250.00+\$ 20.00$ ACRE) |
| $\square$ AMENDED SITE PLAN/ELEVATIONS/LANDSCAPING PLAN $(\$ 100.00)$ |

## ZONING APPLICATION FEES:

$\square$ ZONING CHANGE ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
$\square$ SPECIFIC USE PERMIT $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ PD DEVELOPMENT PLANS $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
OTHER APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ TREE REMOVAL (\$75.00)
I VARIANCE REQUEST ( $\$ 100.00$ )
NOTES:
${ }^{1}: \operatorname{IN}$ DETERMINING THE FEE, PLEASE USE THE EXACT ACREAGE WHEN MULTIPLYING BY THE PER ACRE AMOUNT. FOR REQUESTS ON LESS THAN ONE ACRE, ROUND UP TO ONE (1) ACRE.

PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]
ADDRESS

| SUBDIVISION | Rockwall Technology Park Phase $V$ | LOT | BLOCK |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GENERAL LOCATION | East of Data Drive, West of Rachel Rd, North of $\mathrm{SH}-276$ |  |  |

ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

CURRENT ZONING
PROPOSED ZONING
ACREAGE
LOTS [CURRENT]

CURRENT USE
PROPOSED USE


- SITE PLANS AND PLATS: BY CHECKING THIS BOX YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT DUE TO THE PASSAGE OF HB3167 THE CITY NO LONGER HAS FLEXIBILITY WITH REGARD TO ITS APPROVAL PROCESS, AND FAILURE TO ADDRESS ANY OF STAFFS COMMENTS BY THE DATE PROVIDED ON THE DEVELOPMENT CALENDAR WII RESULT IN THE DENIAL OF YOUR CASE.
OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT/CHECK The PRIMARY CONTACTIORIGINAL SIGNATURES ARE REQUIRED]
,
CONTACT PERSON
ADDRESS
Rockwall Economic Development Corp.
Matt Wavering
2610 Observation Til
Suite 104
CITY, STATE \& ZIP Rockwall, TX 75032
PHONE 972-772-0025
E-MAIL mwavering@rockwalledc.com
$\square$ APPLICANT
CONTACT PERSON
ADDRESS

CITY, STATE \& ZIP
PHONE
E-MAIL

NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUIRED]
BEFORE ME, THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHORITY, ON THIS DAY PERSONALLY APPEARED $\qquad$ [OWNER] THE UNDERSIGNED, WHO STATED THE INFORMATION ON THIS APPLICATION TO BE TRUE AND CERTIFIED THE FOLLOWING:



City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75032
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

August 2, 2021
Mr. Ryan Miller
Planning Director
City of Rockwall
385 S. Goliad
Rockwall, TX 75087

Re: Tree Mitigation Waiver Request
Mr. Miller:
The Rockwall Economic Development Corporation plans to install horizontal infrastructure to develop Phase V of the Rockwall Technology Park. Plans include new roadways, public and franchise utilities, a stormwater system, and regional detention pond to open up the future development of approximately 200 acres of Light Industrial zoned land. The proposed online regional detention pond design utilizes the existing contours and natural creek alignment of the existing floodplain. This design will maintain the natural conveyance of flow, limit the amount of grading required, and preserve as many existing mature trees as possible while contributing to the natural wetland prairie aesthetic. The attached tree conservation plan illustrates the proposed project and the trees that will be impacted or protected.

The grading and paving work associated with the above infrastructure will displace roughly 2,224 caliper inches of existing protected trees, as calculated in accordance with the City of Rockwall's tree preservation ordinance. The Rockwall EDC will plant 80 caliper inches of replacement trees in the new Discovery Blvd median to offset the displaced trees, but the net impact will require mitigation of approximately 2,144 caliper inches. In lieu of additional replacement trees, the REDC would be responsible to contribute approximately $\$ 215,000$ to the tree preservation fund.

Considering that the Rockwall EDC is publicly funded and will utilize the issuance of public infrastructure bonds to fund the project, we would like to propose an alternative that may have a greater aesthetic impact. Three franchise utility providers - Oncor Electric, Farmers Electric Cooperative, and Suddenlink Communications - have existing overhead lines running adjacent to Springer Rd and Rochell Rd. The infrastructure project includes widening and improving both of those roadways. In lieu of the funds for tree mitigation, the Rockwall EDC proposes paying to underground those franchise utilities at an estimated cost of $\$ 570,475$. Moving these lines underground before the development of the lots will create a more aesthetically pleasing business park consistent with earlier phases of the development. We believe that this will help further the Rockwall EDC's mission of broadening and diversifying the tax base through new business attraction, land acquisition and development, progressive infrastructure, corporate business expansion, and job creation.

We respectfully request that the Planning \& Zoning Commission consider approval of the
attached Tree Conservation Plan and that the Rockwall City Council consider granting a waiver of the contribution to the tree preservation fund.

Sincerely,


Matt Wavering
Director of Project Development
attachments







| Tree | Species | Diamete at Breas (Inches) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Canopy } \\ & \text { cover } \\ & \text { (feet) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Generalalal } \\ \text { Condito } \end{gathered}$ | Northing | Easting | Remove | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Healthy } \\ & \text { Removed } \\ & \text { (Inches) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { Mitigation } \\ \text { Required } \\ \text { (Inches) } \end{array}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Tree } \\ \text { Number }}}$ | Species | Diamete Height (Inches) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Canopy } \\ & \text { Cover } \\ & \text { (Feet) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Generalal } \\ \text { Condition } \end{gathered}$ | Northing | Easting | Remove | Healthy (Inches) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mitigation } \\ & \text { Required } \\ & \text { (linches) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Black Willow | 14.1 | 16 | Healthy | 7021392.84 | 2612999.18 | Y | 14.1 | 0.0 | 76 | Cedar Elm | 16.1 | 18 | Heathy | 7022006.51 | 2612191.18 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2 | Cedar Elm | 14.5 | 18 | Healthy | 7021392.69 | 2612983.82 | Y | 14.5 | 14.5 | 77 | Cedar Elm | 9.1 | 12 | Healthy | 7022014.28 | 2612204.59 | Y | 9.1 | 9.1 |
| 3 | Hackberry | 11.4 | 12 | Healthy | 7021383.87 | 2612976.42 | Y | 11.4 | 5.7 | 78 | Cedar Elm | 13.0 | 18 | Heathy | 7021999.00 | 2612195.15 | Y | 13.0 | 13.0 |
| 4 | Hackberry | 13.6 | 16 | Healthy | 7021438.96 | 2612947.02 | Y | 13.6 | 6.8 | 79 | Bois d'Arc | 13.9 | 10 | Heathy | 7021804.06 | 2612382.72 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5 | Hackberry | 12.3 | 14 | Healthy | 7021455.58 | 2612954.60 | Y | 12.3 | 6.2 | 80 | Hackberry | 24.3 | 18 | Healthy | 7020676.07 | 2612969.78 | Y | 24.3 | 12.2 |
| 6 | Hackberry | 13.4 | 17 | Healthy | 7021490.14 | 2612974.08 | Y | 13.4 | 6.7 | 81 | Cedar Elm | 6.0 | 9 | Damaged | 7020712.75 | 2612956.25 | Y | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 7 | Cedar Elm | 5.9 | 8 | Healthy | 7021518.24 | 2612970.22 | Y | 5.9 | 5.9 | 82 | Hackberry | 26.9 | 16 | Heathy | 7020709.47 | 2612950.39 | r | 26.9 | 53.8 |
| 8 | Hackberry | 15.3 | 18 | Healthy | 7021559.52 | 2612914.12 | Y | 15.3 | 7.7 | 83 | Cedar Elm | 6.8 | 9 | Heathy | 7020751.40 | 2612938.72 | Y | 6.8 | 6.8 |
| 9 | Hackberry | 15.9 | 18 | Healthy | 7021560.62 | 2612891.78 | Y | 15.9 | 8.0 | 84 | Cedar Elm | 4.8 | 8 | Heathy | 7020742.75 | 2612941.23 | Y | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| 10 | Hackberry | 15.5 | 18 | Healthy | 7021572.35 | 2612866.93 | Y | 15.5 | 7.8 | 85 | Hackberry | 16.0 | 18 | Healthy | 7020755.86 | 2612925.40 | Y | 16.0 | 8.0 |
| 11 | Cedar Elm | 4.8 | 7 | Healthy | 7021585.37 | 2612833.55 | Y | 4.8 | 4.8 | 86 | Hackberry | 21.4 | 25 | Healthy | 7020786.62 | 2612945.92 | Y | 21.4 | 10.7 |
| 12 | Cedar Elm | 8.3 | 12 | Healthy | 7021614.42 | 2612833.73 | Y | 8.3 | 8.3 | 87 | Hackberry | 12.2 | 16 | Healthy | 7020796.79 | 2612940.32 | Y | 12.2 | 6.1 |
| 13 | Cedar Elm | 18.2 | 20 | Healthy | 7021615.19 | 2612799.27 | Y | 18.2 | 18.2 | 88 | Hackberry | 26.0 | 28 | Heathy | 7020811.55 | 2612967.64 | Y | 26.0 | 52.0 |
| 14 | American Elm | 23.7 | 21 | Healthy | 7021647.97 | 2612810.95 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 89 | Hackberry | 14.0 | 11 | Healthy | 7020818.35 | 2612931.72 | Y | 14.0 | 7.0 |
| 15 | Cedar Elm | 9.9 | 12 | Healthy | 7021679.60 | 2612782.44 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 90 | Hackberry | 16.2 | 18 | Healthy | 7020845.84 | 2612937.80 | Y | 16.2 | 8.1 |
| 16 | Cedar Elm | 19.3 | 24 | Healthy | 7021667.94 | 2612768.03 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 91 | Hackberry | 12.1 | 14 | Heathy | 7020849.83 | 2612942.89 | Y | 12.1 | 6.1 |
| 17 | American Elm | 6.5 | 12 | Healthy | 7021680.00 | 2612767.70 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 92 | Hackberry | 24.5 | 25 | Healthy | 7020853.05 | 2612953.31 |  | 24.5 | 12.3 |
| 18 | Cedar Elm | 4.3 |  | Healthy | 7021664.37 | 2612747.92 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 93 | Hackberry | 16.3 | 19 | Healthy | 7020862.79 | 2612915.82 | Y | 16.3 | 8.2 |
| 19 | Hackberry | 11.0 | 15 | Healthy | 7021670.25 | 2612758.88 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 94 | Cedar Elm | 7.6 | 108 | Healthy | 7020872.46 | 2612894.62 | Y | 7.6 | 7.6 |
| 20 | Cedar Elm | 8.9 | 14 | Healthy | 7021648.39 | 2612760.76 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 95 | Cedar Elm | 13.2 | 15 | Healthy | 7020863.74 | 2612850.49 | Y | 13.2 | 13.2 |
| 21 | Cedar Elm | 21.7 | 24 | Healthy | 7021639.62 | 2612746.75 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 96 | Eastern Red Cedar | 11.6 | 12 | Heathy | 7020855.30 | 2612833.54 | Y | 11.6 | 5.8 |
| 22 | Cedar Elm | 12.2 | 15 | Healthy | 7021626.11 | 2612788.75 | r | 12.2 | 12.2 | 97 | Hackberry | 11.6 | 14 | Healthy | 7020868.81 | 2612823.79 |  | 11.6 | 5.8 |
| 23 | Cedar Elm | 5.0 | 9 | Healthy | 7021654.03 | 2612778.17 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 98 | Hackberry | 33.2 | 19 | Healthy | 7020932.65 | 2612878.42 | Y | 33.2 | 66.4 |
| 24 | Cedar Elm | 6.6 | 12 | Healthy | 7021658.19 | 2612795.61 | , | 0.0 | 0.0 | 99 | Hackberry | 13.0 | 17 | Healthy | 7020853.44 | 2610904.47 |  | 13.0 | 6.5 |
| 25 | Cedar Elm | 5.8 |  | Healthy | 7021645.83 | 2612778.03 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | Cottonwood | 11.1 | 14 | Healthy | 7020160.31 | 2610820.75 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 26 | Cedar Elm | 5.4 | 9 | Healthy | 7021630.58 | 2612774.74 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 101 | Green Ash | 5.0 | 7 | Heathy | 7020127.07 | 2610855.31 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 27 | Cedar Elm | 4.0 | 7 | Healthy | 7021631.17 | 2612738.86 | Y | 4.0 | 4.0 | 102 | Black Willow | 28.6 | 15 | Healthy | 7019969.62 | 2611032.16 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 28 | Cedar Elm | 6.2 | 11 | Healthy | 7021628.70 | 2612737.49 | Y | 6.2 | 6.2 | 103 | American Elm | 10.2 | 14 | Healthy | 7019961.69 | 2611151.6 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 29 | Hackberry | 11.8 | 17 | Healthy | 7021639.45 | 2612741.86 | , | 0.0 | 0.0 | 104 | Eastern Red Cedar | 14.3 | 22 | Healthy | 7020895.09 | 2612004.53 | r | 14.3 | 7.2 |
| 30 | Cedar Elm | 4.6 |  | Healthy | 7021667.78 | 2612736.16 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 105 | Hackberry | 11.0 | 14 | Healthy | 7022106.77 | 2611958.31 | Y | 11.0 | 5.5 |
| 31 | Hackberry | 12.2 | 16 | Healthy | 7021724.84 | 2612696.35 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 106 | Hackberry | 11.2 | 15 | Healthy | 7022093.84 | 2612011.85 | Y | 11.2 | 5.6 |
| 32 | Hackberry | 11.4 | 16 | Healthy | 7021725.11 | 2612677.74 | $N$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 107 | Hackberry | 22.0 | 25 | Healthy | 7022043.04 | 2612065.73 | Y | 22.0 | 11.0 |
| 33 | Cedar Elm | 9.6 | 15 | Healthy | 7021749.13 | 2612657.65 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 108 | Hackberry | 15.4 | 19 | Heathy | 7022026.24 | 2612051.19 | Y | 15.4 | 7.7 |
| 34 | Hackberry | 12.8 | 18 | Healthy | 7021757.48 | 2612650.29 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 109 | Hercules-club | 6.0 | 8 | Healthy | 7022034.69 | 2612082.18 | Y | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| 35 | Cedar Elm | 7.5 | 14 | Healthy | 7021759.21 | 2612675.81 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 110 | Hackberry | 12.4 | 15 | Healthy | 7022043.93 | 2612143.94 | Y | 12.4 | 6.2 |
| 36 | Cedar Elm | 6.2 | 10 | Healthy | 7021774.62 | 2612657.47 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 111 | Cedar Elm | 4.1 | 7 | Heathy | 7022057.62 | 2612146.66 | r | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| 37 | Cedar Elm | 5.1 | 12 | Healthy | 7021792.46 | 2612650.20 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 112 | Cedar Elm | 6.9 | 9 | Healthy | 7022059.61 | 2612130.06 | Y | 6.9 | 6.9 |
| 38 | Hackberry | 23.1 | 17 | Healthy | 7021765.96 | 2612617.43 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 113 | Cedar Elm | 12.5 | 15 | Heathy | 7022062.35 | 2612117.06 | Y | 12.5 | 12.5 |
| 39 | Hackberry | 11.2 | 14 | Healthy | 7021770.12 | 2612606.04 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 114 | Hackberry | 12.0 | 15 | Healthy | 7022074.95 | 2612185.09 | Y | 12.0 | 6.0 |
| 40 | Cedar Elm | 4.9 | 7 | Healthy | 7021791.46 | 2612593.88 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 115 | Cedar Elm | 12.3 | 15 | Healthy | 7022070.40 | 2612193.62 | Y | 12.3 | 12.3 |
| 41 | Cedar Elm | 4.2 | 6 | Healthy | 7021786.37 | 2612558.06 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 116 | Hackberry | 14.8 | 16 | Healthy | 7022079.45 | 2612198.52 | Y | 14.8 | 7.4 |
| 42 | Hackberry | 12.1 | 18 | Healthy | 7021784.77 | 2612539.75 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 117 | Cedar Elm | 4.6 | 7 | Healthy | 7022099.07 | 2612184.53 | Y | 4.6 | 4.6 |
| 43 | Cedar Elm | 18.1 | 18 | Healthy | 7021772.73 | 2612523.05 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 118 | Cedar Elm | 4.6 | 8 | Healthy | 7022107.32 | 2612206.24 | Y | 4.6 | 4.6 |
| 44 | Cedar Elm | 8.0 | 13 | Healthy | 7021781.35 | 2612483.73 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 119 | Hackberry | 14.3 | 19 | Healthy | 7022107.19 | 2612216.38 | Y | 14.3 | 7.2 |
| 45 | Hackberry | 12.5 | 15 | Healthy | 7021805.45 | 2612482.39 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 120 | Cedar Elm | 5.4 | 7 | Healthy | 7022096.68 | 2612232.84 | Y | 5.4 | 5.4 |
| 46 | Cedar Elm | 4.3 | 8 | Healthy | 7021827.52 | 2612459.05 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 121 | Cedar Elm | 12.0 | 17 | Heathy | 7022065.01 | 2612217.35 | Y | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| 47 | Cedar Elm | 5.0 | 8 | Healthy | 7021815.89 | 2612449.65 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 122 | Cedar Elm | 5.9 | 9 | Heathy | 7022064.19 | 2612212.75 | Y | 5.9 | 5.9 |
| 48 | Hackberry | 15.5 | 17 | Healthy | 7021811.01 | 2612418.16 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 123 | Cedar Elm | 4.6 | 7 | Damaged | 7022059.57 | 2612210.04 | Y | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 49 | Hackberry | 13.4 | 18 | Healthy | 7021808.75 | 2612408.44 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 124 | Cedar Elm | 12.3 | 15 | Healthy | 7022056.64 | 2612209.82 | Y | 12.3 | 12.3 |
| 50 | Cedar Elm | 27.1 | 25 | Healthy | 7021827.42 | 2612387.69 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 125 | Cedar Elm | 7.3 | 10 | Healthy | 7022049.05 | 2612204.85 | Y | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| 51 | Cedar Elm | 6.3 | 8 | Healthy | 7021864.15 | 2612361.19 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 126 | Cedar Elm | 9.9 | 14 | Healthy | 7022041.26 | 2612210.06 | Y | 9.9 | 9.9 |
| 52 | American Elm | 10.3 | 15 | Healthy | 7021855.94 | 2612340.45 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 127 | Cedar Elm | 4.2 | 8 | Healthy | 7022034.96 | 2612211.75 | Y | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| 53 | Cedar Elm | 4.5 | 8 | Damaged | 7021855.76 | 2612337.10 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 128 | Cedar Elm | 6.0 | 11 | Heathy | 7022038.30 | 2612231.01 | Y | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| 54 | Cedar Elm | 6.6 | 9 | Healthy | 7021835.98 | 2612357.37 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 129 | Cedar Elm | 5.6 | 9 | Healthy | 7022062.72 | 2612237.62 | Y | 5.6 | 5.6 |
| 55 | Eastern Red Cedar | 14.0 | 12 | Healthy | 7021830.71 | 2612337.44 | Y | 14.0 | 7.0 | 130 | Cedar Elm | 6.9 | 10 | Healthy | 7022064.16 | 2612236.81 | Y | 6.9 | 6.9 |
| 56 | Cedar Elm | 9.2 | 14 | Healthy | 7021872.15 | 2612334.85 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 131 | Hackberry | 13.5 | 16 | Healthy | 7022076.23 | 2612244.42 | Y | 13.5 | 6.8 |
| 57 | Common Persimmon | 8.8 | 11 | Healthy | 7021882.94 | 2612292.54 | Y | 8.8 | 8.8 | 132 | Hackberry | 11.2 | 15 | Healthy | 7022113.69 | 2612267.42 | Y | 11.2 | 5.6 |
| 58 | Eastern Red Cedar | 11.0 | 10 | Healthy | 7021873.07 | 2612233.45 | Y | 11.0 | 5.5 | 133 | Cedar Elm | 6.7 | 9 | Healthy | 7022109.71 | 2612289.04 | Y | 6.7 | 6.7 |
| 59 | Cedar Elm | 6.0 | 8 | Healthy | 7021894.96 | 2612277.90 | Y | 6.0 | 6.0 | 134 | Cedar Elm | 14.1 | 19 | Healthy | 7022106.57 | 2612320.78 | Y | 14.1 | 14.1 |
| 60 | Cedar Elm | 5.7 | 8 | Healthy | 7021908.05 | 2612283.66 | Y | 5.7 | 5.7 | 135 | Cedar Elm | 4.3 | 8 | Healthy | 7022106.27 | 2612347.89 | Y | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| 61 | Hackberry | 11.7 | 17 | Healthy | 7021916.98 | 2612281.95 | Y | 11.7 | 5.9 | 136 | Cedar Elm | 6.5 | 9 | Healthy | 7022102.67 | 2612353.91 | Y | 6.5 | 6.5 |
| 62 | Cedar Elm | 6.8 | 8 | Healthy | 7021927.90 | 2612289.94 | r | 6.8 | 6.8 | 137 | Cedar Elm | 13.6 | 16 | Healthy | 7022079.03 | 2612365.58 | Y | 13.6 | 13.6 |
| 63 | Cedar Elm | 4.8 | 8 | Healthy | 7021913.18 | 2612270.42 | $r$ | 4.8 | 4.8 | ${ }^{138}$ | Cedar Elm | 6.4 | 9 | Healthy | 7022080.95 | 2612374.11 | Y | 6.4 | 6.4 |
| 64 | Cedar Elm | 4.2 | 7 | Healthy | 7021916.59 | 2612264.15 | Y | 4.2 | 4.2 | 139 | Cedar Elm | 5.1 | 9 | Healthy | 7022080.26 | 2612377.01 | Y | 5.1 | 5.1 |
| 65 | Cedar Elm | 4.2 | 7 | Healthy | 7021944.72 | 2612262.93 |  | 4.2 | 4.2 | 140 | Cedar Elm | 5.0 |  | Healthy | 7022063.73 | 2612380.68 | r | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| 66 | Cedar Elm | 5.5 | 18 | Healthy | 7021952.01 | 2612244.04 | Y | 5.5 | 5.5 | 141 | Cedar Elm | 5.2 |  | Healthy | 7022056.05 | 2612387.87 |  | 5.2 |  |
| 67 68 | ${ }_{\text {Cedar }}$ Celm | 23.7 10.0 | 18 | Healthy | 7021954.01 | 2612220.12 | Y | 23.7 10.0 | 23.7 10.0 | 142 <br> 143 <br> 1 | ${ }_{\text {Cedar }}$ Celm | 4.0 8.6 | 7 | Healthy | 7022054.12 7022028.06 | 2612391.16 | Y | 4.0 0.0 | 4.0 0.0 |
| 69 | Cedar Elm | 8.0 | 11 | Healthy | 7021993.93 | 2612147.12 | r | 8.0 | 8.0 | 144 | Cedar Elm | 8.2 | 12 | Heathy | 7022006.17 | 2612405.16 | Y | 8.2 | 0.0 8.2 |
| 70 | Cedar Elm | 4.5 | 7 | Healthy | 7021990.37 | 2612151.16 | r | 4.5 | 4.5 | 145 | Cedar Elm | 11.0 | 17 | Heathy | 7022001.66 | 2612391.46 | Y | 11.0 | 11.0 |
| 71 | Cedar Elm | 15.5 | 18 | Healthy | 7022027.88 | 2612144.71 | r | 15.5 | 15.5 | 146 | Cedar Elm | 11.1 | 18 | Healthy | 7022010.85 | 2612374.21 | Y | 11.1 | 11.1 |
| 72 | Cedar Elm | 10.5 | 13 | Healthy | 7022018.08 | 2612151.61 | Y | 10.5 | 10.5 | 148 | Hackberry | 16.5 | 12 | Healthy | 7022139.44 | 2611976.76 | Y | 16.5 | 8.3 |
| 73 | Cedar Elm | 7.0 | 10 | Healthy | 7022006.62 | 2612164.52 | Y | 7.0 | 7.0 | 149 | Hackberry | 13.7 | 12 | Damaged | 7022148.17 | 2611976.43 | Y | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $\begin{array}{r}74 \\ 75 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{\text {Cedar Elm }}^{\text {Cedar }}$ | 14.5 | 17 | Healthy | 7022017.26 70202323 | 22612171.54 | Y | 14.5 | 14.5 | 50 | Hackberry | 14.4 | 16 | Healthy | 7022176.14 | 2611932.37 | Y | 14.4 | 7.2 |
|  | Cedar Elm | 7.3 | 9 | Healthy | 7022023.23 | 2612188.68 | Y | 7.3 | 7.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| $\begin{gathered} \text { Tree } \\ \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | Species | Diamete at Breast Height (Inches) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Canopy } \\ & \text { Cover } \\ & \text { (feet) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { General } \\ \text { Condition } \end{gathered}$ | Northing | Easting | $\underset{\substack{\text { Remove } \\ Y / N}}{ }$ | Healthy Removed (Inches) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mitigition } \\ & \text { Required } \\ & \text { (linches) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 301 | American Elm | 4.3 | 6 | Heathy | 7021599.21 | 2612645.97 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 302 | Hackberry | 17.1 | 18 | Heathy | 7021683.99 | 2612669.07 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 303 | Eastern Red Cedar | 11.3 | 12 | Healthy | 7022139.64 | 2612273.06 | Y | 11.3 | 5.7 |
| 304 | Hackberry | 11.0 | 12 | Healthy | 7022022.98 | 2612176.19 | Y | 11.0 | 5.5 |
| 305 | Eastern Red Cedar | 11.3 | 14 | Healthy | 7021900.23 | 2612204.47 | r | 11.3 | 5.7 |
| 306 | Eastern Red Cedar | 11.0 | 16 | Healthy | 7021596.86 | 2612124.07 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 307 | Eastern Red Cedar | 11.0 | 16 | Healthy | 7021504.04 | 2612119.78 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 308 | American Elm | 7.3 | 10 | Healthy | 7020980.30 | 2612717.78 | Y | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| 500 | Eastern Red Cedar | 12.3 | 16 | Healthy | 7021177.74 | 2611963.16 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 501 | Eastern Red Cedar | 13.3 | 15 | Healthy | 7021098.88 | 2611965.26 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 502 | Eastern Red Cedar | 13.9 | 16 | Heathy | 7020974.53 | 2611977.75 | Y | 13.9 | 7.0 |
| 503 | Eastern Red Cedar | 11.1 | 12 | Heathy | 7021399.10 | 2612048.43 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 504 | Eastern Red Cedar | 12.6 | 18 | Healthy | 7021483.37 | 2612073.84 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 505 | Eastern Red Cedar | 11.3 | 21 | Healthy | 7021497.36 | 2612037.20 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 506 | Cedar Elm | 5.1 | 6 | Healthy | 7021535.78 | 2611995.03 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 507 | Eastern Red Cedar | 11.0 | 16 | Healthy | 7021643.09 | 2611996.10 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 508 | Gum Bumelia | 4.7 | 4 | Healthy | 7021650.26 | 2611978.51 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 509 | Eastern Red Cedar | 11.8 | 18 | Healthy | 7021789.56 | 2611977.44 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 510 | Eastern Red Cedar | 11.3 | 18 | Healthy | 7021907.94 | 2611961.68 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 511 | Cedar Elm | 4.3 | 6 | Healthy | 7022035.25 | 2611979.51 | Y | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| 512 | Eastern Red Cedar | 13.3 | 16 | Healthy | 7021497.64 | 2612204.28 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 513 | Eastern Red Cedar | 11.2 | 12 | Healthy | 7021463.89 | 2612195.40 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 514 | Hercules-club | 4.2 |  | Healthy | 7020981.38 | 2611976.89 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 515 | Eastern Red Cedar | 11.2 | 17 | Healthy | 7021293.68 | 2612176.11 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 516 | Eastern Red Cedar | 12.9 | 15 | Healthy | 7021366.10 | 2612303.43 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 517 | Cedar Elm | 7.3 | 8 | Healthy | 7021398.99 | 2612431.49 | Y | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| 518 | Cedar Elm | 4.0 | 6 | Healthy | 7021697.15 | 2612406.96 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 519 | Hackberry | 14.3 | 10 | Healthy | 7021754.03 | 2612436.12 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 520 | Hackberry | 19.9 | 16 | Healthy | 7021782.36 | 2612435.46 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 521 | Hackberry | 12.7 | 12 | Healthy | 7021744.58 | 2612508.51 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 522 | American Elm | 10.1 | 15 | Healthy | 7021746.05 | 2612511.71 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 523 | Hackberry | 15.3 | 14 | Healthy | 7021720.69 | 2612486.06 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 524 | American Elm | 10.8 | 13 | Healthy | 7021712.15 | 2612524.92 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 525 | Hackberry | 27.9 | 18 | Healthy | 7021711.78 | 2612576.13 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 526 | Hackberry | 11.8 | 14 | Healthy | 7021687.39 | 2612572.54 | , | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 527 | American Elm | 8.4 | 15 | Healthy | 7021687.04 | 2612617.40 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 528 | Hackberry | 11.0 | 12 | Heathy | 7021698.36 | 2612648.51 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 529 | Hackberry | 16.0 | 16 | Healthy | 7021644.96 | 2612606.85 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 530 | Cedar Elm | 4.9 | 7 | Heathy | 7021612.93 | 2612618.30 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 531 | Hackberry | 11.3 | 12 | Healthy | 7021563.58 | 2612675.12 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 532 | Hackberry | 25.6 | 22 | Heathy | 7021584.99 | 2612886.66 | r | 25.6 | 51.2 |
| 533 | Hackberry | 26.4 | 19 | Heathy | 7021563.02 | 2612728.13 | Y | 26.4 | 52.8 |
| 534 | Hercules-club | 5.3 | 7 | Heathy | 7021497.28 | 2612746.63 | Y | 5.3 | 5.3 |
| 535 | Eastern Red Cedar | 12.4 | 16 | Heathy | 7021482.69 | 2612775.80 | Y | 12.4 | 6.2 |
| 536 | American Elm | 5.6 | 9 | Heathy | 7021503.36 | 2612812.24 | Y | 5.6 | 5.6 |
| 537 | Hackberry | 12.4 | 8 | Damaged | 7021456.38 | 2612810.65 | Y | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 538 | American Elm | 5.1 | 12 | Heathy | 7021443.07 | 2612832.17 | Y | 5.1 | 5.1 |
| 539 | Eastern Red Cedar | 12.0 | 18 | Healthy | 7021434.12 | 2612802.05 | Y | 12.0 | 6.0 |
| 540 | Cedar Elm | 4.5 | 8 | Healthy | 7021434.76 | 2612888.53 | Y | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| 541 | Hercules-club | 7.6 | 12 | Healthy | 7021415.59 | 2612839.01 |  | 7.6 | 7.6 |
| 542 | Hackberry | 11.3 | 15 | Healthy | 7021377.48 | 2612870.39 | Y | 11.3 | 5.7 |
| 543 | Hackberry | 33.4 | 20 | Heathy | 7021408.10 | 2612937.36 | Y | 33.4 | 66.8 |
| 544 | Hackberry | 17.3 | 18 | Healthy | 7021378.55 | 2612942.82 | Y | 17.3 | 8.6 |
| 545 | Cedar Elm | 14.1 | 14 | Heathy | 7021344.05 | 2612959.52 | Y | 14.1 | 14.1 |
| 546 | Cedar Elm | 4.3 | 6 | Healthy | 7021335.60 | 2612969.95 | Y | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| 547 | Cedar Elm | 5.2 | 8 | Healthy | 7021322.24 | 2612971.40 | r | 5.2 | 5.2 |
| 548 | Cedar Elm | 4.0 | 7 | Heathy | 7021334.31 | 2612970.23 | Y | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| 549 | Hercules-club | 5.9 | 8 | Heathy | 7021303.75 | 2612982.38 | Y | 5.9 | 5.9 |
| 550 | Cedar Elm | 4.2 | 6 | Heathy | 7021321.38 | 2612939.47 | Y | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| 551 | Cedar Elm | 19.3 | 18 | Heathy | 7021020.03 | 2612610.03 |  | 19.3 | 19.3 |
| 552 | Cedar Elm | 4.8 | 6 | Healthy | 7021180.36 | 2612804.11 | Y | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| 553 | Cedar Elm | 9.6 | 11 | Heathy | 7021203.14 | 2612835.42 |  | 9.6 | 9.6 |
| 554 | Eastern Red Cedar | 11.3 | 18 | Heathy | 7021254.72 | 2612885.67 | Y | 11.3 | 5.7 |
| 555 | Eastern Red Cedar | 11.2 | 15 | Healthy | 7021577.38 | 2612360.22 | Y | 11.2 | 5.6 |
| 556 | Eastern Red Cedar | 11.9 | 12 | Heathy | 7022380.89 | 2611918.11 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 557 | Cedar Elm | 4.0 | 5 | Healthy | 7022555.01 | 2611956.57 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 558 | Hackberry | 11.2 | 14 | Heathy | 7021476.13 | 2612846.86 |  | 11.2 | 5.6 |
| 559 | Hackberry | 16.4 | 12 | Heathy | 7021455.81 | 2612925.87 | Y | 16.4 | 8.2 |
| 700 | Eastern Red Cedar | 11.2 | 14 | Heathy | 7021501.22 | 2612595.27 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 701 | Eastern Red Cedar | 11.4 | 12 | Heathy | 7021455.80 | 2612605.71 | Y | 11.4 | 5.7 |
| 702 | Eastern Red Cedar | 11.2 | 12 | Heathy | 7021274.78 | 2612756.22 | Y | 11.2 | 5.6 |
| 703 | Hackberry | 22.6 | 14 | Damaged | 7020971.10 | 2612997.35 | Y | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 704 | Hackberry | 11.3 | 14 | Heathy | 7020975.29 | 2612986.17 | r | 11.3 | 5.7 |
| 705 | Hackberry | 11.0 | 12 | Heathy | 7020977.58 | 2612981.73 | Y | 11.0 | 5.5 |
| 706 | Hackberry | 11.5 | 10 | Healthy | 7020980.50 | 2612979.89 | r | 11.5 | 5.8 |


(11it) TREE PROTECTION FENCE DETALL - PLAN VIEW

(14U) TREE PROTECTION DETALL


## DATE: 8/26/2021

PROJECT NUMBER:
PROJECT NAME:
SITE ADDRESS/LOCATIONS:

Z2021-032
SUP for Restaurant Less than 2,000 SF (Dutch Bros) 2200 RIDGE RD, ROCKWALL, 75087

CASE MANAGER: CASE MANAGER PHONE: CASE MANAGER EMAIL:

Henry Lee 972.772.6434 hlee@rockwall.com

CASE CAPTION: Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Sam Moore of Main \& Main on behalf of Jason Claunch of 7.1 Ridge LLC for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Restaurant with Less Than 2,000 SF with a Drive-Through or Drive-In for the purpose of constructing a restaurant (i.e. Dutch Bros. Coffee) on a 0.57 -acre portion of a larger 8.583 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block A, Sky Ridge Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, situated within Scenic Overlay (SOV) District, generally located southeast of the intersection of Ridge Road [FM-740] and W. Yellow Jacket Lane, and take any action necessary.

CITY OF ROCKWALL
385 S. GOLIAD STREET
ROCKWALL, TEXAS 75087
PHONE: (972) 771-7700

| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Henry Lee | 08/26/2021 | Approved w/ Comments |
| 08/26/2021: Z2021-032; Specific Use Permit for a Restaurant - Lot 1, Block A, Sky Ridge Addition |  |  |  |
| Please address the following comments ( $\mathrm{M}=$ Mandatory Comments; I = Informational Comments) |  |  |  |
| I. 1 This is a request for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Restaurant with Less Than 2,000 SF with a Drive-Through or Drive-In for the purpose of constructing a restaurant (i.e. Dutch Bros. Coffee) on a 0.57 -acre portion of a larger 8.583 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block A, Sky Ridge Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, |  |  |  |
| Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, situated within Scenic Overlay (SOV) District, generally located southeast of the intersection of Ridge Road [FM-740] and W. Yellow Jacket |  |  |  |
| Lane. |  |  |  |
| 1.2 For questions or comments |  | ng Department at (97 | wall.com. |
| I. 3 This project is subject to all requirements stipulated by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the standards established by the Specific Use Permit, the Commercial (C) |  |  |  |
| District, the Scenic Overlay (SOV) District, and the Development Standards of Article 05 that are applicable to the subject property. |  |  |  |
| M. 4 Please review and provide red-lined mark-ups of any changes to the Specific Use Permit (SUP) [i.e. Draft Ordinance] you feel may be necessary for your proposed project and return to staff no later than September 7, 2021 for review and consideration. |  |  |  |
| M. 5 Review the following conditions pertaining to the operation of a Restaurant with Less Than 2,000 SF with Drive-Through or Drive-In on the Subject Property and conformance to these conditions is required for continued operation. Correct all Non-Conforming requirements. |  |  |  |
| (9) Restaurant with Less Than 2,000 SF with Drive-Through or Drive-In (Subsection 02.03 (F)(9), Article 04). |  |  |  |
| 1) Drive-through lanes shall not have access to |  |  |  |
| 2) Additional landscape screening shall be installed adjacent to drive-through lanes to impair the visibility and impact of head-lights from motor vehicles in the drive-through lane on adjacent properties, rights-of-way, parks and open space. NON-CONFORMING |  |  |  |
| 3) Unless otherwise approved by the Planning and Zoning Commission, stacking lanes for a drive-through service window shall accommodate a minimum of six (6) standard sized motor vehicles per lane. CONFORMING |  |  |  |
| M. 6 Indicate on the concept plan the headlight screening being utilized to eliminate glare onto adjacent properties and roadways (i.e. Great Faith Church and Ridge Road). I would review the approved landscape buffer in front of the property from Case No. MIS2021-001; utilize this along with any additional screening to meet the headlight screening requirement. |  |  |  |
| M. 7 Indicate the point of order (i.e. any menu boards/speakers) on the conceptual site plan. |  |  |  |
| I. 8 Consider moving the drive-through lane to the west side of the building to reduce the amount of headlight screening required for Ridge Road. This will also allow the front of the building to be more visible as opposed to locating the drive-through window adjacent to Ridge Road. |  |  |  |
| 1.9 Based on | w variances | hese variances, the p | not be incorporated into th | measures for each requested variance.

(1) Minimum $20 \%$ stone on all facades
(2) Greater than $50 \%$ stucco on south and west elevations
(3) Proposed flat roof instead on a pitched roof
I.10 Please note the scheduled meetings for this case:
(1) Planning \& Zoning work session meeting will be held on August 31, 2021.
(2) Planning \& Zoning Public Hearing will be held on September 14, 2021.
(3) City Council Public Hearing will be held on September 20, 2021. (1st Reading of Ordinance)
(4) City Council regular meeting will be held on October 4, 2021. (2nd Reading of Ordinance)
I.11 All meetings will be held in person in the City's Council Chambers and are scheduled to begin at 6:00 PM. The City requires that a representative(s) be present for all scheduled meetings.

| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENGINEERING | Sarah Johnston | 08/26/2021 | Needs Review |

08/26/2021: M - The escape lane for the drive-thru must be 24' wide. (see markup)

The following items are informational for the engineering design process.
General Items:

- Must meet City Standards of Design and Construction
- 4\% Engineering Inspection Fees
- Impact Fees (Water, Wastewater \& Roadway)
- Minimum easement width is 20 ' for new easements. No structures including walls allowed in or across easements.
- Retaining walls $3^{\prime}$ and over must be engineered.
- All retaining walls must be rock or stone face. No smooth concrete walls. Walls and footings to be completely on their property.
- If proposed wall on south side of property is within 10 feet of the existing adjacent property driveway to the south then a traffic rated barrier to be put at the top of the wall. (Section
6.5.G)
- Show all easements on site plan

Drainage Items:

- Detention is accounted for previously.
- Dumpster areas to drain to oil/water separator and then to the storm lines.

Water and Wastewater Items:

- Must loop 8" water line on site.
- Only one "use" off a dead-end line (domestic, irrigation, fire sprinkler, fire hydrant, etc.)
- Minimum public sewer is $8^{\prime \prime}$. Must connect to the sewer line on the northeast.
- Water and sewer must be 10 ' apart.
- Must extend 8" water to the north

Roadway Paving Items:

- Parking to be 20'x9' facing the building or nose-to-nose.
- No dead-end parking allowed without an City approved turnaround.
- Drive isles to be 24' wide.
- Fire lane to have $20^{\prime}$ min radius if buildings are less than $30^{\prime}$ tall. If any of the buildings are 30 ' or more, the fire lane will be 30 ' radius minimum.
- Fire lane to be in a platted easement.
- TIA per TXDOT requirement for Ridge Road (FM 740) or revise the existing one to reflect drive through restaurant and resubmit to TXDOT and the City for approval.

Landscaping:

- No trees to be with 10' of any public water, sewer or storm line that is 10 " in diameter or larger
- No trees to be with 5 ' of any public water, sewer, or storm line that is less than 10 ".

| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BUILDING | Rusty McDowell | 08/23/2021 | Approved |
| No Comments |  |  |  |
| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| FIRE | Ariana Kistner | 08/23/2021 | Approved |
| No Comments |  |  |  |
| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| GIS | Lance Singleton | 08/23/2021 | Approved |
| No Comments |  |  |  |
| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| POLICE | Henry Lee | 08/26/2021 | N/A |
| No Comments |  |  |  |
| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| PARKS | Travis Sales | 08/23/2021 | Approved |

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION
City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087

## STAFF USE ONLY

PLANNING \& ZONING CASE NO.
NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.

DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:
CITY ENGINEER:
PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW TO INDICATE THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT REQUEST [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

```
PLATTING APPLICATION FEES:
\square MASTER PLAT ($100.00 + $15.00 ACRE)
PRELIMINARY PLAT ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE)1
    FINAL PLAT ($300.00 + $20.00 ACRE) }\mp@subsup{}{}{1
    REPLAT ($300.00 + $20.00 ACRE) }\mp@subsup{}{}{1
    AMENDING OR MINOR PLAT ($150.00)
    PLAT REINSTATEMENT REQUEST ($100.00)
SITE PLAN APPLICATION FEES:
\square SITE PLAN ($250.00 + $20.00 ACRE) }\mp@subsup{}{}{1
    AMENDED SITE PLAN/ELEVATIONS/LANDSCAPING PLAN ($100.00)
```

ZONING APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ ZONING CHANGE $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ SPECIFIC USE PERMIT ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE $)^{1}$
$\square$ PD DEVELOPMENT PLANS $\left(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00\right.$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
OTHER APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ TREE REMOVAL (\$75.00)
VARIANCE REQUEST (\$100.00)

## NOTES:

1: IN DETERMINING THE FEE, PLEASE USE THE EXACT ACREAGE WHEN MULTIPLYING BY THE PER ACRE AMOUNT. FOR REQUESTS ON LESS THAN ONE ACRE, ROUND UP TO ONE (1) ACRE.

## PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

ADDRESS 2200 Ridge Rd
SUBDIVISION Vacant Land - Commercial
LOT 1 BLOCK
A
GENERAL LOCATION Property located at intersection of Ridge Rd and Yellow Jacket Ln, North of 2054 Ridge Rd ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

CURRENT ZONING
PROPOSED ZONING
ACREAGE

Commercial (C)
Commercial (C)
0.57 Acres

LOTS [CURRENT]

Undeveloped/Vacant
Drive-Thru Coffee Shop
LOTS [PROPOSED] 1
$\square$ SITE PLANS AND PLATS: BY CHECKING THIS BOX YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT DUE TO THE PASSAGE OF HB3167 THE CITY NO LONGER HAS FLEXIBILITY WITH REGARD TO ITS APPROVAL PROCESS, AND FAILURE TO ADDRESS ANY OF STAFF'S COMMENTS BY THE DATE PROVIDED ON THE DEVELOPMENT CALENDAR WILL RESULT IN THE DENIAL OF YOUR CASE.
OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT/CHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACTIORIGINAL SIGNATURES ARE REQUIRED]
CONTACT PERSON
ADDRESS

CITY, STATE \& ZIP
PHONE
EMAIL
7.1 Ridge LLC

Jason Claunch
106 E Rusk Suite 200

Rockwall, TX 75087

## VERIFICATION [REQUIRED]

BEFORE ME, THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHORITY, ON THIS DAY PERSONALLY APPEARED $\qquad$ SAM Plootz

CITY, STATE \& ZIP Frisco, TX 75034
PHONE
EMAIL
(817) 505-8117
sm@maincg.com

Main \& Main

## Sam Moore

5750 Genesis Court Suite 103 STATED THE INFORMATION ON THIS APPLICATION TO BE TRUE AND CERTIFIED THE FOLLOWING:



City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75032
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.

## City of Rockwall

Planning \& Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.


Case Number: Z2021-032<br>Case Name: SUP for Restaurant Case Type: Zoning: Case Address: Southeast of the Intersection of Ridge Road \& W. Yellowjacket Road

## Date Created: 8/20/2021



## City of Rockwall

Planning \& Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.

Case Number: Z2021-032
Case Name: SUP for Restaurant w/Drive Through

Case Type:
Zoning:
Case Address: Southeast of Intersection of
Ridge Road \& W. Yellowjacket Road


CHACKO \& ABRAHAM INVESTMENTS LLC
1007 N. BLUFFVIEW DR LUCAS, TX 75002

VANDERSLICE ROBERT 1408 S LAKESHORE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## DGR ASSOCIATES INC A MISSOURI CORP 1710 WEISKOPF DR HEATH, TX 75032

LAYAN INVESTMENTS INC
2225 RIDGE RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DOUPHRATE PROPERTIES INC 2235 RIDGE RD STE 200 ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## CHACKO \& ABRAHAM INVESTMENTS LLC 2249 RIDGE RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HUDSPETH FREDERICK WARD ESTATE NONA MAHAFFY HUDSPETH INDEPENDENT EXECUTOR 2304 RIDGE RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

VANDERSLICE ROBERT 2308 RIDGE RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

2251 RIDGE ROAD LLC
4131 N CENTRAL EXPRESSWAY SUITE 450 DALLAS, TX 75204

RICE CARINE
545 BEDFORD FALLS ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RIDGECREST HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION INC 1024 S GREENVILLE AVE \#230 ALLEN, TX 75002

JARA PARTNERS LTD 1425 HUNTERS GLEN ROYSE CITY, TX 75189

PORTELE MICHAEL \& PAIGE 1944 LAKESHORE DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JARA PARTNERS LTD
2231 RIDGE RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MEHL ROBERT F III \& JOAN<br>2237 RIDGE RD<br>ROCKWALL, TX 75087

2251 RIDGE ROAD LLC
2251 RIDGE RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DAIKER PARTNERS LTD.
2305 RIDGE RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ALMLAM REAL ESTATE LLC
2310 RIDGE RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DAIKER PARTNERS LTD
500 TURTLE COVE BLVD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CENTERS FOR PEACE AND MERCY, INC 600 TURTLE COVE BLVD ROCKWALL, TX 75087
7.1 RIDGE LLC 106 E RUSK SUITE 200 ROCKWALL, TX 75087

FRANK RUSSELL
15 PRINGLE LANE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BENTO SERGIO 2002 S LAKESHORE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DGR ASSOCIATES INC A MISSOURI CORP
2233 RIDGERD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LAKEWOOD PROPERTIES LLC<br>2245 RIDGE RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MMF INVESTMENTS LLC
2255 RIDGE RD SUITE 333 ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MCKENNEY CARL K 2306 RIDGE RD STE 2 ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## ALMLAM REAL ESTATE LLC 3051 N GOLIAD STREET ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PSB INDEMNITY FAMILY LTD PTRN 510 TURTLE COVE BLVD STE 200 ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MEHL ROBERT F III \& JOAN 601 CARRIAGE TRL ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BRUCE SCOTT L \& CRISTINA V
611 HARBOR COVE DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MARCUS MEGAN
619 HARBOR COVE DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LITHERLAND LORILEE 627 HARBOR COVE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WAL-MART REAL ESTATE BUSINESS TRUST 782130 ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CENTERS FOR PEACE AND MERCY, INC P.O. BOX 615

ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WAL-MART REAL ESTATE
BUSINESS TRUST PO BOX 8050
BENTONVILLE, AR 72712

FLORENCIA HECTOR J 615 HARBOR COVE DR

HANEY DYLAN K 617 HARBOR COVE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROTRAMEL CHRISTIE \& MISTY ROTRAMEL 621 HARBOR COVE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RICE CARINE 629 HARBOR COVEDR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

TURTLE COVE RESIDENTIAL ASSOC C/O TURTLE COVE HOA 14951 DALLAS PKWY STE

## 600

DALLAS, TX 75254

DAIKER PARTNERS LTD.
PO BOX 1059
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SEAY KENNETH WAYNE \& ELIZABETH CAUFIELD 623 HARBOR COVE DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LAYAN INVESTMENTS INC 6403 CRESTMOOR LN SACHSE, TX 75048

MOUNTAINPRIZE INC P. O. BOX 2437 SMYRNA, GA 30081

LAKEWOOD PROPERTIES LLC PO BOX 2259 ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:

You are hereby notified that the City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

## Case No. Z2021-032: SUP for Restaurant w/ Drive Through

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Sam Moore of Main \& Main on behalf of Jason Claunch of 7.1 Ridge LLC for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Restaurant with Less Than 2,000 SF with a Drive-Through or Drive-In for the purpose of constructing a restaurant (i.e. Dutch Bros. Coffee) on a 0.57 -acre portion of a larger 8.583-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block A, Sky Ridge Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, situated within Scenic Overlay (SOV) District, generally located southeast of the intersection of Ridge Road [FM-740] and W. Yellow Jacket Lane, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, September 14, 2021 at 6:00 PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Monday, September 20, 2021 at 6:00 PM. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

Henry Lee<br>Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.<br>385 S. Goliad Street<br>Rockwall, TX 75087

You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by Monday, September 20, 2021 at 4:00 PM to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.

Sincerely,
Ryan Miller, AICP
Director of Planning \& Zoning


MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases


## Case No. Z2021-032: SUP for Restaurant w/ Drive-Through

## Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:

$\square \mathrm{I}$ am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.
$\square$ I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

## Name:

Address:
Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

## City of Rockwall

Planning \& Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.

Case Number: Z2021-032
Case Name: SUP for Restaurant w/Drive Through

Case Type:
Zoning:
Case Address: Southeast of Intersection of
Ridge Road \& W. Yellowjacket Road


August 17, 2021

## Re: Dutch Bros Coffee Specific Use Permit

The following is our application for a Specific Use Permit for the proposed Dutch Bros Coffee drive-thru coffee stand with walk-up services. The proposed coffee shop will be located in lot 5 of the Sky Ridge shopping center. We are excited to bring one of the first Dutch Bros in the DFW Metroplex to Rockwall, which is the largest privately held coffee company. The proposed building is a 950 sf modular building which is proposed to have a combination of nichiha, cement plaster, and masonry. The hours anticipated at this location are $5 \mathrm{am}-$ 11 pm Monday thru Sunday, which will include 3 shifts per day and 8 employees. The employees will be operating the kiosk by preparing and serving menu items and running orders to guests in the drive-thru, similar to how Chick-fil-A operates a double stack drive-thru.

Included in our submittal are the proposed concept plan and elevations for the Dutch Bros. This concept plan is intended to show the fit of the Dutch Bros into the overall development outside of our SUP request. The building will be used for the operation of the coffee stand and preparation of menu items; therefore, the building will not be open for public access. The Dutch Bros Coffee shop will serve beverages including coffee, tea, and energy drinks. The building is designed with both color and material to represent the brand effectively, while fitting within the City's standards.

Dutch Bros is known for their philanthropic engagement and efforts. Examples of their community involvement are included in our submittal for your review.
We are excited to help bring an amazing brand and organization to the City of Rockwall and look forward to working together to deliver a quality product.

Thank you for your time, please let me know if you have any questions.
We look forward to working together.

## Sam Moore

## Best,

Sam Moore<br>Main \& Main Capital Group, LLC
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## DUTCH BROS COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

## DUTCH BROS FOUNDATION

## - Includes several philanthropic focuses:

- Be Aware
- Support for the fight against breast cancer since 2014 , with more than $\$ 1.6$ million in donations.
- Drink One for Dane
- Support and mission to find a cause and a cure for ALS.
- Dane Boersma, Dutch Bros co-founder, battled with ALS.
- One day in May is dedicated to raise funds for the Muscular Dystrophy Association.
- Buck for Kids
- One day in September, Dutch Bros donates \$I from every drink sold to local youth organizations.
- Dutch Luv
- On February $14^{\text {th }}$, Dutch Bros donates $\$ 1$ from every drink sold to organizations to fight food insecurity.


## RECENT FOCUSES

- Wildfire Relief Efforts
- Dutch Bros donated to various relief funds for the wildfires in 2020.
- Covid Relief
- Donated to various organizations for the support of communities during the Covid-I9 outbreak.
- \#FirstRespondersFirst
- $100 \%$ of Dutch Bros' profits in April 2020 were donated to support medical first responders.
- Buck for Kids
- Increase of approximately $10 \%$ in contributions in 2020.


## SOURCES

- Dutch Bros foundation - https://www.dutchbros.com/dutch-bros-foundation/
- Wildfire Relief - https://www.dutchbros.com/news-events/dutch-bros-foundation-donates-250000-to-wildfire-relief-efforts
- First Responders First -
https://www.businesswire.com/news/home/20200403005548/en/Dutch-Bros-Coffee-Dedicates-100-of-April-Profits-to-FirstRespondersFirst


## CITY OF ROCKWALL

ORDINANCE NO. $\underline{21-X X}$
SPECIFIC USE PERMIT NO. S-2XX


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE (UDC) [ORDINANCE NO. 20-02] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS, AS PREVIOUSLY AMENDED, SO AS TO GRANT A SPECIFIC USE PERMIT (SUP) FOR A RESTAURANT LESS THAN 2,000 SF WITH DRIVETHROUGH OR DRIVE-IN ON A 0.57-ACRE PARCEL OF LAND, IDENTIFIED AS LOT 1, BLOCK A, SKY RIDGE ADDITION, CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS; AND MORE SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED AND DEPICTED IN EXHIBIT 'A' OF THIS ORDINANCE; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS $\mathbf{( \$ 2 , 0 0 0 . 0 0 )}$ FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


WHEREAS, the City has received a request from Sam Moore of Main \& Main for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for a Restaurant with Less Than 2,000 SF with Drive-Through or DriveIn for the purpose of constructing a restaurant on a 0.57 -acre parcel of land described as Lot 1, Block A, Sky Ridge Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, situated within the Scenic Overlay (SOV) District, generally located southeast of the intersection of Ridge Road [FM-740] and W. Yellow Jacket Lane, and being more specifically depicted in Exhibit ' $A$ ' of this ordinance, which herein after shall be referred to as the Subject Property and incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall, in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall, have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally, and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area and in the vicinity thereof, the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion has concluded that the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall should be amended as follows:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Rockwall, Texas;
SECTION 1. The Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall, as heretofore amended, be and the same is hereby amended so as to grant a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for a Restaurant with Less Than 2,000 SF with Drive-Through or Drive-In in accordance with Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 2002] on the Subject Property; and,

SECTION 2. That the Specific Use Permit (SUP) shall be subject to the requirements set forth in Subsection 04.05, Commercial (C) District, of Article 05, District Development Standards, and Subsection 06.08, Scenic Overlay (SOC) District, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] -- as heretofore amended and may be amended in the future -- and the
following conditions:

### 2.1 OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS

The following conditions pertain to the operation of a Restaurant with Less Than 2,000 SF with Drive-Through or Drive-In on the Subject Property and conformance to these conditions is required for continued operation:

1) The development of the Subject Property shall generally conform to the Concept Plan as depicted in Exhibit ' $B$ ' of this ordinance.
2) The drive-through window shall be oriented away from Ridge Road to conform to the Concept Plan depicted in Exhibit ' $B$ ' of this ordinance.
3) Mature landscaping shall be planted to provide headlight screening for Ridge Road [FM-740] and adjacent property owners.

### 2.2 COMPLIANCE

Approval of this ordinance in accordance with Subsection 02.02, Specific Use Permits (SUP) of Article 11, Development Applications and Review Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) will require the Subject Property to comply with the following:

1) Upon obtaining a Certificate of Occupancy (CO), should the business owner operating under the guidelines of this ordinance fail to meet the minimum operational requirements set forth herein and outlined in the Unified Development Code (UDC), the City may (after proper notice) initiate proceedings to revoke the Specific Use Permit (SUP) in accordance with Subsection 02.02(F), Revocation, of Article 11, Development Applications and Revision Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02].

SECTION 3. That the official zoning map of the City be corrected to reflect the changes in zoning described herein.

SECTION 4. That all ordinances of the City of Rockwall in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance be, and the same are hereby repealed to the extent of that conflict.

SECTION 5. Any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS $(\$ 2,000.00)$ for each offence and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense.

SECTION 6. If any section or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section or provision to any person, firm, corporation, situation or circumstance is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section or provision to any other person, firm, corporation, situation or circumstance, and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions of this ordinance shall remain in full force and effect.

SECTION 7. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage.

PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, THIS THE $4^{\text {TH }}$ DAY OF OCTOBER, 2021.


## APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Frank J. Garza, City Attorney
$1^{\text {st }}$ Reading: September 20, 2021
$2^{\text {nd }}$ Reading: October 4, 2021

Exhibit 'A'
Location Map
Address: Southeast of the intersection of Ridge Road and W. Yellow Jacket Lane Legal Description: Lot 1, Block A, Sky Ridge Addition



PROJECT NUMBER:
PROJECT NAME:
SITE ADDRESS/LOCATIONS:

Z2021-033
Amendment to Planned Development District 4

## CASE MANAGER:

 CASE MANAGER PHONE: CASE MANAGER EMAIL:Ryan Miller 972-772-6441 rmiller@rockwall.com

CASE CAPTION: Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Robert Weinstein of WB Companies on behalf of Richard Chandler of HFS Management, Inc. for the approval of a Zoning Change amending Planned Development District 4 (PD-4) [Ordinance No. 01-26] to allow a Mixed-Use Development (i.e. Apartments, Retail/Restaurant, and Office land uses) on a 12.1148-acre tract of land identified as Tract 2 of the D. Atkins Survey, Abstract No. 1, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 4 (PD-4) for General Retail (GR) District land uses, situated within the Scenic Overlay (SOV) District, generally located in between Lakedale Drive and Becky Lane on the eastside of Ridge Road [FM-740], and take any action necessary.

| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | David Gonzales | 08/27/2021 | Needs Review |

08/27/2021: Z2021-024; Zoning Amendment to PD-4 for the Edge at Rockwall Development
Please address the following comments ( $\mathrm{M}=$ Mandatory Comments; I Informational Comments)
I. 1 This request is for the approval of an approval of a Zoning Change amending Planned Development District 4 (PD-4) [Ordinance No. 01-26] to allow a Mixed-Use Development (i.e. Apartments, Retail/Restaurant, and Office land uses) on a 12.1148 -acre tract of land identified as Tract 2 of the D. Atkins Survey, Abstract No. 1, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 4 (PD-4) for General Retail (GR) District land uses, situated within the Scenic Overlay (SOV) District, and generally located in between Lakedale Drive and Becky Lane on the eastside of Ridge Road [FM-740].
I. 2 For questions or comments concerning this case please contact Ryan Miller in the Planning Department at (972) 771-7745 or email dgonzales@rockwall.com.
M. 3 For reference, include the case number (Z2021-033) in the lower right-hand corner of all pages on future submittals.
I.4 What is the overall height of the structure? The Scenic Overlay (SOV) District, Multi-Family 14 (MF-14) District, and the General Retail (GR) District all require an overall maximum height of 36 -feet. The concept plan indicates a 4 -story building, which may not conform to the height requirements.
I. 5 The minimum required front yard setback within the Scenic Overlay (SOV) District is 15 -feet. The minimum front yard setback for the MF-14 district is 25 -feet. What is the minimum front yard setback you are proposing? The concept plan does not conform to the setback requirements.
1.6 The minimum required landscape buffer within the Scenic Overlay (SOV) District is 20-feet, and requires two (2) canopy and four (4) accent trees per 100 linear feet with a built-up berm and shrubbery. As a note, the Planned Development District standards require a minimum 30 -foot landscape buffer with three (3) canopy and four (4) accent trees per 100 linear feet with a built-up berm and shrubbery. What are you proposing? The concept plan does not conform to the landscape requirements.
1.7 Density is calculated by gross acre. The highest density allowed by the Unified Development Code (UDC) is 14 dwelling units per acre [i.e. Multi-Family 14 (MF-14) District]. The concept plan indicates two (2) phases with 350 dwelling units each for a total of 700 dwelling units. This calculates to approximately 57 dwelling units per acre and is four (4) times denser than allowed. Can this be reduced? The concept plan does not conform to the density requirements.
1.8 Based on 700 dwelling units and the restaurant \& general retail land uses, the total parking required per the UDC is 1,267 spaces (i.e. 1,155 apartments, 80 restaurant, and 32 retail). The concept plan indicates a total of 971 parking spaces, which is 296 parking spaces deficient. Apartment requirements: [1] One (1) bedroom apartment $=1.5$ parking spaces, and [2] Two (2) bedroom apartment $=2$ parking spaces. The legend indicate $3,000 \mathrm{SF}$ for restaurant, the concept plan indicate $4,000 \mathrm{SF}$. The concept plan does not conform to the parking requirements.
1.9 The Planned Development District Standards of the UDC requires a minimum of $20 \%$ of the gross area as open space, which equates to approximately 2.42 -acres. How much open space are you proposing?
I. 10 With the proposed project being developed in two (2) phases, will you be subdividing the property?
I. 11 If approved, the development is subject to parkland dedication and pro-rata equipment fees. Currently, those fees are calculated as follows: [1] Cash-in-lieu of land, $\$ 529 \mathrm{x}$ $700 \mathrm{du}=\$ 370,300$, and [2] Pro-rata equipment fees, $\$ 501 \times 700 \mathrm{du}=\$ 350,700$. As a note, these fees are subject to change on an annual basis and are due at the time of final plat.
I. 12 On the concept plan please indicate the location of the dumpster enclosures or trash compactor, and if necessary demonstrate that this will be screened from all public rights-of-way and adjacent properties. Can this be located internal to the garage?
I. 13 According to the Land Use Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan, the subject property is located within the Scenic District and is designated for Commercial/Retail land uses on the Future Land Use Plan.
I. 14 Once staff has provided a copy of the Draft Ordinance, please review and provide red-lined mark-ups prior to September 7, 2021 for review.
I. 15 Staff has identified the aforementioned items necessary to continue the submittal process. Please make these revisions and corrections, and provide any additional information that is requested. Revisions for this case will be due on September 7, 2021; however, it is encouraged for applicants to submit revisions as soon as possible to give staff ample time to review the case prior to the Planning and Zoning Commission Public Hearing.
I. 16 Please note the scheduled meetings for this case:

1) Planning \& Zoning Work Session meeting will be held on August 31, 2021.
2) Planning \& Zoning Public Hearing meeting will be held on September 14, 2021.
3) City Council Public Hearing will be held on September 20, 2021. (1st Reading of Ordinance)
4) City Council meeting will be held on October 4, 2021. (2nd Reading of Ordinance)
I.17 All meetings will be held in person and in the City's Council Chambers. All meetings listed above are scheduled to begin at 6:00 p.m. The City requires that a representative(s) be present for all meetings.

| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ENGINEERING | Sarah Johnston | $08 / 26 / 2021$ |  |
| No Comments |  |  | Needs Review |
|  | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| DEPARTMENT | Rusty McDowell | $08 / 23 / 2021$ | Approved |
| BUILDING |  |  |  |

No Comments

| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FIRE | Ariana Kistner | $08 / 23 / 2021$ | Approved |


| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GIS | Lance Singleton | $08 / 23 / 2021$ |  |
| No Comments |  |  |  |
|  | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW |  |
| DEPARTMENT | David Gonzales | $08 / 27 / 2021$ | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| POLICE |  |  | N/A |
| No Comments | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW |  |
| DEPARTMENT | Travis Sales | $08 / 23 / 2021$ | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| PARKS |  |  | Approved |
| $08 / 23 / 2021:$ no comments |  |  |  |



DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION
City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087

STAFF USE ONLY
PLANNING \& ZONING CASE NO.
NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.

DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:
CITY ENGINEER:

PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW TO INDICATE THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT REQUEST [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

## PLATTING APPLICATION FEES:

$\square$ MASTER PLAT $(\$ 100.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ PRELIMINARY PLAT (\$200.00 + \$15.00 ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
$\square$ FINAL PLAT $\left(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00\right.$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
$\square$ REPLAT $\left(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00\right.$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
$\square$ AMENDING OR MINOR PLAT (\$150.00)
$\square$ PLAT REINSTATEMENT REQUEST ( $\$ 100.00$ )

## SITE PLAN APPLICATION FEES:

$\square$ SITE PLAN $(\$ 250.00+\$ 20.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ AMENDED SITE PLAN/ELEVATIONS/LANDSCAPING PLAN (\$100.00)

## ZONING APPLICATION FEES:

$\square$ ZONING CHANGE ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
$\square$ SPECIFIC USE PERMIT ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
$\square$ PD DEVELOPMENT PLANS $\left(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00\right.$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
OTHER APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ TREE REMOVAL (\$75.00)
$\square$ VARIANCE REQUEST ( $\$ 100.00$ )

## NOTES:

!: $\mathbb{N}$ DETERMINING THE FEE, PLEASE USE THE EXACT ACREAGE WHEN MULTIPLYING BY THE PER ACRE AMOUNT. FOR REQUESTS ON LESS THAN ONE ACRE, ROUND UP TO ONE (1) ACRE.

## PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

ADDRESS FM 740, Rockwall TX
SUBDIVISION
LOT
BLOCK
general location Adjacent South of 1389 Ridge Rd, Rockwall, TX 75087. Parcel: 0001-0000-0002-00-0R ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRITT]

CURRENT ZONING PD-4
PROPOSED ZONING PD-4 with residential

CURRENT USE Retail \& Neighborhood Services
PROPOSEDUSE Restaurant \& Multifamily
LOTS [CURRENT]
$\square$ SITE PLANS AND PLATS: BY CHECKING THIS BOX YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT DUE TO THE PASSAGE OF HB3167 THE CITY NO LONGER HAS FLEXIBILITY WITH REGARD TO ITS APPROVAL PROCESS, AND FAILURE TO ADDRESS ANY OF STAFF'S COMMENTS BY THE DATE PROVIDED ON THE DEVELOPMENT CALENDAR WILL RESULT IN THE DENIAL OF YOUR CASE.

OWNERIAPPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINTICHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACT/ORIGINAL SIGNATURES ARE REQURED] $\square O W N E R$ HFS Management Inc.
$\square$ applicant WB Companies
CONTACT PERSON Richard Chandler
CONTACT PERSON Robert Weinstein
AdDRESS 122 W John Carpenter Frwy, Ste
ADDRESS 495 Broadway, 7th Floor
400
CITY, STATE \& ZIP Irving, TX 75039
CITY, STATE \& ZIP New York, NY 10012
PHONE 214-649-7187
PHONE 2122266066
E-MAiL rchandler@sei-mi.com
E-MALL robert@wbpropertygroup.com
NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUIRED]
 STATED THE INFORMATION ON THIS APPLICATION TO BE TRUE AND CERTIFIED THE FOLLOWING:
"I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I AM THE OWNER FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS APPLICATION; ALL INFORMATION SUBMITTED HEREIN IS TRUE AND CORRECT; AND THE APPLICATION FEE OF $\$$ TO COVER THE COST OF THIS APPLICATION, HAS BEEN PAID TO THE CITY OF ROCKWALL ON THIS THE ID PERMITTED TO PROVIDE INFORMATION CONTAINED WITHIN THIS APPLICATION TO THE PUBLIC. THE CITY IS ALSO AUTHORIZED AND PERMITT SUBMITTED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THIS APPLICATION, IF SUCH REPRODUCTION IS ASSOCIATED OR IN RESPONSE TO A REQ EST FQAR RUBLIC INEQRMATON."



City of Rockwall

Planning \& Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75032 (P): (972) 771-7745 (W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.

City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.



## Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:

You are hereby notified that the City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

## Case No. Z2021-033: Amendment to PD-4

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Robert Weinstein of WB Companies on behalf of Richard Chandler of HFS Management, Inc. for the approval of a Zoning Change amending Planned Development District 4 (PD-4) [Ordinance No. 01-26] to allow a Mixed-Use Development (i.e. Apartments, Retail/Restaurant, and Office land uses) on a 12.1148 -acre tract of land identified as Tract 2 of the D. Atkins Survey, Abstract No. 1, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 4 (PD-4) for General Retail (GR) District land uses, situated within the Scenic Overlay (SOV) District, generally located in between Lakedale Drive and Becky Lane on the eastside of Ridge Road [FM-740], and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, September 14, 2021 at 6:00 PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Monday, September 20, 2021 at 6:00 PM. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

David Gonzales<br>Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.<br>385 S. Goliad Street<br>Rockwall, TX 75087

You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by Monday, September 20, 2021 at 4:00 PM to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.

Sincerely,
Ryan Miller, AICP
Director of Planning \& Zoning


MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases

```
-.. PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM
```


## Case No. Z2021-033: Amendment to PD-4

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:
$\square$ I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.
$\square \mathrm{I}$ am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

## Name:

Address:
Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

NEFF DARRYL LEE JR 101 BECKYLN<br>ROCKWALL, TX 75087

KJT FLYING PROPERTIES LLC<br>102 LAKEDALE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GARNER CASEY
104 BECKY LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087
7.1 RIDGE LLC

106 E RUSK SUITE 200 ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HAMBRICK GARY/GRACE HAMBRICK
107 BECKY LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HAMPTON MATTHEW \& CORINA 118 PELICAN COVE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SHUGART WILLIAM E \& MERIDITH JUNE 124 PELICAN COVE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WILLCOXEN R GENE \& MARY F
134 PELICAN COVEDR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MASON RONALD E \& GLORIA M
1402 RIDGE RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BURKE CASEY JOE AND ANDREA GAYDEN
1406-A RIDGERD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WONG ERIK J \& ELIZABETH M
1410 RIDGE RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

NAJMABADI NATHAN R \& JENNIFER N
1412 RIDGE ROAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MARSHALL RICHARD A AND KENNETH F WILSON 103 BECKY LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MCCULLAR EMILY JEAN 104 LAKEDALE DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MARTINEZ GRACE \& JESSE LEE III<br>106 LAKEDALE DR<br>ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PECK RUTH H 108 LAKEDALE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HPA TEXAS SUB 2017-1 LLC 120 S RIVERSIDE PLZ STE 2000 CHICAGO, IL 60606

HALL STEPHANIE MCGARRY
130 PELICAN COVE DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ANDERSON JERRY C AND MELVA J 138 PELICAN COVE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROGERS FAMILY LIVING TRUST MICHAEL WAYNE ROGERS AND RELLA VILLASANA ROGERS, TRUSTEES

1404 RIDGE RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JBR2 LLC 1408 RIDGE RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

KROPKE JAMES \& MARY 142 PELICAN COVE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CRANE ADAM T
146 PELICAN COVE DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

TEBBUTT BRIAN \& MYLA 150 PELICAN COVE DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SCHWERDT JOSHUA MICHAEL
1502 RIDGE ROAD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

TEBBUTT BRIAN C 156 PELICAN COVE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

T ROCKWALL APARTMENTS TX LLC<br>16600 DALLAS PARKWAY SUITE 300<br>DALLAS, TX 75248

GREEN STEVEN T
1724 RIDGE RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

AMERICAN RESIDENTIAL LEASING COMPANY LLC<br>174 MURPHY CT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

THAMES HOLDING LLC
1887 ENGLISH RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75032

VAUGHAN DANIEL J AND JESSICA
1905 LAKEVIEW DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

FRITSCH TERYL W AND JANICE L
2007 S LAKESHORE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

STEBBINS ROBERT A ESTATE
ROBERT A STEBBINS II INDEPENDENT EXECUTOR 2010 LAKESHORE DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

FREEMAN C L 2013 S LAKESHORE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MCANALLY JOHN L \& CINDY N 1600 RIDGE RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

UHLIG JANET KAY 168 MURPHY CT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PALOS MICKEY SUE \& CODY S \& MARIA T LOWERY 1726 RIDGE RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

UNRUH CECIL J ESTATE<br>TAMARA SUE HARRIS INDEPENDENT EXECUTRIX 17627 CEDAR CREEK CANYON DALLAS, TX 75252

```
PETTIGREW TERESA VIOLA 1901 LAKEVIEW DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087
```

PADILLA KRIS AND JOE 2005 LAKESHORE DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CHARLES JACOB 2008 S LAKESHORE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

```
HPA TEXAS SUB 2017-1 LLC 2011 LAKESHORE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087
```

THAMES HOLDING LLC 2014 LAKESHORE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RICKY LEE RIIS LIVING TRUST
TRUSTEE RICKI LEE RIIS 203 LAKEVIEW DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MFR PROPERTIES LLC AND
YELLOW JACKET PLAZA LLC 2135 RIDGE RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MFR PROPERTIES LLC AND YELLOW JACKET PLAZA LLC
28632 ROADSIDE DR SUITE 270 AGOURA HILLS, CA 91301

SYVRUD JAMES P \& MARY JEAN
519 E INTERSTATE 30
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

NEFF DARRYL LEE JR 7214 BENNINGTON DR DALLAS, TX 75214

AMERICAN RESIDENTIAL LEASING COMPANY LLC
ATTN: PROPERTY TAX DEPARTMENT 23975
PARK SORRENTO, SUITE 300 CALABASAS, CA 91302

KJT FLYING PROPERTIES LLC PO BOX 1476 ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SIMS CHRISTOPHER P AND KRISTEN
206 LAKEVIEW DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BOBST DANIEL W AND JENNIFER L 2701 SUNSET RIDGE SUITE 610 ROCKWALL, TX 75032

RPSC ROCKWALL PROPERTIES LLC 3201 E PRESIDENT GEORGE BUSH HIGHWAY SUITE 101 RICHARDSON, TX 75082

HENDRICKS JAMES \& BARBARA 5903 VOLUNTEER PL ROCKWALL, TX 75032

ROCKWALLIS D 801 E WASHINGTON ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HAMBRICK GARY/GRACE HAMBRICK
P.O. BOX 907

BELMONT, TX 78604

BURKE CASEY JOE AND ANDREA GAYDEN PO BOX 2514
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LAUREA ANTHONY BLAINE AND CHRISTINE LONG 208 LAKEVIEW DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JBR2 LLC
2701 SUNSET RIDGE DR SUITE 610 ROCKWALL, TX 75032

WILLCOXEN R GENE \& MARY F
4820 SUTCLIFF AVE
SAN JOSE, CA 95118

CHURCH ON THE ROCK
6005 DALROCK RD ROWLETT, TX 75088

KELLY TANNER B
9801 ROYAL LN APT 708
DALLAS, TX 75231

STEBBINS ROBERT A ESTATE
ROBERT A STEBBINS II INDEPENDENT EXECUTOR
PO BOX 101
DENTON, TX 76202





WB Companies LLC<br>Robert Weinstein<br>917-670-8267<br>Robert@wbpropertygroup.com<br>495 Broadway $7^{\text {th }}$ Floor<br>New York, NY 10012

August $18^{\text {th }} 2021$

Dear Mr. Miller,

Thank you for your time and your feedback. Please consider this my formal application letter to rezone from PD-4 General Retail to PD-4 with Mixed Use parcel number: 0001-0000-0002-00-0R

We propose building the first Sky Restaurants with water features in Rockwall on top of two phases of multifamily. Each 3,000 sq. ft. restaurant will be located on the $5^{\text {th }}$ floor of the Parking structure. We also propose 4,000 sq. ft of retail located on the ground floor of each building.

The restaurants and water features will be open to the public and will have unobstructed views of Lake Ray Hubbard. The public will be able to enjoy roof time meals and relaxing time by our water features for generations to come. We are currently in talks with three restaurant owners, similar to Landry's, to lease the restaurant space.

Phase I 350 Units with 3,000 sq. ft. restaurant and water feature and 4,000 sq. ft. of retail on the ground floor

Phase II 350 Units 3,000 sq. ft. restaurant and water feature and 4,000 sq. ft. of retail on the ground floor

Community Amenities Pool on parking structure Meditation garden with water feature Rooftop restaurant Outdoor grilling area Running Trail Fitness Center Food Truck area \& Picnic Tables Yoga \& Spin classes

Apartment Interiors Large master bedroom suites Expansive walk-in closets Vinyl flooring in kitchen, living and dining areas Oversized soaking tubs Working kitchen island Modern slate GE appliances Washer and dryer in-unit Side-by-side refrigerators

Our development exceeds, parking requirements green space and setbacks and is in compliance with fire and storm water requirements.

Thank you for your time and consideration,
Robert Weinstein

## 14 SCENIC DISTRICT

## DISTRICT DESCRIPTION

The Scenic District is situated between S. Goliad Street [SH-205] and Ridge Road [FM-740], which are two (2) of the City's major north/south arterials. This district is significantly developed and has residential land uses that range from medium to high density. The area adjacent to Ridge Road represents a mixed-use district and includes one of the City's larger mixed residential/office developments (i.e. the Commons). In addition, this district has a large percentage ( $26.58 \%$ ) of public and quasi-public land uses, which include Rockwall High School, Yellow Jacket Park, Heritage Christian Academy and Our Lady of the Lake Catholic Church. This district should continue to function as it does today, with the vacant areas -designated for Commercial land uses -- adjacent to Ridge Road [FM-740] eventually developing with office or neighborhood/convenience center uses that will service the residential areas on the west side of Ridge Road.

## DISTRICT STRATEGIES

The Scenic District being significantly developed is not anticipated to change or transition in the future. As a result, the following are the strategies for this district:
(1) Live/Work. The properties designated for Live/Work land uses (i.e. at the end of Old County Road) have limited access and low visibility making the land not suitable for retail land uses. In addition, one of the three (3) properties has already transitioned to a non-residential land use and one (1) of the properties is currently vacant. The flexibility provided by the Live/Work designation allows these properties to establish unique land uses that are destination type uses.
(2) Mixed-Use. The mixed-use areas in this district are mostly established and are anticipated to continue to function as they do today. Any development of vacant land or redevelopment of these areas should generally conform to the existing land uses.
(3) Neighborhood/Convenience Centers. The commercial in this district is intended to support the existing and proposed residential developments in and adjacent to the district, and should be compatible in scale with the adjacent residential structures. In addition, these properties should use berms, landscaping and large buffers to transition uses.
(4) Infill Development. Infill development within this district should be compatible with the surrounding land uses or conform to the Land Use Plan Designations depicted on the district map.

## POINTS OF REFERENCE

A. Rockwall Commons
B. Spring Sports Complex
C. Waterstone Estates Subdivision
D. Rockwall High School
E. Yellow Jacket Park

LAND USE PALETTES

- Current Land Use
- Future Land Use
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CITY OF ROCKWALL
385 S. GOLIAD STREET
ROCKWALL, TEXAS 75087
PHONE: (972) 771-7700

## DATE: 8/26/2021

PROJECT NUMBER:
PROJECT NAME:
SITE ADDRESS/LOCATIONS:

Z2021-034
Zoning Change from AG to LI

CASE MANAGER:
CASE MANAGER PHONE: CASE MANAGER EMAIL:

David Gonzales (972) 772-6488 dgonzales@rockwall.com

CASE CAPTION: Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Maxwell Fisher of Masterplan on behalf of Saro Partners, LLC for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Light Industrial (LI) District for a 5.07 -acre tract of land identified as Tract 2-06 of the D. Harr Survey, Abstract No. 102, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-205 By-Pass Overlay (SH-205 BY-OV) District, located at the southeast corner of the intersection of John King Boulevard and Airport Road, and take any action necessary.

| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | David Gonzales | 08/24/2021 | Needs Review |

08/24/2021: Z2021-034; Change of Zoning from AG to LI
Please address the following comments ( $\mathrm{M}=$ Mandatory Comments; $\mathrm{I}=$ Informational Comments)
I. 1 This is a request for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Light Industrial (LI) District for a 5.07-acre tract of land identified as Tract 2-06 of the D. Harr Survey, Abstract No. 102, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-205 By-Pass Overlay (SH-205 BY-OV) District, located at the southeast corner of the intersection of John King Boulevard and Airport Road
I. 2 For questions or comments concerning this case please contact David Gonzales in the Planning Department at (972) 772-6488 or email dgonzales@rockwall.com.
I. 3 This project is subject to all requirements stipulated by the Unified Development Code (UDC), and the Development Standards of Article 04 \& Article 05 that are applicable to the subject property.
I. 4 Please note the scheduled meetings for this case:

1) Planning \& Zoning Work Session meeting will be held on August 31, 2021.
2) Planning \& Zoning Public Hearing meeting will be held on September 14, 2021.
3) City Council Public Hearing will be held on September 20, 2021. (1st Reading of Ordinance)
4) City Council meeting will be held on October 4, 2021. (2nd Reading of Ordinance)
I.5 All meetings will be held in person and in the City's Council Chambers. All meetings listed above are scheduled to begin at 6:00 p.m. The City requires that a representative(s) be present for these meetings.

| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENGINEERING | Sarah Johnston | 08/26/2021 | Needs Review |

## 08/26/2021: M - Driveway spacing to be 200' from John King.

$M$ - Driveway spacing to be 100 ' between driveways and to the east.
M - Must install a 12" water line along John King frontage in the easement.
The following items are informational for the engineering design process.
General Items

- Must meet City Standards of Design and Construction
- 4\% Engineering Inspection Fees
- Impact Fees (Water, Wastewater \& Roadway)
- Minimum easement width is $20^{\prime}$ for new easements. No structures including walls allowed in easements
- Retaining walls 3 ' and over must be engineered.
- All retaining walls must be rock or stone face. No smooth concrete walls
- 20' utility easement required along all street frontage.
- Show existing and proposed water and sewer on site plan

Drainage Items:

- Detention is required. Use the Modified Rational Method for acreages less than 20acres.
- Must get a WOTUS/ Wetland study for the on-site pond.
- Dumpster areas to drain to oil/water separator and then to the storm lines.
- No vertical walls allowed in detention easement
- No public water or sanitary sewer allowed in detention easement
- Must pick up drainage from the properties east that are supposed to drain into the existing drainage easement along Airport. Driveways can't block drainage will need culverts


## Water and Wastewater Items:

- Must loop 8" water line on site.
- Only one "use" off a dead-end line (domestic, irrigation, fire sprinkler, fire hydrant, etc.)
- Minimum public sewer is 8 ". Must connect to the sewer line to the southeast. Will need a Railroad permit to cross their ROW.
- Water and sewer must be 10 ' apart.
- Install 12" water line along John King in a 20 ' easement
- Will need off-site easements to get to the sewer which is on the other side of the railroad tract and approx. 1,200' east of the subject property.
- Will need a utility crossing permit from the railroad

Roadway Paving Items:

- Parking to be $20^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$ facing the building or nose-to-nose.
- No dead-end parking allowed without an City approved turnaround.
- Drive isles to be 24 ' wide.
- Fire lane to have $20^{\prime}$ min radius if buildings are less than $30^{\prime}$ tall. If any of the buildings are 30 ' or more, the fire lane will be 30 ' radius minimum.
- Fire lane to be in a platted easement.
- Install 5' sidewalk along Airport
- Verify the ROW required for the John King swap with TxDOT.

Landscaping:

- No trees to be with 10' of any public water, sewer or storm line that is 10 " in diameter or larger
- No trees to be with 5 ' of any public water, sewer, or storm line that is less than 10 ".

| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BUILDING | Rusty McDowell | $08 / 23 / 2021$ | Approved |

No Comments

| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FIRE | Ariana Kistner | $08 / 23 / 2021$ | Approved |
| No Comments |  |  |  |
| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW |  |
| GIS |  | $08 / 23 / 2021$ |  |
| No Comments |  |  |  |
| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DTATUS OF PROJECT |  |
| POLICE | David Gonzales | $08 / 24 / 2021$ |  |
| No Comments |  |  | STATUS OF PROJECT |
|  | DATE OF REVIEW | N/A |  |
| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | $08 / 23 / 2021$ | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| PARKS | Travis Sales |  | Approved |

08/23/2021: no comments

## General Items:

Must meet City Standards of Design and Construction 4\% Engineering Inspection Fees
Impact Fees (Water, Wastewater \& Roadway)
Minimum easement width is $20^{\prime}$ for new easements. No structures including walls allowed in easements.
Retaining walls $3^{\prime}$ and over must be engineered.
All retaining walls must be rock or stone face. No smooth concrete walls
20' utility easement required along all street frontage. Show existing and proposed water and sewer on site plan

## Drainage ltems

Detention is required. Use the Modified Rational Method for acreages less than 20acres.
Must get a WOTUS/ Wetland study for the on-site pond.
Dumpster areas to drain to oil/water separator and then to
he storm lines.
No vertical walls allowed in detention easement
No public water or sanitary sewer allowed in detention
Must pick supposed to drain into the existing drainage easement along Airport. Driveways can't block drainage will need culverts

## Water and Wastewater Items:

Must loop 8" water line on site.
Only one "use" off a dead-end line (domestic, irrigation, fire sprinkler, fire hydrant, etc.

- Minimum public sewer is $8^{\prime \prime}$. Must connect to the sewer line to the southeast. Will need a Railroad permit to cross their ROW
Water and sewer must be $10^{\prime}$ apart.
Install 12" water line along John King in a 20' easement Will need off-site easements to get to the sewer which is on he other side of the railroad tract and approx. 1,200' east of he subject property.
Will need a utility crossing permit from the railroad


## Roadway Paving ltems:

Parking to be 20'x9' facing the building or nose-to-nose.
No dead-end parking allowed without an City approved
urnaround
Drive isles to be $24^{\prime}$ wide
Fire lane to have $20^{\prime}$ min radius if buildings are less than 30 tall. If any of the buildings are 30 ' or more, the fire lane will be 30' radius minimum
Fire lane to be in a platted easement.
Install 5' sidewalk along Airport
Verify the ROW required for the John King swap with TxDOT.

## Landscaping:

No trees to be with 10' of any public water, sewer or storm ne that is 10 in diameter or larger

- No trees to be with 5 ' of any public water, sewer, or storm line that is less than $10^{\prime \prime}$

texas one call 01 -800- 245 -4545 AT Least 48 hrs prior to constucton



# DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION 

City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087
STAFF USE ONLY
PLANNING \& ZONING CASE NO. $\quad 22021-034$
NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.

## DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:

CITY ENGINEER:
PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW TO INDICATE THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT REQUEST [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

```
PLATTING APPLICATION FEES:
\square MASTER PLAT ($100.00 + $15.00 ACRE) }\mp@subsup{}{}{1
\square PRELIMINARY PLAT ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE) }\mp@subsup{}{}{1
\square FINAL PLAT ($300.00 + $20.00 ACRE) }\mp@subsup{}{}{1
\square REPLAT ($300.00 + $20.00 ACRE) }\mp@subsup{}{}{1
\squareAMENDING OR MINOR PLAT ($150.00)
\square PLAT REINSTATEMENT REQUEST ($100.00)
SITE PLAN APPLICATION FEES:
|ITE PLAN ($250.00 + $20.00 ACRE) }\mp@subsup{}{}{+
\squareAMENDED SITE PLAN/ELEVATIONS/LANDSCAPING PLAN ($100.00)
```

ZONING APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ ZONING CHANGE $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ SPECIFIC USE PERMIT ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE $)^{1}$
OTHER APPLICATION FEES:

- TREE REMOVAL (\$75.00)
$\square$ VARIANCE REQUEST ( $\$ 100.00$ )
NOTES:

PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

| SUDDRESS | N/A |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SUBDIVISION | ABS A0102, D HARR, TRACT 2-06 | LOT | N/A | BLOCK | N/A |
| GENERAL LOCATION | Southeast corner of Airport Road \& N. John King Boulevard |  |  |  |  |

ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

| CURRENT ZONING | AG | CURRENT USE | Vacant |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROPOSED ZONING | LI |  | PROPOSED USE | Boys \& Girls Club |
| ACREAGE | 5.07 | LOTS [CURRENT] | 0 | LOTS [PROPOSED] |

$\square$ SITE PLANS AND PLATS: BY CHECKING THIS BOX YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT DUE TO THE PASSAGE OF HB3167 THE CITY NO LONGER HAS FLEXIBILITY WITH RESULTIN THE DENIAL OF YOUR CASE


NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUIRED]
BEFORE ME, THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHORITY, ON THIS DAY PERSONALLY APPEARED
STATED THE INFORMATION ON THIS APPLICATION TO BE TRUE AND CERTIFIED THE FOLLOWING:
"I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I AM THE OWNER FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS APPLICATION; ALL INFORMATION SUBMITTED HEREIN IS TRUE AND CORRECT; AND THE APPLICATION FEE OF
$\qquad$ TO COVER THE COST OF THIS APPLICATION, HAS BEEN PAID TO THE CITY OF ROCKWALL ON THIS THE 19 th DAY OF AUGUSt 2021 . BY SIGNING THIS APPLICATION, I AGREE THAT THE CITY OF ROCKWALL (IIE. "CITY') IS AUTH ORIZED AND PERMITTED TO PROVIDE SUBMITTED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THIS APPLICATION, IF SUCH REPRODUCTION IS ASSOCIATED OR IN RESPONSE TO A REQUESTO RGAROPNE MNGOQPYRIGHTED INFORMATION

notrarv vellcic anvo for the state of teas Annalyse O) son


City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75032
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

Case Number: Z2021-034
Case Name: Zoning Change from AG to LI Case Type: Zoning
Zoning: Agricultural (AG) District Case Address: SEC of Airport Road \& John King Blvd


## Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:

You are hereby notified that the City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

## Case No. Z2021-034: Zoning Change from AG to LI

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Maxwell Fisher of Masterplan on behalf of Saro Partners, LLC for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Light Industrial (LI) District for a 5.07-acre tract of land identified as Tract 2-06 of the D. Harr Survey, Abstract No. 102, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-205 By-Pass Overlay (SH-205 BY-OV) District, located at the southeast corner of the intersection of John King Boulevard and Airport Road, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, September 14, 2021 at 6:00 PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Monday, September 20, 2021 at 6:00 PM. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

## David Gonzales

Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, TX 75087
You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by Monday, September 20, 2021 at 4:00 PM to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.

Sincerely,


Director of Planning \& Zoning
MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases

## - - - PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM

## Case No. Z2021-034: Zoning Change from AG to LI

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:
$\square \mathrm{I}$ am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.
$\square$ I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

## Name:

Address:
Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

## City of Rockwall

Planning \& Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.

Case Number: Z2021-034
Case Name: Zoning Change from AG to LI Case Type: Zoning
Zoning: $\quad$ Agricultural (AG) District
Case Address: SEC of Airport Rd. \& John King Blvd.


FUNK JOSEPH
11226 INDIAN TRAIL
DALLAS, TX 75229

JCP JUSTIN LLC 1820 JUSTIN RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BACKWARDS LLLC
3333 MILLER PARK SOUTH GARLAND, TX 75042

SARO PARTNERS LLC
1450 T L TOWNSEND ROCKWALL, TX 75032

ROCKWALL PROPERTY CORPORATION ATTN BILL BRICKER 305 PARK PLACE BLVD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JCP JUSTIN LLC
3333 MILLER PARK SOUTH GARLAND, TX 75042

WILLCAR HOLDINGS LLC ATTN: WILLIAM H CHANNELL JR

1700 JUSTIN RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WILLCAR HOLDINGS LLC ATTN: WILLIAM H CHANNELL JR P O BOX 9022 TEMECULA, CA 92589



## Legal Description

All that, certain lot, tract or parcel of land situated in the DAVID HARR SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 102, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, and being a part of a 6.177 acres tract of land as described in a Special Warranty deed from Bobby Frank Athey, Jo An Athey and Jackie Ray Athey to Julie Catherine Marshall, Ann Elizabeth Holley and Billy H. Athey, Jr., dated December 22, 2006 and being recorded in Volume 4875, Page 125 of the Official Public Records of Rockwall County, Texas, and Being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for corner in the north right-of-way line of M.K. \& T. Railroad, a 100 foot right-of-way, said point being at the southeast corner of said 6.177 acres tract of land, said point also being at the southwest corner of a 6.177 acres tract of land as described in a Special Warranty deed from Bobby Frank Athey, Jo An Athey and Jackie Ray Athey to Bobby Frank Athey dated December 22, 2006 and being recorded in Volume 4875, Page 117 of the Official Public Records of Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE S. 88 deg. 21 min . 10 sec . W. along said right-of-way line, a distance of 250.10 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for corner in the east right-of-way line of John King Boulevard SH 205 bypass, a variable width right-of-way per deed recorded in Volume 5342. Page 256 of the Official Public Records of Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE N. 13 deg. 13 min. 12 sec . W. along said right-of-way line, a distance of 351.97 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for corner;

THENCE N. 08 deg. 15 min .40 sec . W. along said right-of-way line, a distance of 127.26 feet to a 1/2" iron rod found for corner;

THENCE N. 13 deg. 09 min .48 sec . W. along said right-of-way line, a distance of 76.52 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for corner in the west boundary line of said Marshall 6.117 acres tract;

THENCE N. 00 deg. 34 min .55 sec . W. along the west boundary line of said Marshall 6.117 acres tract, a distance of 141.41 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for corner in the south right-of-way line of said Bypass;

THENCE EAST, along said right-of-way line, a distance of 29.85 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for corner;

THENCE NORTH, along said right-of-way line, a distance of 3.54 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for corner in the south right-of-way line of Airport Road per deed recorded in Volume 6002, Page 270 of the Official Public records of Rockwall County. Texas;

THENCE N. 87 deg. 51 min .59 sec . E. along said right-of-way line, a distance of 330.97 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for corner in the east boundary line of said Marshall 6.117 acres tract;

THENCE S 00 deg. 34 min .55 sec . E. along the east line of said tract, a distance of 693.20 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING and containing 220,722 square feet or 5.07 acres of land.

## Letter of Explanation

## Boys \& Girls Club

On behalf of The Boys \& Girls Club of America, Masterplan requests approval of a change of zoning from Agriculture District to Light Industrial District on the approximately 5acre property located at the southeast corner of N. John King Boulevard and Airport Road. The Boys \& Girls Club of Northeast Texas has plans to relocate from 915 N. Goliad Street in Rockwall to the new facility planned at the subject property. The re-location will allow for new and improved facility owned by the Boys \& Girls Club.

The Boys \& Girls Club focuses on engaging youth in a healthy learning environment to help them develop to become productive, caring and responsible citizens. This mission is based upon over a hundred years of providing an outlet for children to grow and be mentored by their local community. Services to youth that particularly fill a need include youth development during out of school time when some children are most vulnerable. Their mission includes five core programs: arts, sports and recreation, leadership and service, education and health and wellness. Although the request for a change of zoning is not formally tied to specific improvements, the improvement plans include building an approximately 17,000 -square foot one-story building for activities, meeting rooms, offices and other uses to support the mission and goal of the Boys \& Girls Club of America.

The change of zoning is necessary to enable development as the current zoning of Agriculture prohibits most use and development types. The Industrial District will accommodate the development while aligning with the Future Land Use Map of the Rowlett Comprehensive Plan. The Future Land Use Map calls for Technology and Employment Center for the subject property. Light Industrial zoning makes since near the airport and industrial and other employment center uses slated for this area.

## 01 CENTRAL DISTRICT

## DISTRICT DESCRIPTION

The Central District is composed of a wide range of land uses that vary from single-family to industrial. The district's residential areas consist of suburban residential (e.g. Park Place), estate and rural residential (e.g. Rolling Meadows Subdivision), and higher density residential developments (e.g. Evergreen Senior Living). The Central District also incorporates a high volume of industrial land uses adjacent to the Union Pacific/Dallas Garland and Northeastern Rail Road line that bisects the district -and City -- in an east/west direction. The Ralph Hall Municipal Airport and several other large public/school facilities are also located within the boundaries of this district.

John King Boulevard Trail Plan
Rest Stop/Trailblazer Pylon


## DISTRICT STRATEGIES

The Central District still has some key vacant and underutilized tracts of land that are anticipated to shape the area moving forward. Taking these areas into consideration the following are the strategies for this district:
(1) Live/Work. The live/work designation in this district is intended to provide flexibility for land owners, adjacent to the railroad tracks, to transition their properties -- when appropriate -- to low intensity office/retail land uses that are similar in scale and scope to the adjacent residential properties.
(2) Suburban Residential. While many of the larger tracts in this area are not large enough to support a master planned community (which is characteristic of Northern Estates and Northwest Residential Districts), any new Suburban Residential developments should include a mix of larger to mid-sized lots. Lots in these developments should not be smaller than existing Suburban Residential lots in this district, but should be comparable in size to newer developments (i.e. Ridgecrest Subdivision). In addition, newer subdivisions adjacent to existing larger lot subdivisions should provide a transition (e.g. larger lots or a large landscape buffer) adjacent to the existing subdivision.
(3) Commercial/Retail Centers. The commercial/retail centers in this district are intended to support existing and proposed residential developments, and should be compatible in scale with adjacent residential structures (i.e. are more characteristic of neighborhood/convenience centers); however, areas adjacent to John King Boulevard should be capable of accommodating mid to large-scale commercial users. All commercial developments should incorporate appropriate screening (e.g. berms, landscaping and large buffers) to transition uses.
(4) Industrial/Special Commercial Corridor Opportunity Area. The area south of the railroad tracks that is indicated by a crosshatched pattern represents an opportunity area in the City of Rockwall. Due to its adjacency to the railroad tracks, the land is naturally suitable for Technology/Industrial land uses; however, due to the land's adjacency to strategically located parcels along $\mathbb{I H}-30$ the land could be utilized as part of a larger development in the Special Commercial Corridor.
(5) John King Boulevard Trail Plan. A ten (10) foot hike/bike trail should be incorporated along John King Boulevard with rest stops and signage as indicated in Appendix ' $B$ ' of this Comprehensive Plan.

## CITY OF ROCKWALL

ORDINANCE NO. 21-XX


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE [ORDINANCE NO. 20-02] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, AS HERETOFORE AMENDED, SO AS TO APPROVE A CHANGE IN ZONING FROM AN AGRICULTURAL (AG) DISTRICT TO A LIGHT INDUSTRIAL (LI) DISTRICT FOR A 5.07-ACRE TRACT OF LAND IDENTIFIED AS TRACT 2-06 OF THE D. HARR SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 102, CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS, AND BEING MORE SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED IN EXHIBIT 'A' AND FURTHER DEPICTED IN EXHIBIT 'B’ OF THIS ORDINANCE; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS $(\$ 2,000.00)$ FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


WHEREAS, the City has received a request from Maxwell Fisher of Masterplan on behalf of Saro Partners, LLC for a change in zoning from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Light Industrial (LI) District for a 5.07-acre tract of land identified as Tract 2-06 of the D. Harr Survey, Abstract No. 102, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, located on the southeast corner of the intersection of Airport Road and John King Boulevard, and more fully described in Exhibit ' $A$ ' and depicted in Exhibit ' $B$ ' of this ordinance, which hereinafter shall be referred to as the Subject Property and incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area, and in the vicinity thereof, and the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion, has concluded that the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] should be amended as follows:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS:

SECTION 1. That the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall, Texas, as heretofore amended, be and the same are hereby amended by amending the zoning map of the City of Rockwall so as to change the zoning of the Subject Property from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Light Industrial (LI) District;

SECTION 2. That the Subject Property shall be used only in the manner and for the purposes provided for a Light Industrial (LI) District as stipulated in Section 01.01, Use of Land and Buildings, of Article 04, Permissible Uses; Section 05.01, General Industrial District Standards; and Section 05.02, Light Industrial (LI) District, of Article 04, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting of this zoning change, and as maybe amended in the future;

SECTION 3. That the official zoning map of the City be corrected to reflect the changes in the zoning described herein;

SECTION 4. Any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000.00) for each offence and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense;

SECTION 5. If any section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section, paragraph, or provision to any person, firm, corporation or situation is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section, paragraph or provision to any other person, firm, corporation or situation, nor shall adjudication affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of the Unified Development Code (UDC) of the City of Rockwall, Texas, and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions for this ordinance are declared to be severable; and

SECTION 6. That all ordinances of the City of Rockwall in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance be and the same are hereby repealed, and all other ordinances of the City of Rockwall not in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance shall remain in full force and effect;

SECTION 7. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage.

PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, THIS THE $4^{\text {TH }}$ DAY OF OCTOBER, 2021.

Frank J. Garza, City Attorney
Kevin Fowler, Mayor


## $1^{\text {st }}$ Reading: September 20, 2021


$2^{\text {nd }}$ Reading: October 4, 2021

## Exhibit 'A'

Legal Description
All that, certain lot, tract or parcel of land situated in the DAVID HARR SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 102, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, and being a part of a 6.177 acres tract of land as described in a Special Warranty deed from Bobby Frank Athey, Jo An Athey and Jackie Ray Athey to Julie Catherine Marshall, Ann Elizabeth Holley and Billy H. Athey, Jr., dated December 22, 2006 and being recorded in Volume 4875, Page 125 of the Official Public Records of Rockwall County, Texas, and Being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a $1 / 2^{2}$ iron rod found for corner in the north right-of-way line of M.K. \& T. Railroad, a 100 foot right-of-way, said point being at the southeast corner of said 6.177 acres tract of land, said point also being at the southwest corner of a 6.177 acres tract of land as described in a Special Warranty deed from Bobby Frank Athey, Jo An Athey and Jackie Ray Athey to Bobby Frank Athey dated December 22, 2006 and being recorded in Volume 4875, Page 117 of the Official Public Records of Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE S. 88 deg. 21 min . 10 sec . W. along said right-of-way line, a distance of 250.10 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for corner in the east right-of-way line of John King Boulevard SH 205 bypass, a variable width right-of-way per deed recorded in Volume 5342. Page 256 of the Official Public Records of Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE N. 13 deg. 13 min .12 sec . W. along said right-of-way line, a distance of 351.97 feet to a $1 / 2$ " iron rod found for corner;

THENCE N. 08 deg. 15 min .40 sec . W. along said right-of-way line, a distance of 127.26 feet to a $1 / 2$ " iron rod found for corner;

THENCE N. 13 deg. 09 min .48 sec . W. along said right-of-way line, a distance of 76.52 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for corner in the west boundary line of said Marshall 6.117 acres tract;

THENCE N. 00 deg. 34 min .55 sec . W. along the west boundary line of said Marshall 6.117 acres tract, a distance of 141.41 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for corner in the south right-of-way line of said Bypass;

THENCE EAST, along said right-of-way line, a distance of 29.85 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for corner;

THENCE NORTH, along said right-of-way line, a distance of 3.54 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for corner in the south right-of-way line of Airport Road per deed recorded in Volume 6002, Page 270 of the Official Public records of Rockwall County. Texas;

THENCE N. 87 deg. 51 min .59 sec . E. along said right-of-way line, a distance of 330.97 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for corner in the east boundary line of said Marshall 6.117 acres tract;

THENCE S 00 deg. 34 min .55 sec . E. along the east line of said tract, a distance of 693.20 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING and containing 220,722 square feet or 5.07 acres of land.


Exhibit 'B'
Zoning Exhibit



CITY OF ROCKWALL
385 S. GOLIAD STREET
ROCKWALL, TEXAS 75087
PHONE: (972) 771-7700

## DATE: 8/26/2021

PROJECT NUMBER:
PROJECT NAME:
SITE ADDRESS/LOCATIONS:

Z2021-035
Zoning Change from AG to NS

CASE MANAGER:
CASE MANAGER PHONE: CASE MANAGER EMAIL:

David Gonzales (972) 772-6488 dgonzales@rockwall.com

CASE CAPTION: $\quad$| Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Robert LaCroix and Brian Berry of BNSBS, L. P. on behalf of Bradley |
| :--- |
| Gideon for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Neighborhood Services (NS) District for a |

2.751-acre identified as Tract 1-04 of the S. R. Barnes Survey, Abstract No. 13, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned
Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-205 By-Pass Overlay (SH-205 BY-OV) District, located at the southeast corner of the
intersection of John King Boulevard and Quail Run Road, and take any action necessary.

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DEPARTMENT | DEVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
|  | David Gonzales | $08 / 24 / 2021$ | Needs Review |

[^2]I. 1 This is a request for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a a Neighborhood Services (NS) District for a 2.751 -acre identified as Tract 1-04 of the S. R. Barnes Survey, Abstract No. 13, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-205 By-Pass Overlay (SH-205 BY-OV) District, located at the southeast corner of the intersection of John King Boulevard and Quail Run Road.
I.2 For questions or comments concerning this case please contact David Gonzales in the Planning Department at (972) 772-6488 or email dgonzales@rockwall.com.
I. 3 This project is subject to all requirements stipulated by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the SH-205 By-Pass Overlay (SH-205 BY-OV) District, and the Development Standards of Article 04 \& Article 05 that are applicable to the subject property.
M. 4 As a note, based on the size of the proposed building as indicated on the conceptual site plan, approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) will be required in order to construct a building greater than 5,000 SF within the Neighborhood Services (NS) District. [Subsection 07.03, Non-Residential District Development Standards, of Article 05, UDC]
I. 5 Please note the scheduled meetings for this case:

1) Planning \& Zoning Work Session meeting will be held on August 31, 2021.
2) Planning \& Zoning Public Hearing meeting will be held on September 14, 2021.
3) City Council Public Hearing will be held on September 20, 2021. (1st Reading of Ordinance)
4) City Council meeting will be held on October 4, 2021. (2nd Reading of Ordinance)
I. 5 All meetings will be held in person and in the City's Council Chambers. All meetings listed above are scheduled to begin at 6:00 p.m. The City requires that a representative(s) be present for these meetings.

| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENGINEERING | Sarah Johnston | 08/26/2021 | Needs Review |

08/26/2021: M - Driveway spacing to be 200' away from John King and 100' from Old Quail Run.
M - Driveway spacing to be 300' along John King from Quail Run and then 200' from the next southern driveway.
$M$ - There is an existing 12 " water line. No structures or landscaping can be within 10 ' of the line.

The following items are informational for the engineering design phase
General Items:

- Must meet City Standards of Design and Construction
- 4\% Engineering Inspection Fees
- Impact Fees (Water, Wastewater \& Roadway)
- Minimum easement width is 20' for new easements. No structures including walls allowed in or across easements.
- Retaining walls $3^{\prime}$ and over must be engineered.
- All retaining walls must be rock or stone face. No smooth concrete walls.
- Show proposed and existing utilities on site plan

Drainage Items:

- Detention is required. Manning's c-value is determined by land use.
- Dumpster areas to drain to oil/water separator and then to the storm lines.
- No public water or sewer can be in the detention easement.
- No vertical walls allowed in detention.

Water and Wastewater Items:

- Must loop 8" water line on site.
- Only one "use" off a dead-end line (domestic, irrigation, fire sprinkler, fire hydrant, etc.)
- Minimum public sewer is 8". Must connect to the sewer line on west side of John King. Must bore line under ROW.
- Sewer Pro-rata of \$593.37/acre
- Water and sewer must be 10' apart.

Roadway Paving Items:

- Parking to be 20'x9' facing the building or nose-to-nose.
- No dead-end parking allowed without an City approved turnaround.
- Drive isles to be 24 ' wide.
- Fire lane to have 20' min radius if buildings are less than $30^{\prime}$ tall. If any of the buildings are $30^{\prime}$ or more, the fire lane will be 30 ' radius minimum.
- Fire lane to be in a platted easement.
- Must dedicate half of the ROW for E Old Quail Run Road (25' from the CL)
- If connecting in directly to E Old Quail Run Rd then will need to pave min of 24' wide back to Quail Run Rd.

Landscaping:

- No trees to be with 10' of any public water, sewer or storm line that is 10 " in diameter or larger
- No trees to be with $5^{\prime}$ of any public water, sewer, or storm line that is less than 10 ".

| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BUILDING | Rusty McDowell | 08/23/2021 | Approved |

No Comments

| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FIRE | Ariana Kistner | 08/23/2021 | Approved |


| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GIS | Lance Singleton | $08 / 23 / 2021$ |  |
| No Comments |  |  | Approved |
| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | David Gonzales | $08 / 24 / 2021$ |
| POLICE |  |  | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| No Comments | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW |  |
| DEPARTMENT | Travis Sales | $08 / 23 / 2021$ | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| PARKS |  |  | Approved |
| $08 / 23 / 2021:$ no comments |  |  |  |



## General Items

- Must meet City Standards of Design and Construction
- 4\% Engineering Inspection Fees - Impact Fees (Water, Wastewater \& Roadway)
- Minimum easement width is 20 for new easements. No structures including walls allowed in or across easements.
- Retaining walls 3' and over must be
engineered.
- All retaining walls must be rock or stone face. No smooth concrete walls.
- Show proposed and existing utilities on site plan


## Drainage Items:

- Detention is required. Manning's c-value is determined by land use.
Dumpster areas to drain to oil/water separator and then to the storm lines.
- No public water or sewer can be in the detention easement.
- No vertical walls allowed in detention.


## Water and Wastewater Items:

- Must loop 8" water line on site

Only one "use" off a dead-end line (domestic, irrigation, fire sprinkler, fire hydrant, etc.)

- Minimum public sewer is 8". Must connect to the sewer line on west side of John King. Must bore line under ROW
- Sewer Pro-rata of \$593.37/acre

Water and sewer must be 10' apart.

## Roadway Paving Items:

- Parking to be 20'x9' facing the building or nose-to-nose.
- No dead-end parking allowed without an City approved turnaround.
Drive isles to be $24^{\prime}$ wide.
- Fire lane to have $20^{\prime}$ min radius if buildings are less than 30 ' tall. If any of the buildings are $30^{\prime}$ or more, the fire lane will be $30^{\prime}$ radius minimum.
- Fire lane to be in a platted easement.
- Must dedicate half of the ROW for E Old Quail Run Road ( 25 ' from the CL)
- If connecting in directly to E Old Quail Run Rd then will need to pave min of 24' wide back to Quail Run Rd.


## Landscaping:

- No trees to be with 10' of any public water, sewer or storm line that is $10^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter or larger.
- No trees to be with $5^{\prime}$ of any public water, sewer, or storm line that is less than 10".

392 516




ARCHITECTURAL SITE PLAN


PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW TO INDICATE THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT REQUEST [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

## PLATTING APPLICATION FEES:

$\square$ MASTER PLAT $(\$ 100.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ PRELIMINARY PLAT $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE $)$
$\square$ FINAL PLAT $\left(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00\right.$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
$\square$ REPEAT $(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ AMENDING OR MINOR PLAT ( $\$ 150.00$ )

- PLAT REINSTATEMENT REQUEST ( $\$ 100.00$ )

SITE PLAN APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ SITE PLAN $(\$ 250.00+\$ 20.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ AMENDED SITE PLAN/ELEVATIONS/LANDSCAPING PLAN (\$100.00)

```
ZOMING APPLICATION FEES:
$'ZONING CHANGE ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE) }\mp@subsup{}{}{1
\square SPECIFIC USE PERMIT ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE) }\mp@subsup{}{}{1
\square PD DEVELOPMENT PLANS ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE) '
OTHER APPLICATION FEES:
\square TREE REMOVAL ($75.00)
\squareVARIANCE REQUEST ($100.00)
NOTES:
```

\&: IN DETERMINING THE FEE, PLEASE USE THE EXACT ACREAGE WHEN MULTIPLYING BY THE PER ACRE AMOUNT. FOR REQUESTS ON LESS THAN ONE ACRE, ROUND UP TO ONE (1) ACRE.

## PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

 subdision Mir. Barnes guevey, ABri. B, Tratt-O4 RCAD lot ID. 88652 block GENERAL LOCATION

## ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION PLEASE PRINT

CURRENT ZONING
CURRENT USE vACANT
proposed zoning NS (HELGRBRHKOD SERVICE) proposed use Retail DELOpmenti
ACREAGE $2.751_{\text {It lots [CURRENT] }}$
LOTS [PROPOSED]

- SITE PLANS AND PLATS: BY CHECKING THIS BOX YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT DUE TO THE PASSAGE OF HB3167 THE CITY NO LONGER HAS FLEXIBILITY WITH REGARD TO ITS APPROVAL PROCESS, AND FAILURE TO ADDRESS ANY OF STAFFS COMMENTS BY THE DATE PROVIDED ON THE DEVELOPMENT CALENDAR WILL RESULT IN THE DENIAL OF YOUR CASE.
OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINTICHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACT/ORIGINAL SIGNATURES ARE REQUIRED]




## NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUIRED]

 STATED THE INFORMATION ON THIS APPLICATION TO BE TRUE AND CERTIFIED THE FOLLOWING:



## City of Rockwall

Planning \& Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.


Case Number: Z2021-035
Case Name: Zoning Change from AG to NS Case Type: Zoning
Zoning: Agricultural (AG) District Case Address: NEC of John King Blvd. \& Quail Run Rd.

## Date Created: 8/20/2021



City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com


## Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:

You are hereby notified that the City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

## Case No. Z2021-035: Zoning Change from AG to NS

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Robert LaCroix and Brian Berry of BNSBS, L. P. on behalf of Bradley Gideon for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Neighborhood Services (NS) District for a 2.751-acre identified as Tract 1-04 of the S. R. Barnes Survey, Abstract No. 13, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-205 By-Pass Overlay (SH-205 BY-OV) District, located at the southeast corner of the intersection of John King Boulevard and Quail Run Road, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, September 14, 2021 at 6:00 PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Monday, September 20, 2021 at 6:00 PM. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

## David Gonzales

Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, TX 75087
You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by Monday, September 20, 2021 at 4:00 PM to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.

Sincerely,


Director of Planning \& Zoning
MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases

## - - - PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM

## Case No. Z2021-035: Zoning Change from AG to NS

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:
$\square \mathrm{I}$ am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.
$\square I$ am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

## Name:

Address:
Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

INC
1024 S GREENVILLE AVE \#230 ALLEN, TX 75002

PACESETTER HOMES LLC 1309 KIRKWOOD ROAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PACESETTER HOMES LLC 1313 KIRKWOOD ROAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PACESETTER HOMES LLC
1317 KIRKWOOD ROAD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PACESETTER HOMES LLC 1321 KIRKWOOD ROAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PACESETTER HOMES LLC 1325 KIRKWOOD ROAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

```
KOUVELIS HILDA \& PETER 1415 E QUAIL RUN RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087
```

TYLER WILLIAM L AND VANITA RAE
1501 THE ROCK ROCKWALL, TX 75087

COX GERALD GLEN AND ROSALBA CARRASCO 1800 E QUAIL RUN RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

```
GARDNER JAMES K JR AND
        KARIN B NEWELL
        2006 BAILEY DRIVE
    ROCKWALL, TX 75087
```

PACESETTER HOMES LLC
1304 KIRKWOOD ROAD
ROCKWAL TX 75087
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PACESETTER HOMES LLC
1311 MIDDLETON DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PACESETTER HOMES LLC
1315 MIDDLETON DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PACESETTER HOMES LLC 1319 MIDDLETON DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## JORDAN RAYSHAWN AND LANDRIA 1323 MIDDLETON DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PACESETTER HOMES LLC 1327 MIDDLETON DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LARRIVIERE MICHAEL R \& LISA J 1425 E QUAIL RUN RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LANKFORD BONNIE
1691 OLD E QUAIL RUN RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

UTLEY HERMAN D
1815 E OLD QUAIL RUN RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DEAPEN RICHARD AND ALICIA 2010 BAILEY DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## PACESETTER HOMES LLC 1308 KIRKWOOD ROAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## PACESETTER HOMES LLC

 1312 KIRKWOOD ROAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087
## PACESETTER HOMES LLC 1316 KIRKWOOD ROAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## PACESETTER HOMES LLC 1320 KIRKWOOD ROAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## PACESETTER HOMES LLC 1324 KIRKWOOD ROAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## PACESETTER HOMES LLC 1328 KIRKWOOD ROAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PACESETTER HOMES LLC<br>14400 THE LAKES BLVD BUILDING C, SUITE 200 AUSTIN, TX 78660

UTLEY HERMAN AND KRISTI 1751 E QUAIL RUN ROAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BERHE MERHAWI 2002 BAILEY DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HARRIS ALBERT G AND JENNIFER O 2014 BAILEY DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WIMPEE JAKE M AND REBECCA K 2018 BAILEY DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

COX GERALD GLEN AND ROSALBA CARRASCO
815 T.L. TOWNSEND SUITE 101 ROCKWALL, TX 75087



Rezoning Request Project Northeast Corner of John King Blvd. \& Quail Rum $\mathbb{R d}$. Rockwall, Texas 75087

BNSBs. L.P. is currently contracting to purchase the property described as a 2 Acre tract of land situated in the S. R. Barnes Survey, Abstract No. 13, Tract 1-04, RCAD I.D. 88652, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas and being located at the northeast corner of John King Blvd. and Quail Run Rd. The current zoning on this tract is AG (Agricultural District) and the Future Land Use Map designates the property as "Low Density Residential". The property is a triangular shaped tract with street frontages on all three sides. John King Blvd. is a major thoroughfare north/south connector and the new Quail Run Rd. on the north side of the property is a collector roadway intended to connect to that section of Quail Run Rd. to the west and potentially extend to the east as a major collector roadway for this sector the City. These factors of major roadways abutting the property, in addition to the triangular shape of the tract, makes the property difficult to develop as residential and essentially makes it not a desirable residential tract. However, with the increase in housing density in the surrounding area and the substantial increase in traffic counts on John King Blvd., this tract can best serve the community as a small retail development with neighborhood services that fit into the needs of the nearby neighborhoods. John King Blvd. is a planned roadway that encourages walking, biking, trailheads that connect to the trail system and also requires higher levels of landscaping that makes it a great asset for the City. The location of a high quality well designed small neighbor service/retail development would greatly enhance the visual aesthetic of this northern portion of the roadway, especially at this ideal intersection. Our request is that the property be rezoned to NS (Neighborhood Service District) to accommodate those services associated to the local needs of the surrounding neighborhoods. This requested zoning change makes this property viable for development. Low density residential or even higher density residential is highly unlikely to occur with the expansion of John King Blvd. as the SH 205 Bypass and Quail Run Rd. becoming a primary east/west collector. This will only further prevent residential development from occurring on this tract.

Thank you for your attention to this matter and we look forward to bringing this rezoning request to the City of Rockwall for consideration.

Robert LaCroix
R. LaCroix Consulting, LLC

## 11 NORTHEAST RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT

DISTRICT DESCRIPTION
The Northeast Residential District is characterized by its established lowdensity residential subdivisions and rural/estate style lots. This district is anticipated to be a future growth center for the City, having several large vacant tracts of land suitable for low-density, residential development. In addition, the City currently owns a large tract of land that will be a northern community park and serve this district in the future.

## DISTRICT STRATEGIES

The Northeast Residential District being mostly an established residential district, is not anticipated to change or transition. The strategies for this district are:
(1) Estate and Rural Residential. The maintenance of the Estate and Rural Residential housing types are important to balancing the diversity of suburban lots to large lot housing within the City. These areas also provide rural reserves for the City and create a natural transition zone to the east, towards FM-3549.
(2) Suburban Residential. Any new Suburban Residential developments should include a mix of larger to mid-sized lots. Lots in these developments should not be smaller than existing Suburban Residential in this district.
(3) Infill Development. Residential infill development within this district should be compatible with the surrounding structures and should generally follow the guidelines for low density, suburban housing or rural/estate housing.
4 Neighborhood/Convenience Centers. The commercial in this district is intended to support the existing residential subdivisions and should be compatible in scale with the adjacent residential structures.
(5) John King Boulevard Trail Plan. A ten (10) foot hike/bike trail should be incorporated along John King Boulevard with rest stops and signage as indicated in Appendix ' $B$ ' of this Comprehensive Plan.

## POINTS OF REFERENCE

A. Stoney Hollow Subdivision
B. Celia Hays Elementary School
C. North Country Lane Park
D. Saddlebrook Estates Subdivision
E. Resthaven Funeral Home

LAND USE PALETTES

- Current Land Use
- Future Land Use


John King Boulevard Trail Plan Rest Stop/Trailblazer Pylon

(2) Future Suburban Residential


## CITY OF ROCKWALL

ORDINANCE NO. 21-XX


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE [ORDINANCE NO. 20-02] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, AS HERETOFORE AMENDED, SO AS TO APPROVE A CHANGE IN ZONING FROM AN AGRICULTURAL (AG) DISTRICT TO A NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES (NS) DISTRICT FOR A 2.751-ACRE TRACT OF LAND IDENTIFIED AS TRACT 1-04 OF THE S. R. BARNES SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 13, CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS, AND BEING MORE SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED IN EXHIBIT 'A' AND FURTHER DEPICTED IN EXHIBIT 'B' OF THIS ORDINANCE; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS $(\$ 2,000.00)$ FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


WHEREAS, the City has received a request from Robert LaCroix and Brian Berry of BNSBs, LP and on behalf of Bradley Gideon for a change in zoning from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Neighborhood Services (NS) District for a 2.751-acre tract of land identified as Tract 1-04 of the S. R. Barnes Survey, Abstract No. 13, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, located on the southeast corner of the intersection of John King Boulevard and Quail Run Road, and more fully described in Exhibit ' $A$ ' and depicted in Exhibit ' $B$ ' of this ordinance, which hereinafter shall be referred to as the Subject Property and incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area, and in the vicinity thereof, and the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion, has concluded that the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] should be amended as follows:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS:

SECTION 1. That the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall, Texas, as heretofore amended, be and the same are hereby amended by amending the zoning map of the City of Rockwall so as to change the zoning of the Subject Property from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Neighborhood Services (NS) District;

SECTION 2. That the Subject Property shall be used only in the manner and for the purposes provided for a Neighborhood Services (NS) District as stipulated in Section 01.01, Use of Land and Buildings, of Article 04, Permissible Uses; Section 04.01, General Commercial District Standards; and Section 04.03, Neighborhood Services (NS) District, of Article 04, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting of this zoning change,
and as maybe amended in the future;
SECTION 3. That the official zoning map of the City be corrected to reflect the changes in the zoning described herein;

SECTION 4. Any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000.00) for each offence and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense;

SECTION 5. If any section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section, paragraph, or provision to any person, firm, corporation or situation is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section, paragraph or provision to any other person, firm, corporation or situation, nor shall adjudication affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of the Unified Development Code (UDC) of the City of Rockwall, Texas, and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions for this ordinance are declared to be severable; and

SECTION 6. That all ordinances of the City of Rockwall in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance be and the same are hereby repealed, and all other ordinances of the City of Rockwall not in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance shall remain in full force and effect;

SECTION 7. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage.

PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, THIS THE $4^{\text {TH }}$ DAY OF OCTOBER, 2021.

## ATTEST:

Kristy Cole, City Secretary

## APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Frank J. Garza, City Attorney
Kevin Fowler, Mayor

## $1^{\text {st }}$ Reading: September 20, 2021

$2^{\text {nd }}$ Reading: October 4, 2021


Exhibit 'A'
Legal Description

## STATE OF TEXAS <br> COUNTY OF ELLIS

BEING all of a tract or parcel of land of the S. R. BARNES SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. A-13, and being a tract of land conveyed to Joe. W. Gideon, as recorded in Volume 35, Page 591, of the Deed records of Rockwall County, Texas, as shown on this survey, and being more particularly described by metes and bounds as follows;

COMMENCING at a PK nail found for corner being the Southwest corner of a tract of land conveyed to Hilda \& Peter Kouvelis, as recorded in Volume 1881, Page 115, of the Deed records of Rockwall County, Texas, and being the Northeast corner of a 25 foot right-of-way dedication, of a Lot 1, Block A, of Cox Acres, as recorded in Cabinet J, Slide 146, of the Deed records of Rockwall County, Texas, and being near the centerline of E. Quail Run Road;

THENCE South 54 degrees 37 minutes 50 seconds West, a distance of 238.03 feet to a PK nail found for corner, said point being the PLACE OF BEGINNING;

THENCE South 00 degrees 35 minutes 10 seconds West, a distance of 82.59 feet, to a PK nail found for corner being on the West right-of-way line of E. Old Quail Run Road;

THENCE South 03 degrees 01 minutes 04 seconds West, a distance of 130.01 feet, to a PK nail found for corner being on the Southwest right-of-way line of said E. Old Quail Run Road;

THENCE South 11 degrees 48 minutes 41 seconds West, a distance of 134.53 feet, to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod found for corner;

THENCE South 07 degrees 18 minutes 24 seconds West, a distance of 86.44 feet, to a PK nail found for corner being on the West right-of-way line of said E. Old Quail Run Road;

THENCE South 01 degrees 24 minutes 23 seconds West, a distance of 103.15 feet, to a PK nail found for corner being on the West right-of-way line of said E. Old Quail Run Road;

THENCE South 01 degrees 57 minutes 19 seconds East, a distance of 143.82 feet, to a 60D nail found for corner being the Southeast corner of said Gideon tract;

THENCE South 88 degrees 04 minutes 14 seconds West, a distance of 48.28 feet, to a $1 / 2$ inch red-capped iron rod found for corner being the Southwest corner of said Gideon tract, being in a curve to the left with a radius of 1160.00 feet;

THENCE continuing along said curve, a chord bearing of North 14 degrees 01 minutes 14 seconds West, a distance of 205.28 feet, a central angle of 10 degrees 09 minutes 10 seconds, an arc length of 205.55 feet, to a $1 / 2$ inch red-capped iron rod found for corner, being on the Northeast right-of-way line of John King Boulevard;

THENCE North 14 degrees 55 minutes 19 seconds West, a distance of 96.58 feet, to a point for corner from which a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod found for reference bears South 60 degrees 47 minutes 28 seconds West, being on the Northeast right-of-way of said John King Boulevard, said point being in a curve to the left with a radius of 1171.00 feet;

THENCE continuing along said curve, a chord bearing and distance of North 31 degrees 25

## Exhibit 'A'

Legal Description
minutes 08 seconds West, a distance of 289.62 feet, a central angle of 14 degrees 12 minutes 26 seconds, an arc length of 290.36 feet to a $5 / 8$ inch iron rod found for corner, being on the Northeast right-of-way line of said John King Boulevard;

THENCE North 04 degrees 19 minutes 10 seconds East, a distance of 43.89 feet, to a $1 / 2$ inch red-capped iron rod found for corner being on the Southeast right-of-way line of E. Quail Run Road, and being on a curve to the right with a radius of 14.00 feet;

THENCE continuing along said curve, a chord bearing of North 58 degrees 21 minutes 34 seconds West, a distance of 21.21 feet, a central angle of 98 degrees 29 minutes 19 seconds, an arc length of 24.07 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 09 degrees 06 minutes 04 seconds East, a distance of 47.64 feet to a $5 / 8$ inch yellow- capped iron rod found for corner;

THENCE South 89 degrees 39 minutes 23 seconds East, a distance of 17.74 feet to the PLACE



CITY OF ROCKWALL
385 S. GOLIAD STREET
ROCKWALL, TEXAS 75087
PHONE: (972) 771-7700

## DATE: 8/26/2021

PROJECT NUMBER:
PROJECT NAME:
SITE ADDRESS/LOCATIONS:

Z2021-036
Amendment to Planned Development District 91

CASE MANAGER:
CASE MANAGER PHONE:
CASE MANAGER EMAIL:

Henry Lee 972.772.6434 hlee@rockwall.com

CASE CAPTION: Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Adam Shiffer of the Skorburg Company on behalf of Gordon C. Fogg for the approval of a Zoning Change to amend Planned Development District 91 (PD-91) [Ordinance No. 21-36] to incorporate a 20.00 -acre tract of land identified as Tracts 22-04 \& 22-05 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, addressed as 505 Clem Road, and take any action necessary.

| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Henry Lee | 08/26/2021 | Approved w/ Comments |
| 08/26/2021: Z2021-032; Specific Use Permit for a Restaurant - Lot 1, Block A, Sky Ridge Addition <br> Please address the following comments ( $\mathrm{M}=$ Mandatory Comments; $\mathrm{I}=$ Informational Comments) <br> I. 1 This is a request for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Restaurant with Less Than 2,000 SF with a Drive-Through or Drive-In for the purpose of constructing a restaurant (i.e. Dutch Bros. Coffee) on a 0.57 -acre portion of a larger 8.583 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1 , Block A, Sky Ridge Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, situated within Scenic Overlay (SOV) District, generally located southeast of the intersection of Ridge Road [FM-740] and W. Yellow Jacket Lane. <br> I. 2 For questions or comments concerning this case please contact Henry Lee in the Planning Department at (972) 772-6434 or email hlee@rockwall.com. <br> I. 3 This project is subject to all requirements stipulated by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the standards established by the Specific Use Permit, the Commercial (C) <br> District, the Scenic Overlay (SOV) District, and the Development Standards of Article 05 that are applicable to the subject property. <br> M. 4 Please review and provide red-lined mark-ups of any changes to the Specific Use Permit (SUP) [i.e. Draft Ordinance] you feel may be necessary for your proposed project and return to staff no later than September 7, 2021 for review and consideration. <br> M. 5 Review the following conditions pertaining to the operation of a Restaurant with Less Than 2,000 SF with Drive-Through or Drive-In on the Subject Property and conformance to these conditions is required for continued operation. Correct all Non-Conforming requirements. <br> (9) Restaurant with Less Than 2,000 SF with Drive-Through or Drive-In (Subsection 02.03 (F)(9), Article 04). <br> 1) Drive-through lanes shall not have access to a local residential street. CONFORMING <br> 2) Additional landscape screening shall be installed adjacent to drive-through lanes to impair the visibility and impact of head-lights from motor vehicles in the drive-through lane on adjacent properties, rights-of-way, parks and open space. NON-CONFORMING <br> 3) Unless otherwise approved by the Planning and Zoning Commission, stacking lanes for a drive-through service window shall accommodate a minimum of six (6) standard sized motor vehicles per lane. CONFORMING <br> M. 6 Indicate on the concept plan the headlight screening being utilized to eliminate glare onto adjacent properties and roadways (i.e. Great Faith Church and Ridge Road). I would review the approved landscape buffer in front of the property from Case No. MIS2021-001; utilize this along with any additional screening to meet the headlight screening requirement. <br> M. 7 Indicate the point of order (i.e. any menu boards/speakers) on the conceptual site plan. <br> I. 8 Consider moving the drive-through lane to the west side of the building to reduce the amount of headlight screening required for Ridge Road. This will also allow the front of the building to be more visible as opposed to locating the drive-through window adjacent to Ridge Road. <br> I.9 Based on the provided elevations the below variances have been identified. Based on these variances, the proposed building elevations will not be incorporated into the |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | measures for each requested variance.

(1) Minimum $20 \%$ stone on all facades
(2) Greater than $50 \%$ stucco on south and west elevations
(3) Proposed flat roof instead on a pitched roof
I.10 Please note the scheduled meetings for this case:
(1) Planning \& Zoning work session meeting will be held on August 31, 2021.
(2) Planning \& Zoning Public Hearing will be held on September 14, 2021.
(3) City Council Public Hearing will be held on September 20, 2021. (1st Reading of Ordinance)
(4) City Council regular meeting will be held on October 4, 2021. (2nd Reading of Ordinance)
I.11 All meetings will be held in person in the City's Council Chambers and are scheduled to begin at 6:00 PM. The City requires that a representative(s) be present for all scheduled meetings.

| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENGINEERING | Sarah Johnston | 08/26/2021 | Needs Review |

08/26/2021: M - No parking is allowed to back out onto a public street.
M - Install 12-inch WL per Master Plan north to south on the property. (see markup)
The following items are informational for the engineering design process.
General Items:
M - Must meet City Standards of Design and Construction
I-4\% Engineering Inspection Fees
I - Impact Fees (Water, Wastewater \& Roadway)
I - Minimum easement width is 20' for new easements. No structures allowed in easements.
I - Retaining walls $3^{\prime}$ and over must be engineered.
I - All retaining walls must be rock or stone face. No smooth concrete walls.
I - Must include a 10' utility easement along all street frontage.
I - Must show and label proposed and existing utilities on pre-plat
Drainage Items:
I-Detention is required. Manning's C -value is by zoning.
I - Detention for 20 or more acres must be calculated using the unit hydrograph method and perform a detention/flood study. Review fees apply. (Must meet design requirements for Squabble Creek Basin)
I- No lot-to-lot drainage is allowed.
I - Must have a wetlands/WOTUS determination for all lakes/ponds and streams/creeks on site.
I - No vertical walls are allowed in detention easements.
I - Must delineate the 100-yr fully developed localized floodplain for all creek, stream and low ares (including pond and creek on the south property line) Detention must be above the 100-year Water Surface Elevation.
I - Must established drainage easements per erosion hazard setback and floodplain requirements.
I - Floodplain and erosion hazard setback to be in its own separate lot to be owned and maintained by the HOA. lot/property line will vary based on this.
Water and Wastewater Items:
I-Must loop 8" min. water line on site. Only one use allowed off a dead end line.
I-Must install a 12" water line through the site per the Master Plans.
I - You can pursue opting out of the Mt. Zion water district.
I - Will need a letter from Mt. Zion stating that they can serve domestic and fire protection to meet City requirements if not opting out of Mt. Zion WD.
I - Will need to connect the subdivision's sewer to the ex. sewer system that is located the west (approx. 3000ft). You may need additional easements.
Roadway Paving Items:

I-Required 10 ' utility easement required along all street frontage.
I - All streets to be concrete. Min. $50^{\prime}$ ROW, $29^{\prime}$ back-to-back paving. Streets must be curb and gutter style. No asphalt or rock streets.
I - Alleys to be 20' ROW, 12' wide paving.
I - No dead-end streets allowed. Must have a cul-de-sac or turnaround per City Standards.
I-Must meet driveway spacing requirements.
I - TXDOT permit required for driveway on FM 1141 This will require TIA to be submitted.
I - Dedicate ROW for FM 1141. 85' ROW for an M4D four lane divided roadway. Must dedicate 42.5 ' from the centerline if not existing. Reserve $17.5^{\prime}$ for future FM 1141 right-of-way for TXDOT

Landscaping:
I-No trees to be with 10 ' of any public water, sewer or storm line that is 10 " in diameter or larger.
I - No trees to be with 5 ' of any public water, sewer, or storm line that is less than 10 ".
I- "Open spaces to be maintained by the property owner/HOA" add note to preliminary plat.

| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BUILDING | Rusty McDowell | 08/23/2021 | Approved |
| No Comments |  |  |  |
| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| FIRE | Ariana Kistner | 08/23/2021 | Approved |
| No Comments |  |  |  |
| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| GIS | Lance Singleton | 08/23/2021 | Approved |
| No Comments |  |  |  |
| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| POLICE | Henry Lee | 08/26/2021 | N/A |
| No Comments |  |  |  |
| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| PARKS | Travis Sales | 08/23/2021 | Approved |

08/23/2021: Eventually will need the following as process proceeds

1. landscape plans for common areas and detention
2. tree mitigation plans
3. Park District 8

132 lots
Cash in lieu of land 132lots $\times \$ 609.00=\$ 80,388.00$
Pro Rata Equipment Fees 132 lots $\times \$ 577.00=\$ 76,164.00$
Total 132 lots $\times \$ 1,186.00=\$ 156,552.00$

# DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION 

City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087
STAFF USE ONLY PLANNING \& ZONING CASE NO. Z2021-036
NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.
DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:
CITY ENGINEER:

PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW TO INDICATE THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT REQUEST [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

PLATTING APPLICATION FEES:<br>- MASTER PLAT $(\$ 100.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$<br>$\square$ PRELIMINARY PLAT $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$<br>$\square$ FINAL PLAT ( $\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$<br>$\square$ REPLAT $(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$<br>AMENDING OR MINOR PLAT (\$150.00)<br>PLAT REINSTATEMENT REQUEST ( $\$ 100.00$ )<br>SITE PLAN APPLICATION FEES:<br>$\square$ SITE PLAN $(\$ 250.00+\$ 20.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$

ZONING APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ ZONING CHANGE $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ SPECIFIC USE PERMIT $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
ख PD DEVELOPMENT PLANS $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
OTHER APPLICATION FEES:

- TREE REMOVAL (\$75.00)

NOTES:
1: IN DETERMINING THE FEE, PLEASE USE THE EXACT ACREAGE WHEN MULTIPLYING BY THE PER ACRE AMOUNT. FOR REQUESTS ON LESS THAN ONE ACRE, ROUND UP TO ONE (1) ACRE.

PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

$\square$ SITE PLANS AND PLATS: BY CHECKING THIS BOX YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT DUE TO THE PASSAGE OF HB3167 THE CITY NO LONGER HAS FLEXIBLITY WITH REGARD TO ITS APPROVAL PROCESS, AND FAILURE TO ADDRESS ANY OF STAFF'S COMMENTS BY THE DATE PROVIDED ON THE DEVELOPMENT CALENDAR WILL RESULT IN THE DENIAL OF YOUR CASE.
OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT/CHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACT/ORIGINAL SIGNATURES ARE REQUiRED]


## NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUIRED]

BEFORE ME, THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHORITY, ON THIS DAY PERSONALLY APPEARED $\qquad$ [OWNER] THE UNDERSIGNED, WHO STATED THE INFORMATION ON THIS APPLICATION TO BE TRUE AND CERTIFIED THE FOLLOWING:
$\qquad$ TO COVER THE COST OF THIS APPLICATION, HAS BEEN PAID TO THE CITY OF ROCKFALL ON THIS THE
BY SIGNING THIS APPUCATION, I GREE THAT THE CITY OF ROCKWALL (IE. "CITY") IS AUTH $\qquad$ DAY OF $\$$ INFORMATION CONTAINED WITHIN THIS APPLICATION TO THE PUBLIC. THE CITY IS ALSO AUTHORIZED AND PERMITTED TO REPRODUCE ANY COPYRIGHTED INFORMATION SUBMITTED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THIS APPLICATION, IF SUCH REPRODUCTION IS ASSOCIATED OR IN RESPONSE TO A REQUEST FOR PUBLICINFORMATION."



City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75032
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com


City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745 (W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.


Case Number: Z2021-036
Case Name: Amendment to PD-91
Case Type:
Zoning
Planned Development District 91 (PD-91)
Case Address: Clem Road off of FM 1147

## Date Created: 8/20/2021

For Questions on this Case Call (972) 771-7745


PEOPLES DONNIE B 1212 MARILYN JAYNE LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CONFIDENTIAL
1215 MARILYN JAYNE DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MENDOZA ROBERT E AND SANDRA J WILKINS 1218 MARILYN JAYNE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MURPHEY HARVEY W \& BETTY J 2304 SADDLEBROOK LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JONES JAMES E 2309 SADDLEBROOK LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LLOYD DANIEL AND REBECCA R 2316 SADDLEBROOK LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

```
ERWIN KARL DANIEL EXECUTOR KARL W ERWIN ESTATE 379 N COUNTRY LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087
```

FOGG GORDON C 505 CLEM RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES DONNIE B 589 CORNELIUS RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

FOGG GORDON C PO BOX 842 ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BERGERHOUSE PHILLIP \& KERRI 1213 MARILYN JAYNE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

COUCH MITCHELL E AND PATRICIA M
1216 MARILYN JAYNE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ERWIN KARL DANIEL EXECUTOR KARL W ERWIN ESTATE 2030 CROSSWOOD LANE IRVING, TX 75063

## OSBORNE AARON \& BARBARA 2305 SADDLEBROOK LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> SMITH WILLIAM CLEVE JR \& EDITH LILLIAN 2312 SADDLEBROOK LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

M G \& J K TAYLOR LIVING TRUST MARK G TAYLOR AND JESSICA K TAYLORTRUSTEES 237 CLEM ROAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GRAVES BRYAN J AND LAUREN C 406 MUNSON ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GRAVES BRYAN J AND LAUREN C 520 CORNELIUS RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LEE JAMES H AND BARBARA
628 CORNELIUS RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SOUTHALL MARK P \& KATHY L
1214 MARILYN JAYNE LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

KELLY JAMES B \& DEA S
1217 MARILYN JAYNE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CULP TERRI L
2301 SADDLEBROOK LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CONFIDENTIAL 2308 SADDLEBROOK LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROMERO MARGARET ALLEN2313 SADDLEBROOK LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROCKWALL RV PARK AND TINY HOME VILLAGE 3094 COUNTY ROAD 1024 FARMERSVILLE, TX 75442

STEVENSON ALLEN G ET UX 427 CLEM RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROCKWALL RV PARK AND TINY HOME VILLAGE 588 CORNELIUS RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SOUTHALL MARK P \& KATHY L
P. O. BOX 2214

ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:

You are hereby notified that the City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

## Case No. Z2021-036: Amendment to PD-91

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Adam Shiffer of the Skorburg Company on behalf of Gordon C. Fogg for the approval of a Zoning Change to amend Planned Development District 91 (PD-91) [Ordinance No. 21-36] to incorporate a 20.00-acre tract of land identified as Tracts 22-04 \& 22-05 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, addressed as 505 Clem Road, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, September 14, 2021 at 6:00 PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Monday, September 20, 2021 at 6:00 PM. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

Henry Lee<br>Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.<br>385 S. Goliad Street<br>Rockwall, TX 75087

You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by Monday, September 20, 2021 at 4:00 PM to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.

Sincerely,
Ryan Miller, AICP
Director of Planning \& Zoning


## MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases

## - - - PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM

Case No. Z2021-036: Amendment to PD-91
Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.
$\square$ I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

## Name:

## Address:

Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

> PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745 (W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.


Case Number: Z2021-036
Case Name: Amendment to PD-91
Case Type:
Zoning
Planned Development District 91 (PD-91)
Case Address: Clem Road off of FM 1147

## Date Created: 8/20/2021

For Questions on this Case Call (972) 771-7745


August 20, 2021

City of Rockwall
Attn: Henry Lee
385 S Goliad St
Rockwall, TX 75087

Dear Mr. Lee,
Skorburg Company is requesting that our Amendment to the Winding Creek PD-91 be taken to the September $14^{\text {th }}, 2021$ Planning and Zoning Meeting. This Amendment is for the development of an additional $\pm 20$ acres directly adjacent to the existing Winding Creek eastern boundary in the William Dalton Survey, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, at the southeast corner of F.M. 1141 and Clem Road.

The property is currently zoned AG. We are proposing to include this tract as part of PD-91 for the development of an additional 34 Single-Family residential homes on 16,000 square foot lots. This community will feature high quality homes on large lots and add to the beautiful aesthetics of other high quality Skorburg communities like Stone Creek Estates, Breezy Hill Estates, and Gideon Grove.

We look forward to working with the City once again to maximize the quality and value of our Winding Creek development.

Cordially Yours,


Adam Shiffer
Land Acquisition Associate


## CITY OF ROCKWALL

ORDINANCE NO. 21-17


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE [ORDINANCE NO. 20-02] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, AS HERETOFORE AMENDED, SO AS TO CHANGE THE ZONING FROM AN AGRICULTURAL (AG) DISTRICT TO PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 91 (PD-91) FOR SINGLE-FAMILY 16 (SF-16) DISTRICT LAND USES ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, BEING A 58.842-ACRE TRACT OF LAND IDENTIFIED AS TRACT 17 \& 17-01 OF THE W. M. DALTON SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 72, CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS AND MORE FULLY DESCRIBED HEREIN BY EXHIBIT 'A' AND DEPICTED HEREIN BY EXHIBIT 'B'; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS $(\$ 2,000.00)$ FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


WHEREAS, the City has received a request by Kevin Harrell of the Skorburg Co. on behalf of Mark Taylor, Alex Freeman and Allen and Lisa Stevenson Falcon Place SF, Ltd. and Gordon Fogg for the approval of a zoning change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District land uses, on a 58.84278.412-acre tract of land identified as Tract $17_{2}-\&_{-}-17-01,22,22-04$ and 22-05 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas and more fully described in Exhibit ' $A$ ' and depicted in Exhibit ' $B$ ' of this ordinance, which hereinafter shall be referred to as the Subject Property and incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area, and in the vicinity thereof, and the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion, has concluded that the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 20-02] should be amended as follows:

## NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS:

SECTION 1. That the Subject Property shall be used only in the manner and for the purposes authorized by this Planned Development District Ordinance and the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting this zoning change, and as maybe amended in the future;

SECTION 2. That development of the Subject Property shall generally be in accordance with the Concept Plan, depicted in Exhibit 'C' of this ordinance, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit ' $C$ ', which is deemed hereby to be a condition of approval of the amended zoning classification for the Subject Property;

SECTION 3. That development of the Subject Property shall generally be in accordance with the Density and Development Standards, outlined in Exhibit 'D' of this ordinance, attached
hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit ' $D$ ', which is deemed hereby to be a condition of approval of the amended zoning classification for the Subject Property;

SECTION 4. That a Master Parks and Open Space Plan for the Subject Property, prepared in accordance with this ordinance and consistent with the Planned Development Concept Plan described in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, shall be considered for approval by the City Council following recommendation of the Parks and Recreation Board.

SECTION 5. That development of the Subject Property shall be in conformance with the schedule listed below (except as set forth below with regard to simultaneous processing and approvals).
(a) The procedures set forth in the City's subdivision regulations on the date this ordinance is approved by the City, as amended by this ordinance [including Subsections $5(b)$ through $5(g)$ below], shall be the exclusive procedures applicable to the subdivision and platting of the Subject Property.
(b) The following plans and plats shall be required in the order listed below (except as set forth below with regard to simultaneous processing and approvals). The City Council shall act on an application for a Master Parks and Open Space Plan in accordance with the time period specified in Section 212.009 of the Texas Local Government Code.
(1) Master Parks and Open Space Plan
(2) Master Plat
(3) Preliminary Plat
(4) PD Site Plan
(5) Final Plat
(c) Master Parks and Open Space Plan. A Master Parks and Open Space Plan for the Subject Property, as depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, prepared in accordance with this ordinance, shall be considered for approval by the City Council following recommendation of the Parks and Recreation Board.
(d) Master Plat. A Master Plat for the Subject Property, as depicted in Exhibit 'C' of this ordinance, shall be submitted and shall identify the proposed timing of each phase of the proposed development. A Master Plat application may be processed by the City concurrently with a Master Parks and Open Space Plan application for the development.
(e) Preliminary Plat. A Preliminary Plat for each phase of the Subject Property, as depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, shall be submitted in accordance with the phasing plan established by the Master Plat and shall include a Treescape Plan for the phase being Preliminary Platted. A Preliminary Plat application may be processed by the City concurrently with a Master Plat and a Master Parks and Open Space Plan application for the development.
(f) PD Site Plan. A PD Site Plan for each phase of the development of the Subject Property, as depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, shall be submitted and shall identify all site/landscape/hardscape plan(s) for all open space, neighborhood parks, trail systems, street buffers and entry features. A PD Site Plan application may be processed by the City concurrently with a Final Plat application for the development.
(g) Final Plat. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, a Final Plat, conforming to the Preliminary Plat, shall be submitted for approval.

SECTION 6. That any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of Two Thousand Dollars ( $\$ 2,000.00$ ) for each offense and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense;

SECTION 7. That if any section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section, paragraph, or provision to any person, firm, corporation or situation is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section, paragraph or provision to any other person, firm, corporation or situation, nor shall adjudication affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of the Unified Development Code, and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions for this ordinance are declared to be severable;

SECTION 8. The standards in this ordinance shall control in the event of a conflict between this ordinance and any provision of the Unified Development Code or any provision of the City Code, ordinance, resolution, rule, regulation, or procedure that provides a specific standard that is different from and inconsistent with this ordinance. References to zoning district regulations or other standards in the Unified Development Code (including references to the Unified Development Code), and references to overlay districts, in this ordinance or any of the Exhibits hereto are those in effect on the date this ordinance was passed and approved by the City Council of the City of Rockwall, Texas;

SECTION 9. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage;
PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, THIS THE $\qquad$ DAY OF $\qquad$ 2021.

## ATTEST:

Kevin Fowler, Mayor

Kristy Cole, City Secretary

## APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Frank J. Garza, City Attorney


All that certain lot, tract or parcel of land situated in the William Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, Rockwall County, Texas. Being a part of that certain tract of land conveyed to Glen D. Walker and wife, Nita A. Walker, recorded in Volume 542, Page 12, Real Property Records, Rockwall County, Texas, and being described by metes and bounds as follows:

BEGINNING at a 60D nail found for corner at the intersection near the center of Clem Road and the recognized southeast line of FM-1141, said point being the northwest corner of herein described tract;

THENCE North 89 Degrees 06 Minutes 09 Seconds East, within said Clem Road and the recognized north line of said Walker Tract, a distance of 533.77-feet to a point for corner, said point being the northeast corner of herein described tract and the northwest corner of a tract of land described in deed to Mark G. and Jessica K. Taylor, recorded in Volume 7106, Page 191, Official Public Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE South 01 Degrees 23 Minutes 21 Seconds West, along the west line of said Taylor Tract, passing a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod found with yellow cap stamped BG\&A RPLS 5569 for witness at a distance of 17.18 -feet and continuing for a total distance of 283.63 -feet to a 4 -inch metal fence corner for angle point;

THENCE South 05 Degrees 06 Minutes 43 Seconds West, along the west line of said Taylor Tract, a distance of 113.32 -feet to a 4 -inch metal fence corner for angle point;

THENCE South 05 Degrees 35 Minutes 13 Seconds West, along the west line of said Taylor Tract, a distance of 597.75 -feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod found with yellow cap stamped BG\&A RPLS 5569 for corner, said point being an inner ell corner of herein described tract and the southwest corner of said Taylor Tract;

THENCE North 89 Degrees 06 Minutes 09 Seconds East, along the south line of said Taylor Tract, a distance of 574.09 -feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod found with yellow cap stamped BG\&A RPLS 5569 for corner in the west line of a tract of land conveyed to Allen and Lisa Stevenson, recorded in Volume 171, Page 885, Real Property Records, Rockwall County, Texas, said point being the southeast corner of said Taylor Tract and the most southerly northeast corner of herein described tract;

THENCE South 00 Degrees 06 Minutes 20 Seconds East along the recognized east line of said Walker Tract and the west line of said Stevenson Tract a distance of 334.12 -feet to a $3 / 8$-inch iron rod found for corner, the apparent northeast corner of Lot 5 of Meadowview Ranch Estates, recorded in Cabinet A, Slide 247, Plat Records, Rockwall county, Texas, said point being the recognized southeast corner of said Walker Tract and the apparent southwest corner of said Stevenson Tract;

THENCE South 89 Degrees 13 Minutes 16 Seconds West, along the recognized south line of said Walker Tract, a distance 510.41 -feet to a $3 / 8$-inch iron rod found for angle point;

THENCE North 89 Degrees 58 Minutes 55 Seconds West, along the recognized south line of said Walker Tract, a distance of 778.67 -feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod set with yellow cap stamped BG\&A RPLS 5569 for corner in the recognized east line of said FM-1141, said point being the southwest corner of herein described tract;

THENCE North, along the recognized east line of said highway, passing a 60D nail found on a wood highway monument for witness at a distance of 734.11-feet and continuing for a total distance of 810.94feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod set with yellow cap stamped BG\&A RPLS 5569 at the beginning of a curve to right having a central angle of 39 Degrees 09 Minutes 01 Seconds, a radius of 533.14 -feet and a chord bearing and distance of North 19 Degrees 34 Minutes 34 Seconds East - 357.25-feet;

THENCE along the recognized southeast line of said highway and said curve to the right an arc length of 364.29 -feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod set with yellow cap stamped BG\&A RPLS 5569 at the end of said curve;
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THENCE North 39 Degrees 09 Minutes 00 Seconds East along the recognized southeast line of said highway, a distance of 215.67 -feet to the place of beginning and containing 1,133,124.22 square-feet or 26.012-acres of land.

## Tract 2 ( $\pm 12.0$-Acres)

All that certain lot, tract or parcel of land situated in the William Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, Rockwall County, Texas, being a part of that certain tract of land conveyed to Glen D. Walker and wife, Nita A. Walker, recorded in Volume 542, Page 12, Real Property Records, Rockwall County, Texas, and being described by metes and bounds as follows:

BEGINNING at a point for corner near the center of Clem Road, said point being the northeast corner of said Walker Tract and the northwest corner of a tract of land conveyed to Allen and Lisa Stevenson, recorded in Volume 171, Page 885, Real Property Records, Rockwall County, Texas, from which a $1 / 2-$ inch iron rod found for witness bears South 00 Degrees 06 Minutes 20 Seconds East - 17.25-feet;

THENCE South 00 Degrees 06 Minutes 20 Seconds East along the east line of said Walker Tract and the west line of said Stevenson Tract a distance of 990.13 -feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod set with yellow cap stamped BG\&A RPLS 5569 for corner;

THENCE South 89 Degrees 06 Minutes 09 Seconds West a distance 574.09 -feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod set with yellow cap stamped BG\&A RPLS 5569 for corner;

THENCE North 05 Degrees 35 Minutes 13 Seconds East a distance of 597.75 -feet to a 4 -inch metal fence corner for angle point;

THENCE North 05 Degrees 06 Minutes 43 Seconds East a distance of 113.32 -feet to a 4 -inch metal fence corner for angle point;

THENCE North 01 Degrees 23 Minutes 21 Seconds East a distance of 283.63 -feet to a point for corner in the north line of said Walker Tract, said point being near the center of Clem Road from which a $1 / 2$-inch iron set with yellow cap stamped BG\&A RPLS 5569 for witness bears South 01 Degrees 23 Minutes 21 Seconds West-17.18-feet;

THENCE North 89 Degrees 06 Minutes 09 Seconds East along the north line of said Walker Tract and within said Clem Road a distance of 497.09 -feet to the PLACE OF BEGINNING and containing $522,720.00$ square-feet or 12.000 -acres of land.
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## Tract 3 ( $\pm 20.83$-Acres)

BEING a tract or parcel of Land situated in the William Dalton Survey, Abst. \#72, Rockwall County, Texas, and being part of an 80 acre tract conveyed to E.L. Adams by T.H. Adams, by deed recorded in Volume 11, Page 475, Deed Records of Rockwall County, Texas, and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at an iron rod in a County Road and at the Northwest corner of the above-mentioned 80 acre tract;

THENCE North 89 deg. 50 min .30 sec . East, a distance of 684.33 feet along said Country Road to an iron rod for a corner, said iron rod bears South 89 deg. 50 min .30 sec . West, a distance of 661.40 feet from the Northeast Corner of said 80 acre tract;

THENCE South 0 deg. 16 min .03 sec . East, a distance of 1319.86 feet traversing said 80 acre tract to an iron rod for a corner;

THENCE South 89 deg. 22 min .53 sec . West, a distance of 687.71 feet to an iron rod for a corner on the West line of said 80 acre tract and at the Northeast corner of Meadowview Ranch Estates, an addition to the County of Rockwall;

THENCE North 0 deg. 07 min .17 sec . West, a distance of 1325.38 feet along the West line of said 80 acre tract to the Point of Beginning and containing 20.83 acres of land.

## Tract 4 ( $\pm 19.57$-Acres)

Being a tract or parcel of land situated in the William Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, Rockwall County, Texas, and being part of an 80 acre tract conveyed to E.L. Adams to T. H. Adams and wife, S.E. Adams by Deed recorded in Volume 11, Page 475, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas, and being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at an iron rod set for a corner on the Northeast corner of said 80 acre tract in a County Road;
THENCE South 0 degrees 16 minutes 03 seconds East with a fence and the East line of said 80 acre tract a distance of 1314.55 feet to an iron rod found for corner;

THENCE South 39 degrees 22 minutes 33 seconds West with a fence a distance of 461.41 feet to an iron rod set for corner;

THENCE North 0 degrees 16 minutes 03 seconds West a distance of 1319.86 feet to an iron rod set for corner in a County Road;

THENCE North 89 degrees 50 minutes 30 seconds East with said County Road a distance of 461.40 feet to the POINTOF BEGINNING and Containing 19.57 acres of land more or less.

Exhibit 'B':
Location Map


## Exhibit 'C':

Concept Plan
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## Density and Development Standards.

(1) Permitted Uses. Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development District ordinance, only those uses permitted within the Single Family 16 (SF-16) District, as stipulated by the Permissible Use Charts contained in Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), are allowed on the Subject Property.
(2) Lot Composition and Layout. The lot layout and composition shall generally conform to the Concept Plan depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance.
(3) Density and Dimensional Requirements. Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development District ordinance, the development standards stipulated by the Single Family 16 (SF-16) District, as specified by Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) are applicable to all development on the Subject Property. The maximum permissible density for the Subject Property shall not exceed 1.687 dwelling units per gross acre of land; however, in no case should the proposed development exceed 98132 units. All lots shall conform to the standards depicted in Table 2, which are as follows:

Table 2: Lot Dimensional Requirements

| Minimum Lot Width ${ }^{(1)}$ | 90' |
| :---: | :---: |
| Minimum Lot Depth | 100 |
| Minimum Lot Area | 16,000 SF |
| Minimum Front Yard Setback ${ }^{(2)}$ \& (5) | 25 |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback | 8 ' |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback (Adjacent to a Street) ${ }^{(2)}$ \& (5) | 10' |
| Minimum Length of Driveway Pavement | $25^{\prime}$ |
| Maximum Height ${ }^{(3)}$ | 36' |
| Minimum Rear Yard Setback ${ }^{(4)}$ | 10' |
| Minimum Area/Dwelling Unit (SF) [Air-Conditioned Space] ${ }^{(6)}$ | 3,000 SF |
| Maximum Lot Coverage | 60\% |

## General Notes:

${ }^{1}$ : Lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may have the front lot width reduced by $20 \%$ as measured at the front property line provided that the lot width will be met at the Front Yard Building Setback. Additionally, the lot depth on lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may be reduced by up to ten (10) percent, but shall meet the minimum lot size for each lot type referenced in Table 1.
2. The location of the Front Yard Building Setback as measured from the front property line.
${ }^{3}$ : The Maximum Height shall be measured to the eave or top plate (whichever is greater) of the singlefamily home.
4. The location of the Rear Yard Building Setback as measured from the rear property line.
${ }^{5}$ : Sunrooms, porches, stoops, bay windows, balconies, masonry clad chimneys, eaves and similar architectural features may encroach beyond the Front Yard Building Setback by up to ten (10) feet for any property; however, the encroachment shall not exceed five (5) feet on Side Yard Setbacks. A sunroom is an enclosed room no more than 15 -feet in width that has glass on at least $50 \%$ of each of the encroaching faces.
${ }^{6}$ : A maximum of ten (10) percent of the lots may have a minimum area/dwelling unit of 2,800 SF [AirConditioned Space].
(4) Building Standards. All development shall adhere to the following building standards:
(a) Masonry Requirement. The minimum masonry requirement for the total exterior façade area of all buildings shall be $90 \%$ (excluding dormers and walls over roof areas); however, no individual façade shall be less than $85 \%$ masonry. For the
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purposes of this ordinance, the masonry requirement shall be limited to full width brick, natural stone, and cast stone. Cementitious fiberboard horizontal lap-siding (e.g. HardiBoard or Hardy Plank) and stucco (i.e. three [3] part stucco or a comparable -- to be determined by staff) may be used for up to $50 \%$ of the masonry requirement; however, stucco (i.e. three [3] part stucco or a comparable -to be determined by staff) shall be permitted through a Specific Use Permit (SUP) only. Excluding dormers and walls over roof areas, siding products (e.g. HardiBoard or Hardy Plank) shall not be visible on homes abutting any major thoroughfare (i.e. FM-1141 as shown on Exhibit 'C' of this ordinance).
(b) Roof Pitch. A minimum of an 8:12 roof pitch is required on all structures with the exception of dormers, sunrooms and porches, which shall have a minimum of a 4:12 roof pitch.
(c) Garage Orientation and Garage Doors. Garages shall be oriented in a traditional swing (or j-swing) (i.e. where the two (2) car garage is situated facing the side property line and the driveway swings into the garage in a 'J' configuration). On traditional swing (or $j$-swing) garage configurations, a second single or double garage door facing the street is permitted if it is located behind the width of the double garage door. All garage configurations not conforming to this section shall meet the requirements of Article 09, Parking and Loading, of the Unified Development Code (UDC).

All garage doors shall be required to have decorative wood doors or wood overlays on insulated metal doors. The design between the garage door and home shall use the same or complementary colors and materials. All garages shall include carriage style hardware. An example of carriage style hardware is depicted in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Examples of Enhanced Garage Door

(5) Anti-Monotony Restrictions. The development shall adhere to the following AntiMonotony standards:
(a) Identical brick blends or paint colors may not occur on adjacent (side-by-side) properties along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of
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Density and Development Standards
differing materials on the same side of the street beginning with the adjacent property and six (6) intervening homes of differing materials on the opposite side of the street.
(b) Front building elevations shall not repeat along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing appearance on the same side of the street and six (6) intervening homes of differing appearance on the opposite side of the street. The rear elevation of homes backing to open spaces, FM-1141, or Clem Road shall not repeat without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing appearance. Homes are considered to have a differing appearance if any of the following two (2) items deviate:
(1) Number of Stories
(2) Permitted Encroachment Type and Layout
(3) Roof Type and Layout
(4) Articulation of the Front Façade
(c) Permitted encroachment (i.e. porches and sunroom) elevations shall not repeat or be the same along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of sufficient dissimilarity on the same side of the street beginning with the home adjacent to the subject property and six (6) intervening homes beginning with the home on the opposite side of the street.
(d) Each phase of the subdivision will allow for a maximum of four (4) compatible roof colors, and all roof shingles shall be an architectural or dimensional shingle (3-Tab Roofing Shingles are prohibited).

Figure 3: Properties line up on the opposite side of the street. Where RED is the subject property.


Figure 4: Properties do not line up on opposite side of the street. Where RED is the subject property.
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(6) Fencing Standards. All individual residential fencing and walls shall be architecturally compatible with the design, materials and colors of the primary structure on the same lot, and meet the following standards:
(a) Front Yard Fences. Front yard fences shall be prohibited.
(b) Wood Fences. All solid fencing shall be constructed utilizing standard cedar fencing materials (spruce fencing is prohibited) that are a minimum of $1 / 2$-inch or greater in thickness. Fences shall be board-on-board panel fence that is constructed a minimum of six (6) feet in height and a maximum of eight (8) feet in height. Posts, fasteners, and bolts shall be formed from hot dipped galvanized or stainless steel. All cedar pickets shall be placed on the public side (i.e. facing streets, alleys, open space, parks, and/or neighboring properties). All posts and/or framing shall be placed on the private side (i.e. facing towards the home) of the fence. All wood fences shall be smooth finished, free of burs and splinters, and be stained and sealed on both sides of the fence. Painting a fence with oil or latex based paint shall be prohibited.
(c) Wrought Iron/Tubular Steel. Lots located along the perimeter of roadways (i.e. FM1141 and Clem Road), abutting open spaces, greenbelts and parks shall be required to install a wrought iron or tubular steel fence. Wrought iron/tubular steel fences can be a maximum of six (6) feet in height.
(d) Corner Lots. Corner lots fences (i.e. adjacent to the street) shall provide masonry columns at 45 -feet off center spacing that begins at the rear of the property line. A maximum of six (6) foot solid board-on-board panel fence constructed utilizing cedar fencing shall be allowed between the masonry columns along the side and/or rear lot adjacent to a street. In addition, the fencing shall be setback from the side property line adjacent to a street a minimum of five (5) feet. The property owner shall be required to maintain both sides of the fence.
(e) Solid Fences (including Wood Fences). All solid fences shall incorporate a decorative top rail or cap detailing into the design of the fence.

## Landscape and Hardscape Standards.

(a) Landscape. Landscaping shall be reviewed and approved with the PD Site Plan. All Canopy/Shade Trees planted within this development shall be a minimum of four (4) caliper inches in size and all Accent/Ornamental/Under-Story Trees shall be a minimum of four (4) feet in total height. Any residential lot that sides or backs to a major roadway where wrought iron/tubular steel fencing is required, shall also be required to plant a row of shrubs adjacent to the wrought iron/tubular fence within the required 30 -foot landscape buffer (i.e. on the Homeowner's Associations' [HOAs'] property). These shrubs shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).
(b) Landscape Buffers. All landscape buffers and plantings located within the buffers shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).
(1) Landscape Buffer and Sidewalks (FM-1141). A minimum of a 30 -foot landscape buffer shall be provided along FM-1141 (outside of and beyond any
required right-of-way dedication), and shall incorporate ground cover, a built-up berm and shrubbery along the entire length of the frontage. Berms and/or shrubbery shall have a minimum height of 30 -inches and a maximum height of 48 -inches. In addition, three (3) canopy trees and four (4) accent trees shall be planted per 100 -feet of linear frontage. A meandering six (6) foot sidewalk shall be constructed within the 30 -foot landscape buffer.
(2) Landscape Buffers (Clem Road). A minimum of a 30 -foot landscape buffer shall be provided along Clem Drive (outside of and beyond any required right-of-way dedication), and shall incorporate ground cover, a built-up berm and shrubbery along the entire length of the frontage. Berms and/or shrubbery shall have a minimum height of 30 -inches and a maximum height of 48 -inches. In addition, three (3) canopy trees and four (4) accent trees shall be planted per 100 -feet of linear frontage. A meandering five (5) foot sidewalk shall be constructed within the 30 -foot landscape buffer.
(3) Landscape Buffers (Southern Property Line). A minimum of a 10 -foot landscape buffer shall be incorporated at the rear of the residential lots that back to the southern property line of the subject property. This landscape buffer shall incorporate a solid living screen utilizing evergreen trees -- either Eastern Red Cedar or Leland Cypress unless approved otherwise approved by the Director of Planning and Zoning --, a minimum of four (4) caliper inches in size, that will be planted on 20 -foot centers.
(c) Street Trees. The Homeowner's Association (HOA) shall be responsible for the maintenance of all street trees and will be required to maintain a minimum of 14feet vertical clearance height for any trees overhanging a public right-of-way. Street trees shall be planted a minimum of five (5) feet from public water, sanitary sewer and storm lines. All street trees shall be reviewed with the PD Site Plan.
(d) Irrigation Requirements. Irrigation shall be installed for all required landscaping located within common areas, landscape buffers and/or open space. Irrigation installed in these areas shall be designed by a Texas licensed irrigator or landscape architect and shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).
(e) Hardscape. Hardscape plans indicating the location of all sidewalks and trails shall be reviewed and approved with the PD Site Plan.
(8) Street. All streets (excluding drives, fire lanes and private parking areas) shall be built according to City street standards.
(9) Lighting. Light poles shall not exceed 20 -feet in total height (i.e. base and lighting standard). All fixtures shall be directed downward and be positioned to contain all light within the development area.
(10) Sidewalks. All sidewalks adjacent to a street shall be a maximum of two (2) feet inside the right-of-way line and be five (5) feet in overall width.
(11) Buried Utilities. New distribution power-lines required to serve the Subject Property shall be placed underground, whether such lines are located internally or along the
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perimeter of the Subject Property, unless otherwise authorized by the City Council. Temporary power-lines constructed across undeveloped portions of the Subject Property to facilitate development phasing and looping may be allowed above ground, but shall not be considered existing lines at the time the area is developed, and if they are to become permanent facilities, such lines shall be placed underground pursuant to this paragraph. Franchise utilities shall be placed within a ten (10) foot public utility easement behind the sidewalk, between the home and the property line.
(12) Open Space. The development shall consist of a minimum of $20 \%$ open space (or a minimum of 11.7716 .01 -acres -- as calculated by the formula stipulated in the Comprehensive Plan), and generally conform to the Concept Plan contained in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance. All open space areas (including landscape buffers) shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).
(13) Fishing Dock. Subject to engineering design providing sufficient perpetual water depth of the pond in HOA open space area 'B', a fishing dock shall be installed by the developer and maintained by the HOA as depicted in 'Exhibit $C$ ' of this ordinance.
(14) Gazebo. A gazebo shall be installed by the developer and maintained by the HOA in HOA open space area 'C' as depicted in 'Exhibit C' of this ordinance.
(12)
(13)(15) Trails. A concrete trail system shall be constructed in generally the same areas and of the same sizes as what is depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance.
(14)(16) Neighborhood Signage and Enhancements. Permanent subdivision identification signage shall be permitted at all major entry points for the proposed subdivision. Final design and location of any entry features shall be reviewed and approved with the $P D$ Site Plan. The developer shall provide enhanced landscaping areas at all entry points to the Subject Property. The final design of these areas shall be provided on the PD Site Plan.
(15)(17) Homeowner's Association (HOA). A Homeowner's Association shall be created to enforce the restrictions established in accordance with the requirements of Section 38-15 of the Subdivision Regulations contained within the Municipal Code of Ordinances of the City of Rockwall. The HOA shall also maintain all private neighborhood parks, trails, open space and common areas (including drainage facilities), floodplain areas, irrigation, landscaping, screening fences and neighborhood signage associated with this development.
$(16)(18) \quad$ Variances. The variance procedures and standards for approval that are set forth in the Unified Development Code (UDC) shall apply to any application for variances to this ordinance.

## CITY OF ROCKWALL

ORDINANCE NO. 21-17


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE [ORDINANCE NO. 20-02] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, AS HERETOFORE AMENDED, SO AS TO CHANGE THE ZONING FROM AN AGRICULTURAL (AG) DISTRICT TO PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 91 (PD-91) FOR SINGLE-FAMILY 16 (SF-16) DISTRICT LAND USES ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, BEING A 78.412-ACRE TRACT OF LAND IDENTIFIED AS TRACT $17 \& 17-01$ OF THE W. M. DALTON SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 72, CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS AND MORE FULLY DESCRIBED HEREIN BY EXHIBIT 'A' AND DEPICTED HEREIN BY EXHIBIT 'B'; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$2,000.00) FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


WHEREAS, the City has received a request by Kevin Harrell of the Skorburg Co. on behalf of Falcon Place SF, Ltd. and Gordon Fogg for the approval of a zoning change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District land uses, on a 78.412 -acre tract of land identified as Tract 17, 17-01, 22, 22-04 and 22-05 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas and more fully described in Exhibit ' $A$ ' and depicted in Exhibit ' $B$ ' of this ordinance, which hereinafter shall be referred to as the Subject Property and incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area, and in the vicinity thereof, and the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion, has concluded that the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 20-02] should be amended as follows:

## NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS:

SECTION 1. That the Subject Property shall be used only in the manner and for the purposes authorized by this Planned Development District Ordinance and the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting this zoning change, and as maybe amended in the future;

SECTION 2. That development of the Subject Property shall generally be in accordance with the Concept Plan, depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit ' $C$ ', which is deemed hereby to be a condition of approval of the amended zoning classification for the Subject Property;

SECTION 3. That development of the Subject Property shall generally be in accordance with the Density and Development Standards, outlined in Exhibit 'D' of this ordinance, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit ' $D$ ', which is deemed hereby to be a condition of
approval of the amended zoning classification for the Subject Property;
SECTION 4. That a Master Parks and Open Space Plan for the Subject Property, prepared in accordance with this ordinance and consistent with the Planned Development Concept Plan described in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, shall be considered for approval by the City Council following recommendation of the Parks and Recreation Board.

SECTION 5. That development of the Subject Property shall be in conformance with the schedule listed below (except as set forth below with regard to simultaneous processing and approvals).
(a) The procedures set forth in the City's subdivision regulations on the date this ordinance is approved by the City, as amended by this ordinance [including Subsections 5(b) through 5(g) below], shall be the exclusive procedures applicable to the subdivision and platting of the Subject Property.
(b) The following plans and plats shall be required in the order listed below (except as set forth below with regard to simultaneous processing and approvals). The City Council shall act on an application for a Master Parks and Open Space Plan in accordance with the time period specified in Section 212.009 of the Texas Local Government Code.
(1) Master Parks and Open Space Plan
(2) Master Plat
(3) Preliminary Plat
(4) PD Site Plan
(5) Final Plat
(c) Master Parks and Open Space Plan. A Master Parks and Open Space Plan for the Subject Property, as depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, prepared in accordance with this ordinance, shall be considered for approval by the City Council following recommendation of the Parks and Recreation Board.
(d) Master Plat. A Master Plat for the Subject Property, as depicted in Exhibit 'C' of this ordinance, shall be submitted and shall identify the proposed timing of each phase of the proposed development. A Master Plat application may be processed by the City concurrently with a Master Parks and Open Space Plan application for the development.
(e) Preliminary Plat. A Preliminary Plat for each phase of the Subject Property, as depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, shall be submitted in accordance with the phasing plan established by the Master Plat and shall include a Treescape Plan for the phase being Preliminary Platted. A Preliminary Plat application may be processed by the City concurrently with a Master Plat and a Master Parks and Open Space Plan application for the development.
(f) PD Site Plan. A PD Site Plan for each phase of the development of the Subject Property, as depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, shall be submitted and shall identify all site/landscape/hardscape plan(s) for all open space, neighborhood parks, trail systems, street buffers and entry features. A PD Site Plan application may be processed by the City concurrently with a Final Plat application for the development.
(g) Final Plat. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, a Final Plat, conforming to the Preliminary Plat, shall be submitted for approval.

SECTION 6. That any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000.00) for each offense and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense;

SECTION 7. That if any section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section, paragraph, or provision to any person, firm, corporation or situation is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section, paragraph or provision to any other person, firm, corporation or situation, nor shall adjudication affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of the Unified Development Code, and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions for this ordinance are declared to be severable;

SECTION 8. The standards in this ordinance shall control in the event of a conflict between this ordinance and any provision of the Unified Development Code or any provision of the City Code, ordinance, resolution, rule, regulation, or procedure that provides a specific standard that is different from and inconsistent with this ordinance. References to zoning district regulations or other standards in the Unified Development Code (including references to the Unified Development Code), and references to overlay districts, in this ordinance or any of the Exhibits hereto are those in effect on the date this ordinance was passed and approved by the City Council of the City of Rockwall, Texas;

SECTION 9. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage;

## PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS,

 THIS THE $\qquad$ DAY OF $\qquad$ 2021.
## ATTEST:

Kristy Cole, City Secretary

## APPROVED AS TO FORM:

```
Frank J. Garza, City Attorney
\(1^{\text {st }}\) Reading: \(\square\)
\(2^{\text {nd }}\) Reading: \(\quad \square\)
```


## Tract 1 ( $\pm 26.012$-Acres)

All that certain lot, tract or parcel of land situated in the William Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, Rockwall County, Texas. Being a part of that certain tract of land conveyed to Glen D. Walker and wife, Nita A. Walker, recorded in Volume 542, Page 12, Real Property Records, Rockwall County, Texas, and being described by metes and bounds as follows:

BEGINNING at a 60D nail found for corner at the intersection near the center of Clem Road and the recognized southeast line of FM-1141, said point being the northwest corner of herein described tract;

THENCE North 89 Degrees 06 Minutes 09 Seconds East, within said Clem Road and the recognized north line of said Walker Tract, a distance of 533.77-feet to a point for corner, said point being the northeast corner of herein described tract and the northwest corner of a tract of land described in deed to Mark G. and Jessica K. Taylor, recorded in Volume 7106, Page 191, Official Public Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE South 01 Degrees 23 Minutes 21 Seconds West, along the west line of said Taylor Tract, passing a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod found with yellow cap stamped BG\&A RPLS 5569 for witness at a distance of 17.18 -feet and continuing for a total distance of 283.63 -feet to a 4 -inch metal fence corner for angle point;

THENCE South 05 Degrees 06 Minutes 43 Seconds West, along the west line of said Taylor Tract, a distance of 113.32 -feet to a 4 -inch metal fence corner for angle point;

THENCE South 05 Degrees 35 Minutes 13 Seconds West, along the west line of said Taylor Tract, a distance of 597.75 -feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod found with yellow cap stamped BG\&A RPLS 5569 for corner, said point being an inner ell corner of herein described tract and the southwest corner of said Taylor Tract,

THENCE North 89 Degrees 06 Minutes 09 Seconds East, along the south line of said Taylor Tract, a distance of 574.09 -feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod found with yellow cap stamped BG\&A RPLS 5569 for corner in the west line of a tract of land conveyed to Allen and Lisa Stevenson, recorded in Volume 171, Page 885, Real Property Records, Rockwall County, Texas, said point being the southeast corner of said Taylor Tract and the most southerly northeast corner of herein described tract;

THENCE South 00 Degrees 06 Minutes 20 Seconds East along the recognized east line of said Walker Tract and the west line of said Stevenson Tract a distance of 334.12 -feet to a $3 / 8$-inch iron rod found for corner, the apparent northeast corner of Lot 5 of Meadowview Ranch Estates, recorded in Cabinet A, Slide 247, Plat Records, Rockwall county, Texas, said point being the recognized southeast corner of said Walker Tract and the apparent southwest corner of said Stevenson Tract;

THENCE South 89 Degrees 13 Minutes 16 Seconds West, along the recognized south line of said Walker Tract, a distance 510.41 -feet to a $3 / 8$-inch iron rod found for angle point;

THENCE North 89 Degrees 58 Minutes 55 Seconds West, along the recognized south line of said Walker Tract, a distance of 778.67 -feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod set with yellow cap stamped BG\&A RPLS 5569 for corner in the recognized east line of said FM-1141, said point being the southwest corner of herein described tract;

THENCE North, along the recognized east line of said highway, passing a 60D nail found on a wood highway monument for witness at a distance of 734 .11-feet and continuing for a total distance of 810.94feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod set with yellow cap stamped BG\&A RPLS 5569 at the beginning of a curve to right having a central angle of 39 Degrees 09 Minutes 01 Seconds, a radius of 533.14 -feet and a chord bearing and distance of North 19 Degrees 34 Minutes 34 Seconds East - 357.25-feet;

THENCE along the recognized southeast line of said highway and said curve to the right an arc length of 364.29 -feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod set with yellow cap stamped BG\&A RPLS 5569 at the end of said curve;
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THENCE North 39 Degrees 09 Minutes 00 Seconds East along the recognized southeast line of said highway, a distance of 215.67 -feet to the place of beginning and containing 1,133,124.22 square-feet or 26.012-acres of land.

## Tract 2 ( $\pm 12.0$-Acres)

All that certain lot, tract or parcel of land situated in the William Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, Rockwall County, Texas, being a part of that certain tract of land conveyed to Glen D. Walker and wife, Nita A. Walker, recorded in Volume 542, Page 12, Real Property Records, Rockwall County, Texas, and being described by metes and bounds as follows:

BEGINNING at a point for corner near the center of Clem Road, said point being the northeast corner of said Walker Tract and the northwest corner of a tract of land conveyed to Allen and Lisa Stevenson, recorded in Volume 171, Page 885, Real Property Records, Rockwall County, Texas, from which a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod found for witness bears South 00 Degrees 06 Minutes 20 Seconds East - 17.25-feet;

THENCE South 00 Degrees 06 Minutes 20 Seconds East along the east line of said Walker Tract and the west line of said Stevenson Tract a distance of 990.13 -feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod set with yellow cap stamped BG\&A RPLS 5569 for corner;

THENCE South 89 Degrees 06 Minutes 09 Seconds West a distance 574.09 -feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod set with yellow cap stamped BG\&A RPLS 5569 for corner;

THENCE North 05 Degrees 35 Minutes 13 Seconds East a distance of 597.75 -feet to a 4 -inch metal fence corner for angle point;

THENCE North 05 Degrees 06 Minutes 43 Seconds East a distance of 113.32-feet to a 4-inch metal fence corner for angle point;

THENCE North 01 Degrees 23 Minutes 21 Seconds East a distance of 283.63 -feet to a point for corner in the north line of said Walker Tract, said point being near the center of Clem Road from which a $1 / 2$-inch iron set with yellow cap stamped BG\&A RPLS 5569 for witness bears South 01 Degrees 23 Minutes 21 Seconds West - 17.18-feet;

THENCE North 89 Degrees 06 Minutes 09 Seconds East along the north line of said Walker Tract and within said Clem Road a distance of 497.09 -feet to the PLACE OF BEGINNING and containing 522,720.00 square-feet or 12.000 -acres of land.

## Tract 3 ( $\pm 20.83$-Acres)

BEING a tract or parcel of Land situated in the William Dalton Survey, Abst. \#72, Rockwall County, Texas, and being part of an 80 acre tract conveyed to E.L. Adams by T.H. Adams, by deed recorded in Volume 11, Page 475, Deed Records of Rockwall County, Texas, and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at an iron rod in a County Road and at the Northwest corner of the above-mentioned 80 acre tract;

THENCE North 89 deg. 50 min .30 sec . East, a distance of 684.33 feet along said Country Road to an iron rod for a corner, said iron rod bears South 89 deg. 50 min .30 sec . West, a distance of 661.40 feet from the Northeast Corner of said 80 acre tract;

THENCE South 0 deg. 16 min .03 sec . East, a distance of 1319.86 feet traversing said 80 acre tract to an iron rod for a corner;
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THENCE South 89 deg. 22 min .53 sec . West, a distance of 687.71 feet to an iron rod for a corner on the West line of said 80 acre tract and at the Northeast corner of Meadowview Ranch Estates, an addition to the County of Rockwall;

THENCE North 0 deg. 07 min .17 sec . West, a distance of 1325.38 feet along the West line of said 80 acre tract to the Point of Beginning and containing 20.83 acres of land.

## Tract 4 ( $\pm 19.57$-Acres)

BEING a tract or parcel of land situated in the William Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, Rockwall County, Texas, and being part of an 80 acre tract conveyed to E.L. Adams to T. H. Adams and wife, S.E. Adams by Deed recorded in Volume 11, Page 475, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas, and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at an iron rod set for a corner on the Northeast corner of said 80 acre tract in a County Road;

THENCE South 0 degrees 16 minutes 03 seconds East with a fence and the East line of said 80 acre tract a distance of 1314.55 feet to an iron rod found for corner;

THENCE South 39 degrees 22 minutes 33 seconds West with a fence a distance of 461.41 feet to an iron rod set for corner;

THENCE North 0 degrees 16 minutes 03 seconds West a distance of 1319.86 feet to an iron rod set for corner in a County Road;

THENCE North 89 degrees 50 minutes 30 seconds East with said County Road a distance of 461.40 feet to the POINTOF BEGINNING and Containing 19.57 acres of land more or less.

Exhibit 'B':
Location Map


## Exhibit 'C':

Concept Plan
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## Density and Development Standards.

(1) Permitted Uses. Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development District ordinance, only those uses permitted within the Single Family 16 (SF-16) District, as stipulated by the Permissible Use Charts contained in Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), are allowed on the Subject Property.
(2) Lot Composition and Layout. The lot layout and composition shall generally conform to the Concept Plan depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance.
(3) Density and Dimensional Requirements. Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development District ordinance, the development standards stipulated by the Single Family 16 (SF-16) District, as specified by Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) are applicable to all development on the Subject Property. The maximum permissible density for the Subject Property shall not exceed 1.68 dwelling units per gross acre of land; however, in no case should the proposed development exceed 132 units. All lots shall conform to the standards depicted in Table 2, which are as follows:

Table 2: Lot Dimensional Requirements

| Minimum Lot Width ${ }^{(1)}$ | $90^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Minimum Lot Depth | 100' |
| Minimum Lot Area | 16,000 SF |
| Minimum Front Yard Setback ${ }^{(2)}$ \& (5) | $25^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback | 8 ' |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback (Adjacent to a Street) ${ }^{(2)}$ \& (5) | 10' |
| Minimum Length of Driveway Pavement | $25^{\prime}$ |
| Maximum Height ${ }^{(3)}$ | 36' |
| Minimum Rear Yard Setback ${ }^{(4)}$ | 10' |
| Minimum Area/Dwelling Unit (SF) [Air-Conditioned Space] ${ }^{(6)}$ | 3,000 SF |
| Maximum Lot Coverage | 60\% |

## General Notes:

${ }^{1}$ : Lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may have the front lot width reduced by $20 \%$ as measured at the front property line provided that the lot width will be met at the Front Yard Building Setback. Additionally, the lot depth on lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may be reduced by up to ten (10) percent, but shall meet the minimum lot size for each lot type referenced in Table 1
2. The location of the Front Yard Building Setback as measured from the front property line.
${ }^{3}$ : The Maximum Height shall be measured to the eave or top plate (whichever is greater) of the singlefamily home
4. The location of the Rear Yard Building Setback as measured from the rear property line.
${ }^{5}$ : Sunrooms, porches, stoops, bay windows, balconies, masonry clad chimneys, eaves and similar architectural features may encroach beyond the Front Yard Building Setback by up to ten (10) feet for any property; however, the encroachment shall not exceed five (5) feet on Side Yard Setbacks. A sunroom is an enclosed room no more than 15 -feet in width that has glass on at least $50 \%$ of each of the encroaching faces.
${ }^{6}$ : A maximum of ten (10) percent of the lots may have a minimum area/dwelling unit of 2,800 SF [AirConditioned Space].
(4) Building Standards. All development shall adhere to the following building standards:
(a) Masonry Requirement. The minimum masonry requirement for the total exterior façade area of all buildings shall be $90 \%$ (excluding dormers and walls over roof areas); however, no individual façade shall be less than $85 \%$ masonry. For the
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purposes of this ordinance, the masonry requirement shall be limited to full width brick, natural stone, and cast stone. Cementitious fiberboard horizontal lap-siding (e.g. HardiBoard or Hardy Plank) and stucco (i.e. three [3] part stucco or a comparable -- to be determined by staff) may be used for up to $50 \%$ of the masonry requirement; however, stucco (i.e. three [3] part stucco or a comparable -- to be determined by staff) shall be permitted through a Specific Use Permit (SUP) only. Excluding dormers and walls over roof areas, siding products (e.g. HardiBoard or Hardy Plank) shall not be visible on homes abutting any major thoroughfare (i.e. FM1141 as shown on Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance).
(b) Roof Pitch. A minimum of an 8:12 roof pitch is required on all structures with the exception of dormers, sunrooms and porches, which shall have a minimum of a $4: 12$ roof pitch.
(c) Garage Orientation and Garage Doors. Garages shall be oriented in a traditional swing (or j-swing) (i.e. where the two (2) car garage is situated facing the side property line and the driveway swings into the garage in a ' $J$ ' configuration). On traditional swing (or $j$-swing) garage configurations, a second single or double garage door facing the street is permitted if it is located behind the width of the double garage door. All garage configurations not conforming to this section shall meet the requirements of Article 09, Parking and Loading, of the Unified Development Code (UDC).

All garage doors shall be required to have decorative wood doors or wood overlays on insulated metal doors. The design between the garage door and home shall use the same or complementary colors and materials. All garages shall include carriage style hardware. An example of carriage style hardware is depicted in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Examples of Enhanced Garage Door

(5) Anti-Monotony Restrictions. The development shall adhere to the following AntiMonotony standards:
(a) Identical brick blends or paint colors may not occur on adjacent (side-by-side) properties along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing
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materials on the same side of the street beginning with the adjacent property and six (6) intervening homes of differing materials on the opposite side of the street.
(b) Front building elevations shall not repeat along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing appearance on the same side of the street and six (6) intervening homes of differing appearance on the opposite side of the street. The rear elevation of homes backing to open spaces, FM-1141, or Clem Road shall not repeat without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing appearance. Homes are considered to have a differing appearance if any of the following two (2) items deviate:
(1) Number of Stories
(2) Permitted Encroachment Type and Layout
(3) Roof Type and Layout
(4) Articulation of the Front Façade
(c) Permitted encroachment (i.e. porches and sunroom) elevations shall not repeat or be the same along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of sufficient dissimilarity on the same side of the street beginning with the home adjacent to the subject property and six (6) intervening homes beginning with the home on the opposite side of the street.
(d) Each phase of the subdivision will allow for a maximum of four (4) compatible roof colors, and all roof shingles shall be an architectural or dimensional shingle (3-Tab Roofing Shingles are prohibited).

Figure 3: Properties line up on the opposite side of the street. Where RED is the subject property.


Figure 4: Properties do not line up on opposite side of the street. Where RED is the subject property.
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(6) Fencing Standards. All individual residential fencing and walls shall be architecturally compatible with the design, materials and colors of the primary structure on the same lot, and meet the following standards:
(a) Front Yard Fences. Front yard fences shall be prohibited.
(b) Wood Fences. All solid fencing shall be constructed utilizing standard cedar fencing materials (spruce fencing is prohibited) that are a minimum of $1 / 2$-inch or greater in thickness. Fences shall be board-on-board panel fence that is constructed a minimum of six (6) feet in height and a maximum of eight (8) feet in height. Posts, fasteners, and bolts shall be formed from hot dipped galvanized or stainless steel. All cedar pickets shall be placed on the public side (i.e. facing streets, alleys, open space, parks, and/or neighboring properties). All posts and/or framing shall be placed on the private side (i.e. facing towards the home) of the fence. All wood fences shall be smooth finished, free of burs and splinters, and be stained and sealed on both sides of the fence. Painting a fence with oil or latex based paint shall be prohibited.
(c) Wrought Iron/Tubular Steel. Lots located along the perimeter of roadways (i.e. FM1141 and Clem Road), abutting open spaces, greenbelts and parks shall be required to install a wrought iron or tubular steel fence. Wrought iron/tubular steel fences can be a maximum of six (6) feet in height.
(d) Corner Lots. Corner lots fences (i.e. adjacent to the street) shall provide masonry columns at 45 -feet off center spacing that begins at the rear of the property line. A maximum of six (6) foot solid board-on-board panel fence constructed utilizing cedar fencing shall be allowed between the masonry columns along the side and/or rear lot adjacent to a street. In addition, the fencing shall be setback from the side property line adjacent to a street a minimum of five (5) feet. The property owner shall be required to maintain both sides of the fence.
(e) Solid Fences (including Wood Fences). All solid fences shall incorporate a decorative top rail or cap detailing into the design of the fence.

## (7)

Landscape and Hardscape Standards.
(a) Landscape. Landscaping shall be reviewed and approved with the PD Site Plan. All Canopy/Shade Trees planted within this development shall be a minimum of four (4) caliper inches in size and all Accent/Ornamental/Under-Story Trees shall be a minimum of four (4) feet in total height. Any residential lot that sides or backs to a major roadway where wrought iron/tubular steel fencing is required, shall also be required to plant a row of shrubs adjacent to the wrought iron/tubular fence within the required 30 -foot landscape buffer (i.e. on the Homeowner's Associations' [HOAs'] property). These shrubs shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).
(b) Landscape Buffers. All landscape buffers and plantings located within the buffers shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).
(1) Landscape Buffer and Sidewalks (FM-1141). A minimum of a 30-foot landscape buffer shall be provided along FM-1141 (outside of and beyond any required
right-of-way dedication), and shall incorporate ground cover, a built-up berm and shrubbery along the entire length of the frontage. Berms and/or shrubbery shall have a minimum height of 30 -inches and a maximum height of 48 -inches. In addition, three (3) canopy trees and four (4) accent trees shall be planted per 100 -feet of linear frontage. A meandering six (6) foot sidewalk shall be constructed within the 30 -foot landscape buffer.
(2) Landscape Buffers (Clem Road). A minimum of a 30 -foot landscape buffer shall be provided along Clem Drive (outside of and beyond any required right-of-way dedication), and shall incorporate ground cover, a built-up berm and shrubbery along the entire length of the frontage. Berms and/or shrubbery shall have a minimum height of 30 -inches and a maximum height of 48 -inches. In addition, three (3) canopy trees and four (4) accent trees shall be planted per 100-feet of linear frontage. A meandering five (5) foot sidewalk shall be constructed within the 30 -foot landscape buffer.
(3) Landscape Buffers (Southern Property Line). A minimum of a 10-foot landscape buffer shall be incorporated at the rear of the residential lots that back to the southern property line of the subject property. This landscape buffer shall incorporate a solid living screen utilizing evergreen trees -- either Eastern Red Cedar or Leland Cypress unless approved otherwise approved by the Director of Planning and Zoning --, a minimum of four (4) caliper inches in size, that will be planted on 20 -foot centers.
(c) Street Trees. The Homeowner's Association (HOA) shall be responsible for the maintenance of all street trees and will be required to maintain a minimum of 14-feet vertical clearance height for any trees overhanging a public right-of-way. Street trees shall be planted a minimum of five (5) feet from public water, sanitary sewer and storm lines. All street trees shall be reviewed with the PD Site Plan.
(d) Irrigation Requirements. Irrigation shall be installed for all required landscaping located within common areas, landscape buffers and/or open space. Irrigation installed in these areas shall be designed by a Texas licensed irrigator or landscape architect and shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).
(e) Hardscape. Hardscape plans indicating the location of all sidewalks and trails shall be reviewed and approved with the PD Site Plan.
(8) Street. All streets (excluding drives, fire lanes and private parking areas) shall be built according to City street standards.
(9) Lighting. Light poles shall not exceed 20 -feet in total height (i.e. base and lighting standard). All fixtures shall be directed downward and be positioned to contain all light within the development area.
(10) Sidewalks. All sidewalks adjacent to a street shall be a maximum of two (2) feet inside the right-of-way line and be five (5) feet in overall width.
(11) Buried Utilities. New distribution power-lines required to serve the Subject Property shall be placed underground, whether such lines are located internally or along the perimeter of the Subject Property, unless otherwise authorized by the City Council. Temporary
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power-lines constructed across undeveloped portions of the Subject Property to facilitate development phasing and looping may be allowed above ground, but shall not be considered existing lines at the time the area is developed, and if they are to become permanent facilities, such lines shall be placed underground pursuant to this paragraph. Franchise utilities shall be placed within a ten (10) foot public utility easement behind the sidewalk, between the home and the property line.
(12) Open Space. The development shall consist of a minimum of $20 \%$ open space (or a minimum of 16.01 -acres -- as calculated by the formula stipulated in the Comprehensive Plan), and generally conform to the Concept Plan contained in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance. All open space areas (including landscape buffers) shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).
(13) Fishing Dock. Subject to engineering design providing sufficient perpetual water depth of the pond in HOA open space area ' B ', a fishing dock shall be installed by the developer and maintained by the HOA as depicted in 'Exhibit $C$ ' of this ordinance.
(14) Gazebo. A gazebo shall be installed by the developer and maintained by the HOA in HOA open space area ' $C$ ' as depicted in 'Exhibit $C$ ' of this ordinance.
(15) Trails. A concrete trail system shall be constructed in generally the same areas and of the same sizes as what is depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance.
(16) Neighborhood Signage and Enhancements. Permanent subdivision identification signage shall be permitted at all major entry points for the proposed subdivision. Final design and location of any entry features shall be reviewed and approved with the PD Site Plan. The developer shall provide enhanced landscaping areas at all entry points to the Subject Property. The final design of these areas shall be provided on the PD Site Plan.
(17) Homeowner's Association (HOA). A Homeowner's Association shall be created to enforce the restrictions established in accordance with the requirements of Section 3815 of the Subdivision Regulations contained within the Municipal Code of Ordinances of the City of Rockwall. The HOA shall also maintain all private neighborhood parks, trails, open space and common areas (including drainage facilities), floodplain areas, irrigation, landscaping, screening fences and neighborhood signage associated with this development.
(18) Variances. The variance procedures and standards for approval that are set forth in the Unified Development Code (UDC) shall apply to any application for variances to this ordinance.

## CITY OF ROCKWALL

ORDINANCE NO. 21-XX


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 91 AND THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE [ORDINANCE NO. 20-02] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, AS HERETOFORE AMENDED, SO AS TO AMEND THE PLANNED DEVELOPMENT CONCEPT PLAN AND DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS APPROVED WITH ORDINANCE NO. 21-17, BEING A 78.412-ACRE TRACT OF LAND IDENTIFIED AS TRACT 17, 17-01, 22, 22-04, \& 22-05 OF THE W. M. DALTON SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 72, CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS AND MORE FULLY DESCRIBED HEREIN BY EXHIBIT 'A' AND DEPICTED HEREIN BY EXHIBIT 'B'; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS $(\$ 2,000.00)$ FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


WHEREAS, the City has received a request by Kevin Harrell of the Skorburg Co. on behalf of Falcon Place SF, Ltd and Gordon Fogg for the approval of an amendment to the Planned Development Concept Plan and Development Standards contained within Planned Development District 91, on a 78.412-acre tract of land identified as Tract 17, 17-01, 22, 22-04, \& 22-05 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas and more fully described in Exhibit 'A' and depicted in Exhibit ' $B$ ' of this ordinance, which hereinafter shall be referred to as the Subject Property and incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area, and in the vicinity thereof, and the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion, has concluded that Planned Development District 91 (PD-91) and the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 20-02] should be amended as follows:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS:

SECTION 1. That the Subject Property shall be used only in the manner and for the purposes authorized by this Planned Development District Ordinance and the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting this zoning change, and as maybe amended in the future;

SECTION 2. That development of the Subject Property shall generally be in accordance with the Concept Plan, depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit ' $C$ ', which is deemed hereby to be a condition of approval of the amended zoning classification for the Subject Property;

SECTION 3. That development of the Subject Property shall generally be in accordance with
the Density and Development Standards, outlined in Exhibit ' $D$ ' of this ordinance, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit ' $D$ ', which is deemed hereby to be a condition of approval of the amended zoning classification for the Subject Property;

SECTION 4. That a Master Parks and Open Space Plan for the Subject Property, prepared in accordance with this ordinance and consistent with the Planned Development Concept Plan described in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, shall be considered for approval by the City Council following recommendation of the Parks and Recreation Board.

SECTION 5. That development of the Subject Property shall be in conformance with the schedule listed below (except as set forth below with regard to simultaneous processing and approvals).
(a) The procedures set forth in the City's subdivision regulations on the date this ordinance is approved by the City, as amended by this ordinance [including Subsections 5(b) through 5(g) below], shall be the exclusive procedures applicable to the subdivision and platting of the Subject Property.
(b) The following plans and plats shall be required in the order listed below (except as set forth below with regard to simultaneous processing and approvals). The City Council shall act on an application for a Master Parks and Open Space Plan in accordance with the time period specified in Section 212.009 of the Texas Local Government Code.
(1) Master Parks and Open Space Plan
(2) Master Plat
(3) Preliminary Plat
(4) PD Site Plan
(5) Final Plat
(c) Master Parks and Open Space Plan. A Master Parks and Open Space Plan for the Subject Property, as depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, prepared in accordance with this ordinance, shall be considered for approval by the City Council following recommendation of the Parks and Recreation Board.
(d) Master Plat. A Master Plat for the Subject Property, as depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, shall be submitted and shall identify the proposed timing of each phase of the proposed development. A Master Plat application may be processed by the City concurrently with a Master Parks and Open Space Plan application for the development.
(e) Preliminary Plat. A Preliminary Plat for each phase of the Subject Property, as depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, shall be submitted in accordance with the phasing plan established by the Master Plat and shall include a Treescape Plan for the phase being Preliminary Platted. A Preliminary Plat application may be processed by the City concurrently with a Master Plat and a Master Parks and Open Space Plan application for the development.
(f) PD Site Plan. A PD Site Plan for each phase of the development of the Subject Property, as depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, shall be submitted and shall identify all site/landscape/hardscape plan(s) for all open space, neighborhood parks, trail systems, street buffers and entry features. A PD Site Plan application may be processed by the City concurrently with a Final Plat application for the development.
(g) Final Plat. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, a Final Plat, conforming to the Preliminary Plat, shall be submitted for approval.

SECTION 6. That any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000.00) for each offense and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense;

SECTION 7. That if any section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section, paragraph, or provision to any person, firm, corporation or situation is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section, paragraph or provision to any other person, firm, corporation or situation, nor shall adjudication affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of the Unified Development Code, and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions for this ordinance are declared to be severable;

SECTION 8. The standards in this ordinance shall control in the event of a conflict between this ordinance and any provision of the Unified Development Code or any provision of the City Code, ordinance, resolution, rule, regulation, or procedure that provides a specific standard that is different from and inconsistent with this ordinance. References to zoning district regulations or other standards in the Unified Development Code (including references to the Unified Development Code), and references to overlay districts, in this ordinance or any of the Exhibits hereto are those in effect on the date this ordinance was passed and approved by the City Council of the City of Rockwall, Texas;

SECTION 9. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage;
PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, THIS THE $4^{\text {TH }}$ DAY OF OCTOBER, 2021.
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## Tract 1 ( $\pm 26.012$-Acres)

All that certain lot, tract or parcel of land situated in the William Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, Rockwall County, Texas. Being a part of that certain tract of land conveyed to Glen D. Walker and wife, Nita A. Walker, recorded in Volume 542, Page 12, Real Property Records, Rockwall County, Texas, and being described by metes and bounds as follows:

BEGINNING at a 60D nail found for corner at the intersection near the center of Clem Road and the recognized southeast line of FM-1141, said point being the northwest corner of herein described tract;

THENCE North 89 Degrees 06 Minutes 09 Seconds East, within said Clem Road and the recognized north line of said Walker Tract, a distance of 533.77 -feet to a point for corner, said point being the northeast corner of herein described tract and the northwest corner of a tract of land described in deed to Mark G. and Jessica K. Taylor, recorded in Volume 7106, Page 191, Official Public Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE South 01 Degrees 23 Minutes 21 Seconds West, along the west line of said Taylor Tract, passing a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod found with yellow cap stamped BG\&A RPLS 5569 for witness at a distance of 17.18 -feet and continuing for a total distance of 283.63 -feet to a 4 -inch metal fence corner for angle point;

THENCE South 05 Degrees 06 Minutes 43 Seconds West, along the west line of said Taylor Tract, a distance of 113.32 -feet to a 4 -inch metal fence corner for angle point;

THENCE South 05 Degrees 35 Minutes 13 Seconds West, along the west line of said Taylor Tract, a distance of 597.75 -feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod found with yellow cap stamped BG\&A RPLS 5569 for corner, said point being an inner ell corner of herein described tract and the southwest corner of said Taylor Tract,

THENCE North 89 Degrees 06 Minutes 09 Seconds East, along the south line of said Taylor Tract, a distance of 574.09 -feet to a 112 -inch iron rod found with yellow cap stamped BG\&A RPLS 5569 for corner in the west line of a tract of land conveyed to Allen and Lisa Stevenson, recorded in Volume 171, Page 885, Real Property Records, Rockwall County, Texas, said point being the southeast corner of said Taylor Tract and the most southerly northeast corner of herein described tract;

THENCE South 00 Degrees 06 Minutes 20 Seconds East along the recognized east line of said Walker Tract and the west line of said Stevenson Tract a distance of 334.12 -feet to a $3 / 8$-inch iron rod found for corner, the apparent northeast corner of Lot 5 of Meadowview Ranch Estates, recorded in Cabinet A, Slide 247, Plat Records, Rockwall county, Texas, said point being the recognized southeast corner of said Walker Tract and the apparent southwest corner of said Stevenson Tract,

THENCE South 89 Degrees 13 Minutes 16 Seconds West, along the recognized south line of said Walker Tract, a distance 510.41 -feet to a $3 / 8$-inch iron rod found for angle point;

THENCE North 89 Degrees 58 Minutes 55 Seconds West, along the recognized south line of said Walker Tract, a distance of 778.67 -feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod set with yellow cap stamped BG\&A RPLS 5569 for corner in the recognized east line of said FM-1141, said point being the southwest corner of herein described tract;

THENCE North, along the recognized east line of said highway, passing a 60D nail found on a wood highway monument for witness at a distance of 734.11 -feet and continuing for a total distance of 810.94feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod set with yellow cap stamped BG\&A RPLS 5569 at the beginning of a curve to right having a central angle of 39 Degrees 09 Minutes 01 Seconds, a radius of 533.14 -feet and a chord bearing and distance of North 19 Degrees 34 Minutes 34 Seconds East - 357.25-feet;

THENCE along the recognized southeast line of said highway and said curve to the right an arc length of 364.29 -feet to a ${ }^{1 / 2}$-inch iron rod set with yellow cap stamped BG\&A RPLS 5569 at the end of said curve;
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 Legal DescriptionTHENCE North 39 Degrees 09 Minutes 00 Seconds East along the recognized southeast line of said highway, a distance of 215.67 -feet to the place of beginning and containing 1,133,124.22 square-feet or 26.012-acres of land.

## Tract $2( \pm 12.0$-Acres)

All that certain lot, tract or parcel of land situated in the William Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, Rockwall County, Texas, being a part of that certain tract of land conveyed to Glen D. Walker and wife, Nita A. Walker, recorded in Volume 542, Page 12, Real Property Records, Rockwall County, Texas, and being described by metes and bounds as follows:

BEGINNING at a point for corner near the center of Clem Road, said point being the northeast corner of said Walker Tract and the northwest corner of a tract of land conveyed to Allen and Lisa Stevenson, recorded in Volume 171, Page 885, Real Property Records, Rockwall County, Texas, from which a ½inch iron rod found for witness bears South 00 Degrees 06 Minutes 20 Seconds East - 17.25-feet;

THENCE South 00 Degrees 06 Minutes 20 Seconds East along the east line of said Walker Tract and the west line of said Stevenson Tract a distance of 990.13 -feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod set with yellow cap stamped BG\&A RPLS 5569 for corner;

THENCE South 89 Degrees 06 Minutes 09 Seconds West a distance 574.09-feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod set with yellow cap stamped BG\&A RPLS 5569 for corner;

THENCE North 05 Degrees 35 Minutes 13 Seconds East a distance of 597.75 -feet to a 4-inch metal fence corner for angle point;

THENCE North 05 Degrees 06 Minutes 43 Seconds East a distance of 113.32-feet to a 4-inch metal fence corner for angle point;

THENCE North 01 Degrees 23 Minutes 21 Seconds East a distance of 283.63-feet to a point for corner in the north line of said Walker Tract, said point being near the center of Clem Road from which a $1 / 2$-inch iron set with yellow cap stamped BG\&A RPLS 5569 for witness bears South 01 Degrees 23 Minutes 21 Seconds West - 17.18-feet;

THENCE North 89 Degrees 06 Minutes 09 Seconds East along the north line of said Walker Tract and within said Clem Road a distance of 497.09-feet to the PLACE OF BEGINNING and containing $522,720.00$ square-feet or 12.000-acres of land.

## Tract 3 (+20.83-Acres)

BEING a tract or parcel of Land situated in the William Dalton Survey, Abstract No. \#72, Rockwall County, Texas, and being part of an 80 acre tract conveyed to E.L. Adams by T.H. Adams, by deed recorded in Volume 11, Page 475, Deed Records of Rockwall County, Texas, and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at an iron rod in a County Road and at the Northwest corner of the above-mentioned 80 acre tract;

THENCE North 89 deg. 50 min .30 sec . East, a distance of 684.33 feet along said Country Road to an iron rod for a corner, said iron rod bears South 89 deg. 50 min .30 sec . West, a distance of 661.40 feet from the Northeast Corner of said 80 acre tract;

THENCE South 0 deg. 16 min. 03 sec . East, a distance of 1319.86 feet traversing said 80 acre tract to an
iron rod for a corner;
THENCE South 89 deg. 22 min .53 sec . West, a distance of 687.71 feet to an iron rod for a corner on the West line of said 80 acre tract and at the Northeast corner of Meadowview Ranch Estates, an addition to the County of Rockwall;

THENCE North 0 deg. 07 min .17 sec . West, a distance of 1325.38 feet along the West line of said 80 acre tract to the Point of Beginning and containing 20.83 acres of land.

## Tract 4 (+19.57-Acres)

Being a tract or parcel of land situated in the William Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72. Rockwall County. Texas. and being part of an 80 acre tract conveyed to E.L Adams to T. H Adams and wife. SE Adams by Deed recorded in Volume 11. Page 475, Deed Records. Rockwall County. Texas. and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINING at an iron rod set for a corner on the Northeast corner of said 80 acre tract in a County Road;
THENCE South 0 degrees 16 minutes 03 seconds East with a fence and the East line of said 80 acre tract a distance of 1314.55 feet to an iron rod found for corner:

THENCE South 39 degrees 22 minutes 33 seconds West with a fence a distance of 461.41 feet to an iron rod set for corner;

THENCE North 0 degrees 16 minutes 03 seconds West a distance of 1319.86 feet to an iron rod set for corner in a County Road;

THENCE North 89 degrees 50 minutes 30 seconds East with said County Road a distance of 461.40 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING and Containing 19.57 acres of land more or less
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## Density and Development Standards.

(1) Permitted Uses. Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development District ordinance, only those uses permitted within the Single Family 16 (SF-16) District, as stipulated by the Permissible Use Charts contained in Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), are allowed on the Subject Property.
(2) Lot Composition and Layout. The lot layout and composition shall generally conform to the Concept Plan depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance.
(3) Density and Dimensional Requirements. Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development District ordinance, the development standards stipulated by the Single Family 16 (SF-16) District, as specified by Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) are applicable to all development on the Subject Property. The maximum permissible density for the Subject Property shall not exceed 1.69 dwelling units per gross acre of land; however, in no case should the proposed development exceed 132 units. All lots shall conform to the standards depicted in Table 2, which are as follows:

Table 2: Lot Dimensional Requirements

| Table 2: Lot Dimensional Requirements |
| :--- |
| Minimum Lot Width ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| Minimum Lot Depth |
| Minimum Lot Area |
| Minimum Front Yard Setback (2) \& (5) |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback (Adjacent to a Street) ${ }^{(2)}$ \& (5) |
| Minimum Length of Driveway Pavement |
| Maximum Height ${ }^{(3)}$ |
| Minimum Rear Yard Setback (4) |
| Minimum Area/Dwelling Unit (SF) [Air-Conditioned Space] ${ }^{(6)}$ |
| Maximum Lot Coverage |

## General Notes:

1: Lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may have the front lot width reduced by $20 \%$ as measured at the front property line provided that the lot width will be met at the Front Yard Building Setback. Additionally, the lot depth on lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may be reduced by up to ten (10) percent, but shall meet the minimum lot size for each lot type referenced in Table 1.
2. The location of the Front Yard Building Setback as measured from the front property line.
${ }^{3}$ : The Maximum Height shall be measured to the eave or top plate (whichever is greater) of the singlefamily home.
4. The location of the Rear Yard Building Setback as measured from the rear property line.
5. Sunrooms, porches, stoops, bay windows, balconies, masonry clad chimneys, eaves and similar architectural features may encroach beyond the Front Yard Building Setback by up to ten (10) feet for any property; however, the encroachment shall not exceed five (5) feet on Side Yard Setbacks. A sunroom is an enclosed room no more than 15 -feet in width that has glass on at least $50 \%$ of each of the encroaching faces.
6: A maximum of ten (10) percent of the lots may have a minimum area/dwelling unit of 2,800 SF [AirConditioned Space].
(4) Building Standards. All development shall adhere to the following building standards:
(a) Masonry Requirement. The minimum masonry requirement for the total exterior façade area of all buildings shall be $90 \%$ (excluding dormers and walls over roof areas); however, no individual façade shall be less than $85 \%$ masonry. For the
purposes of this ordinance, the masonry requirement shall be limited to full width brick, natural stone, and cast stone. Cementitious fiberboard horizontal lap-siding (e.g. HardiBoard or Hardy Plank) and stucco (i.e. three [3] part stucco or a comparable -- to be determined by staff) may be used for up to $50 \%$ of the masonry requirement; however, stucco (i.e. three [3] part stucco or a comparable -to be determined by staff) shall be permitted through a Specific Use Permit (SUP) only. Excluding dormers and walls over roof areas, siding products (e.g. HardiBoard or Hardy Plank) shall not be visible on homes abutting any major thoroughfare (i.e. FM-1141 as shown on Exhibit 'C' of this ordinance).
(b) Roof Pitch. A minimum of an $8: 12$ roof pitch is required on all structures with the exception of dormers, sunrooms and porches, which shall have a minimum of a 4:12 roof pitch.
(c) Garage Orientation and Garage Doors. Garages shall be oriented in a traditional swing (or $j$-swing) (i.e. where the two (2) car garage is situated facing the side property line and the driveway swings into the garage in a ' $J$ ' configuration). On traditional swing (or j-swing) garage configurations, a second single or double garage door facing the street is permitted if it is located behind the width of the double garage door. All garage configurations not conforming to this section shall meet the requirements of Article 09, Parking and Loading, of the Unified Development Code (UDC).

All garage doors shall be required to have decorative wood doors or wood overlays on insulated metal doors. The design between the garage door and home shall use the same or complementary colors and materials. All garages shall include carriage style hardware. An example of carriage style hardware is depicted in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Examples of Enhanced Garage Door

(5) Anti-Monotony Restrictions. The development shall adhere to the following AntiMonotony standards:
(a) Identical brick blends or paint colors may not occur on adjacent (side-by-side) properties along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of
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differing materials on the same side of the street beginning with the adjacent property and six (6) intervening homes of differing materials on the opposite side of the street.
(b) Front building elevations shall not repeat along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing appearance on the same side of the street and six (6) intervening homes of differing appearance on the opposite side of the street. The rear elevation of homes backing to open spaces, FM-1141, or Clem Road shall not repeat without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing appearance. Homes are considered to have a differing appearance if any of the following two (2) items deviate:
(1) Number of Stories
(2) Permitted Encroachment Type and Layout
(3) Roof Type and Layout
(4) Articulation of the Front Façade
(c) Permitted encroachment (i.e. porches and sunroom) elevations shall not repeat or be the same along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of sufficient dissimilarity on the same side of the street beginning with the home adjacent to the subject property and six (6) intervening homes beginning with the home on the opposite side of the street.
(d) Each phase of the subdivision will allow for a maximum of four (4) compatible roof colors, and all roof shingles shall be an architectural or dimensional shingle (3-Tab Roofing Shingles are prohibited).

Figure 3: Properties line up on the opposite side of the street. Where RED is the subject property.


Figure 4: Properties do not line up on opposite side of the street. Where RED is the subject property.

(6) Fencing Standards. All individual residential fencing and walls shall be architecturally compatible with the design, materials and colors of the primary structure on the same lot, and meet the following standards:
(a) Front Yard Fences. Front yard fences shall be prohibited.
(b) Wood Fences. All solid fencing shall be constructed utilizing standard cedar fencing materials (spruce fencing is prohibited) that are a minimum of $1 / 2$-inch or greater in thickness. Fences shall be board-on-board panel fence that is constructed a minimum of six (6) feet in height and a maximum of eight (8) feet in height. Posts, fasteners, and bolts shall be formed from hot dipped galvanized or stainless steel. All cedar pickets shall be placed on the public side (i.e. facing streets, alleys, open space, parks, and/or neighboring properties). All posts and/or framing shall be placed on the private side (i.e. facing towards the home) of the fence. All wood fences shall be smooth finished, free of burs and splinters, and be stained and sealed on both sides of the fence. Painting a fence with oil or latex based paint shall be prohibited.
(c) Wrought Iron/Tubular Steel. Lots located along the perimeter of roadways (i.e. FM1141 and Clem Road), abutting open spaces, greenbelts and parks shall be required to install a wrought iron or tubular steel fence. Wrought iron/tubular steel fences can be a maximum of six (6) feet in height.
(d) Corner Lots. Corner lots fences (i.e. adjacent to the street) shall provide masonry columns at 45 -feet off center spacing that begins at the rear of the property line. A maximum of six (6) foot solid board-on-board panel fence constructed utilizing cedar fencing shall be allowed between the masonry columns along the side and/or rear lot adjacent to a street. In addition, the fencing shall be setback from the side property line adjacent to a street a minimum of five (5) feet. The property owner shall be required to maintain both sides of the fence.
(e) Solid Fences (including Wood Fences). All solid fences shall incorporate a decorative top rail or cap detailing into the design of the fence.
(7) Landscape and Hardscape Standards.
(a) Landscape. Landscaping shall be reviewed and approved with the PD Site Plan. All Canopy/Shade Trees planted within this development shall be a minimum of four (4) caliper inches in size and all Accent/Ornamental/Under-Story Trees shall be a minimum of four (4) feet in total height. Any residential lot that sides or backs to a major roadway where wrought iron/tubular steel fencing is required, shall also be required to plant a row of shrubs adjacent to the wrought iron/tubular fence within the required 30 -foot landscape buffer (i.e. on the Homeowner's Associations' [HOAs'] property). These shrubs shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).
(b) Landscape Buffers. All landscape buffers and plantings located within the buffers shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).
(1) Landscape Buffer and Sidewalks (FM-1141). A minimum of a 30 -foot landscape buffer shall be provided along FM-1141 (outside of and beyond any
required right-of-way dedication), and shall incorporate ground cover, a built-up berm and shrubbery along the entire length of the frontage. Berms and/or shrubbery shall have a minimum height of 30 -inches and a maximum height of 48 -inches. In addition, three (3) canopy trees and four (4) accent trees shall be planted per 100 -feet of linear frontage. A meandering six (6) foot sidewalk shall be constructed within the 30 -foot landscape buffer.
(2) Landscape Buffers (Clem Road). A minimum of a 30 -foot landscape buffer shall be provided along Clem Drive (outside of and beyond any required right-of-way dedication), and shall incorporate ground cover, a built-up berm and shrubbery along the entire length of the frontage. Berms and/or shrubbery shall have a minimum height of 30 -inches and a maximum height of 48 -inches. In addition, three (3) canopy trees and four (4) accent trees shall be planted per 100 -feet of linear frontage. A meandering five (5) foot sidewalk shall be constructed within the 30 -foot landscape buffer.
(3) Landscape Buffers (Southern Property Line). A minimum of a 10 -foot landscape buffer shall be incorporated at the rear of the residential lots that back to the southern property line of the subject property. This landscape buffer shall incorporate a solid living screen utilizing evergreen trees -- either Eastern Red Cedar or Leland Cypress unless approved otherwise approved by the Director of Planning and Zoning --, a minimum of four (4) caliper inches in size, that will be planted on 20 -foot centers.
(c) Street Trees. The Homeowner's Association (HOA) shall be responsible for the maintenance of all street trees and will be required to maintain a minimum of 14feet vertical clearance height for any trees overhanging a public right-of-way. Street trees shall be planted a minimum of five (5) feet from public water, sanitary sewer and storm lines. All street trees shall be reviewed with the PD Site Plan.
(d) Irrigation Requirements. Irrigation shall be installed for all required landscaping located within common areas, landscape buffers and/or open space. Irrigation installed in these areas shall be designed by a Texas licensed irrigator or landscape architect and shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).
(e) Hardscape. Hardscape plans indicating the location of all sidewalks and trails shall be reviewed and approved with the PD Site Plan.
(8) Street. All streets (excluding drives, fire lanes and private parking areas) shall be built according to City street standards.
(9) Lighting. Light poles shall not exceed 20 -feet in total height (i.e. base and lighting standard). All fixtures shall be directed downward and be positioned to contain all light within the development area.
(10) Sidewalks. All sidewalks adjacent to a street shall be a maximum of two (2) feet inside the right-of-way line and be five (5) feet in overall width.
(11) Buried Utilities. New distribution power-lines required to serve the Subject Property shall be placed underground, whether such lines are located internally or along the
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perimeter of the Subject Property, unless otherwise authorized by the City Council. Temporary power-lines constructed across undeveloped portions of the Subject Property to facilitate development phasing and looping may be allowed above ground, but shall not be considered existing lines at the time the area is developed, and if they are to become permanent facilities, such lines shall be placed underground pursuant to this paragraph. Franchise utilities shall be placed within a ten (10) foot public utility easement behind the sidewalk, between the home and the property line.
(12) Open Space. The development shall consist of a minimum of $20 \%$ open space (or a minimum of 15.682-acres -- as calculated by the formula stipulated in the Comprehensive Plan), and generally conform to the Concept Plan contained in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance. All open space areas (including landscape buffers) shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).
(13) Fishing Dock. Subject to the requirements of the Engineering Standards of Design and Construction Manual and approval from the Engineering Department, a fishing dock may be constructed generally in conformance with the location depicted in the Concept Plan in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance. The fishing dock shall be constructed using composite materials (e.g. wood composite or synthetic wood where natural timber fibers are mixed with a high-tech plastic materials) to prevent rot or decay, and shall be maintained in a good/usable condition by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).
(14) Gazebo. A commercial grade gazebo shall be constructed generally in the same location and in the same manner as the image depicted in the Concept Plan in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance. The gazebo shall be maintained in a good/usable condition by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).
(15) Trails. A concrete trail system shall be constructed in generally the same areas and of the same sizes as what is depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance.
(16) Neighborhood Signage and Enhancements. Permanent subdivision identification signage shall be permitted at all major entry points for the proposed subdivision. Final design and location of any entry features shall be reviewed and approved with the $P D$ Site Plan. The developer shall provide enhanced landscaping areas at all entry points to the Subject Property. The final design of these areas shall be provided on the PD Site Plan.
(17) Homeowner's Association (HOA). A Homeowner's Association shall be created to enforce the restrictions established in accordance with the requirements of Section 3815 of the Subdivision Regulations contained within the Municipal Code of Ordinances of the City of Rockwall. The HOA shall also maintain all private neighborhood parks, trails, open space and common areas (including drainage facilities), floodplain areas, irrigation, landscaping, screening fences and neighborhood signage associated with this development.
(18) Variances. The variance procedures and standards for approval that are set forth in the Unified Development Code (UDC) shall apply to any application for variances to this ordinance.

CITY OF ROCKWALL
385 S. GOLIAD STREET
ROCKWALL, TEXAS 75087
PHONE: (972) 771-7700

## DATE: 8/26/2021

PROJECT NUMBER:
PROJECT NAME:
SITE ADDRESS/LOCATIONS:

## Z2021-037

SUP for Auxiliary Building for 54 Shadydale 54 SHADYDALE LN, ROCKWALL, 75032

CASE MANAGER: CASE MANAGER PHONE: CASE MANAGER EMAIL:

Henry Lee 972.772.6434 hlee@rockwall.com

CASE CAPTION: Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by James Best for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for an accessory building on a 2.60 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block A, Best Estate Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District, addressed as 54 Shadydale Lane, and take any action necessary.


Specific Use Permit (SUP) ordinance and will be addressed at site plan. Please note that the Unified Development Code (UDC) requires two (2) off-setting compensatory measures for each requested variance.
(1) Minimum $20 \%$ stone on all facades
(2) Greater than $50 \%$ stucco on south and west elevations
(3) Proposed flat roof instead on a pitched roof
I.10 Please note the scheduled meetings for this case:
(1) Planning \& Zoning work session meeting will be held on August 31, 2021.
(2) Planning \& Zoning Public Hearing will be held on September 14, 2021.
(3) City Council Public Hearing will be held on September 20, 2021. (1st Reading of Ordinance)
(4) City Council regular meeting will be held on October 4, 2021. (2nd Reading of Ordinance)
I.11 All meetings will be held in person in the City's Council Chambers and are scheduled to begin at 6:00 PM. The City requires that a representative(s) be present for all scheduled meetings.

| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENGINEERING | Sarah Johnston | 08/26/2021 | Approved |
| 08/26/2021: Building permit will need a grading plan to verify the drainage is not effected. |  |  |  |
| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| BUILDING | Rusty McDowell | 08/23/2021 | Approved |
| No Comments |  |  |  |
| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| FIRE | Ariana Kistner | 08/23/2021 | Approved |


| FIRE | Ariana Kistner | 08/23/2021 | Approved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Comments |  |  |  |
| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| GIS | Lance Singleton | 08/23/2021 | Approved |
| No Comments |  |  |  |
| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| POLICE | Henry Lee | 08/26/2021 | N/A |
| No Comments |  |  |  |
| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| PARKS | Travis Sales | 08/23/2021 | Approved |
| 08/23/2021: no |  |  |  |

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION
City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087

PLANNING \& ZONING CASE NO. Z $2021-037$
NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTLL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.

DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:
CITY ENGINEER:

PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW TO INDICATE THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT REQUEST [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

## PLATTING APPLICATION FEES:

$\square$ MASTER PLAT $(\$ 100.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
PRELIMINARY PLAT $\left(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00\right.$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
FINAL PLAT $\left(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00\right.$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
$\square$ REPLAT $(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ AMENDING OR MINOR PLAT ( $\$ 150.00$ )
$\square$ PLAT REINSTATEMENT REQUEST ( $\$ 100.00$ )
SITE PLAN APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ SITE PLAN $\left(\$ 250.00+\$ 20.00\right.$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
$\square$ AMENDED SITE PLAN/ELEVATIONS/LANDSCAPING PLAN (\$100.00)

## ZONING APPLICATION FEES:

$\square$ ZONING CHANGE $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ SPECIFIC USE PERMIT $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
PD DEVELOPMENT PLANS $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE $)$
OTHER APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ TREE REMOVAL (\$75.00)
VARIANCE REQUEST ( $\$ 100.00$ )
NOTES:
1: in determining the fee, please use the exact acreage when MULTIPLYING BY THE PER ACRE AMOUNT. FOR REQUESTS ON LESS THAN ONE ACRE, ROUND UP TO ONE (1) ACRE.

PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]
ADDRESS $\quad 54$ Shady Dale, Rockwall, Texas 75032
SUBDIVISION
Best Estate
LOT
BLOCK
GENERAL LOCATION
2.6 acres located at the corner of Shady Dale and Ridge Road

## ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRITT]

CURRENT ZONING
PROPOSED ZONING
ACREAGE
James Best
CURRENT USE
PROPOSED USE
1
LOTS [PROPOSED]
Special Use Permit - Axillary Building
LOTS [CURRENT]

XITE PLANS AND PLATS: BY CHECKING THIS BOX YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT DUE TO THE PASSAGE OF HB3167 THE CITY NO LONGER HAS FLEXIBLITY WITH REGARD TO ITS APPROVAL PROCESS, AND FAILURE TO ADDRESS ANY OF STAFF'S COMMENTS BY THE DATE PROVIDED ON THE DEVELOPMENT CALENDAR WILL
RESULTIN THE DENIAL OF YOUR CASE.
OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT/CHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACT/ORIGINAL SIGNATURES ARE REQUIRED]
$\square$ OWNER
CONTACT PERSON James Best
Andrew D. Thomas
ADDRESS

CITY, STATE \& ZIP
PHONE
E-MAIL

CITY, STATE \& ZIP
PHONE
E-MAIL

Andrew D. Thomas
Andrew D. Thomas
422 E I-30, Suite F

A APPLICANT
CONTACT PERSON
ADDRESS

NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUIRED]
 STATED THE INFORMATION ON THIS APPLICATION TO BE TRUE AND CERTIFIED THE FOLLOWING:
"I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I AM THE OWNER FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS APPLICATION: ALL INFORMATION SUBMITTED HEREIN IS TRUE AND CORRECT; AND THE APPLICATION FEE OF
$\$ 100.00$
TO COVER THE COST OF THIS APPLICATION, HAS BEEN PAID TO THE CITY OF ROCKWALL ON THIS THE



## City of Rockwall

Planning \& Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.


Case Number: Z2021-037
Case Name: SUP for Auxiliary Building Case Type: Zoning Zoning: $\quad$ Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District Case Address: 54 Shadydale Lane

## Date Created: 8/20/2021



City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.
(100

Case Number: Z2021-037
Case Name: SUP for Auxiliary Building Case Type: Zoning Zoning: Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District Case Address: 54 Shadydale Lane

## Date Created: 8/20/2021



HATFIELD CLAUDETTE
1 SHADY DALE LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75032

GARZA ROY A \& DULCE R<br>104 GENESTA PLACE<br>ROCKWALL, TX 75032

## SHORT MELISSA HUDSON AND CORY WAYNE 105 INDEPENDENCE PL ROCKWALL, TX 75032

LEAHOVCENCO ALEXANDR AND YULYIA NESTERENKO 109 INDEPENDENCE PL ROCKWALL, TX 75032

HARRIS WILLIAM JOSEPH AND JONNA R 1188 BENTON WOODS DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75032

PATEL VIBHA \& SATISH 1250 BENTON WOODSDR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

MUMPHREY SCHEDRICK RANDTEZ AND MELODY HOSKINS 2702 FOXCHASE LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75032

BECKER CHRISTIANE AND JOSEPH WORRALL
101 INDEPENDENCE PLACE ROCKWALL, TX 75032

WEEKS MARY 103 GENESTA PL ROCKWALL, TX 75032

MCKIBBEN KATHLEEN D 104 INDEPENDENCE PL ROCKWALL, TX 75032

```
MURRAY DAVID T II \& AMBER 106 INDEPENDENCE PLACE ROCKWALL, TX 75032
```

EDWARDS RICHARD J 1140 BENTON WOODS DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

HAMILTON LARRY WAYNE \& REBA DIANE 1216 BENTON WOODS DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

BYBEE TERRY DON \& CATHERINE DENISE 2 SHADYDALE LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75032

HOUSTON RICHARD AND
LINDSAY WEATHERREAD 2704 FOXCHASE LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75032

> LANE PATRICIA A 2971 OAK DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

BOWERMAN FAMILY TRUST
JACK E AND HELEN R BOWERMAN TRUSTEES
2974 OAK DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

```
GARDNER PAUL S AND CINDY
    102 INDEPENDENCE PL
    ROCKWALL, TX }7503
```

UNDERHILL TERESA D AND STEPHEN 103 INDEPENDENCE PL ROCKWALL, TX 75032

TURNER CATHERINE 105 GENESTA PL ROCKWALL, TX 75032

LEAHOVCENCO ALEXANDR AND YULYIA NESTERENKO 107 INDEPENDENCE PLACE ROCKWALL, TX 75032

TIMBES GARY R \& ELIZABETH S 1164 BENTON WOODS DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

PATEL VIBHA \& SATISH 125 AMBROSIA LANE HEATH, TX 75032

LE LINH 2701 CEDAR COURT ROCKWALL, TX 75032

KRUSZ WILLIAM C \& EVELYN KAY
2715 CEDAR CT ROCKWALL, TX 75032

## VARNER GLENN MERRILL \& CARRIE G <br> 2972 OAK DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

EVANS JENNIFER BEARD
2975 OAK DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

```
RAMOS EMILIO & MARIA C
2976 OAK DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032
```

```
SEXTON CHRISTOPHER
            2 9 7 9 ~ O A K ~ D R ~
ROCKWALL, TX 75032
```

COOKS LESTER L \& DORIS M 2982 OAK DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

QUALLS CHARLES S \& MARY K
2985 OAK DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75032

CHOATE RANDELL G \& CAROLYN J
2993 OAK DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

MITCHELL RYAN PATTON \& AMANDA NICOLE 3 SHADYDALE LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75032

STOKES RICHARD \& JULIE 3185 S RIDGE RD ROCKWALL, TX 75032

RHUDY FAMILY REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST RHUDY THOMAS RICHARD AND LAURA MARIETRUSTEES
3923 POSTRIDGE TRAIL MELBOURNE, FL 32934

PITTMAN JAMES CHRISTOPHER AND AMY 42 SHADY DALE LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75032

VANHORN WILLIAM \& PENNI
JOSEPH HENRY \& KRISTINE ELIZABETH ZYLKA
4725 BENTON CT
ROCKWALL, TX 75032

## KARLEN FRANK W \& CHANDRA J

2977 OAK DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

SALUCCI JOSEPH LILIA<br>2980 OAK DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

ELWONGER MARLENA JOY AND JASON COLEMAN
2983 OAK DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

HENDRICKS MILLIE E 2990 HUNTERS GLEN ROCKWALL, TX 75032

THORNLEY JILL E \& GERALD R 2996 HUNTERS GLN N ROCKWALL, TX 75032

VANHORN WILLIAM \& PENNI JOSEPH HENRY \& KRISTINE ELIZABETH ZYLKA 3021 RIDGE RD SUITE A-66 ROCKWALL, TX 75032

LEWELLYN DARYL G \& SARAH<br>3187 RIDGE RD<br>ROCKWALL, TX 75032

TASSET AUSTIN \& KENNEDY
4 SHADY DALE LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75032

BREWER ELIZABETH CAITLIN AND NICOLAS LUKASHEVICH 44 SHADY DALE LN ROCKWALL, TX 75032

FAULKNER DANICA J AND MATTHEW L JOHNS 48 SHADY DALE LN ROCKWALL, TX 75032

FOSTER JOHN CHRISTOPHER \& DONNA 2978 OAK DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

FLORANCE JOSEPH V 2981 OAK DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75032

BLACK SHERYL NKA SHERYL L COMPTON AND WILLIAM D COMPTON 2984 HUNTERS GLEN NORTH ROCKWALL, TX 75032

CONNET STEPHEN R AND DONNA JEAN 2990 OAK DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75032

FRISBY JOHN R \& THERESA M 2998 OAK DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

## COOKS LESTER L \& DORIS M

 3026 ANDREW DR FARMERSVILLE, TX 75442ST CLAIR PAUL M \& GENEVIEVE J
3201 RIDGE RD ROCKWALL, TX 75032

CASTLEROCK CUSTOM BUILDERS LLC
40 SHADYDALE LN ROCKWALL, TX 75032

VITALE LINDA A 46 SHADY DALE LN ROCKWALL, TX 75032

RHUDY FAMILY REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST RHUDY THOMAS RICHARD AND LAURA MARIETRUSTEES 5 SHADYDALE LN ROCKWALL, TX 75032

|  | HAMMOND HUDDLE LIVING TRUST |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SLABAS KAREN AND JEFFREY | EUGENE WESLEY HUDDLE AND JANE | SLABAS KAREN AND JEFFREY |
| 50 SHADY DALE LN | HAMMOND, TRUSTEES | 52 SHADYDALELN |
| ROCKWALL, TX 75032 | 519 E I-30 \#704 | ROCKWALL, TX 75032 |
|  | ROCKWALL, TX 75087 |  |
| THOMAS JERRY \& MARSHA | BARRY JOYCE | ROCK SOUTH INVESTMENTS LTD. A TEXAS |
| 5202 YACHT CLUB DR | 5204 YACHT CLUB DR | MITED PARTNERSH |
| ROCKWALL, TX 75032 | ROCKWALL, TX 75032 | ROCKWALL, TX 75032 |
| METRY GREGORY K | DAVIES DAVID B \& HELEN J | NORTON JO ANN |
| 5208 YACHT CLUB DRIVE | 5302 YACHT CLUB DR | 5303 YACHT CLUB DR |
| ROCKWALL, TX 75032 | ROCKWALL, TX 75032 | ROCKWALL, TX 75032 |
| WILLIAMS VICTORIA L \& DAVID B | MARTIN CAREN | ADRIAN AMANDA C AND MICHAEL S |
| 5304 YACHT CLUB DR | 5305 YACHT CLUB DR | 5306 YACHT CLUB DR |
| ROCKWALL, TX 75032 | ROCKWALL, TX 75032 | ROCKWALL, TX 75032 |
| ADDISON MARAVIN G AND FAYE | BEST JAMES AND KIMBERLY | ODOM JOSHUA D-WAYNE AND LACEY ALEXANDRA |
| 5308 YACHT CLUB DR. | 54 SHADY DALE LN | 5402 YACHT CLUB DRIVE |
| ROCKWALL, TX 75033 | ROCKWALL, TX 75032 | ROCKWALL, TX 75032 |
| CULLEN SETH LAWRENCE AND GABRIELLE | HAMMOND HUDDLE LIVING TRUST EUGENE WESLEY HUDDLE AND JANE |  |
| 5501 YACHT CLUB DR | HAMMOND, TRUSTEES | LIMITED PARTNERSHIP |
| ROCKWALL, TX 75032 | 5505 YACHT CLUB DR | ROCKWALL, TX 75032 |
|  | ROCKWALL, TX 75032 | ROCKWALL, $1 \times 75032$ |
| BEST JAMES AND KIMBERLY | HERVEY GAIL | CHANDLERS LANDING COMMUNITY ASSOC |
| 870 W INTERSTATE SUITE 100 | 948 HUNTERS GLN | PO BOX 638 |
| GARLAND, TX 75043 | ROCKWALL, TX 75032 | ROCKWALL, TX 75087 |
| STOKES RICHARD \& JULIE | CASTLEROCK CUSTOM BUILDERS LLC |  |
| PO BOX 8 | PO BOX 8333 |  |
| ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | GREENVILLE, TX 75404 |  |

## Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:

You are hereby notified that the City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

## Case No. Z2021-037: SUP for Accessory Building

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by James Best for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for an accessory building on a 2.60 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block A, Best Estate Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District, addressed as 54 Shadydale Lane, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, September 14, 2021 at 6:00 PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Monday, September 20, 2021 at 6:00 PM. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

## Henry Lee

Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, TX 75087
You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by Monday, September 20, 2021 at 4:00 PM to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.

Sincerely,
Ryan Miller, AICP
Director of Planning \& Zoning


MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases

-     -         - PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM


## Case No. Z2021-037: SUP for Accessory Building

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:
$\square \mathrm{I}$ am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.
$\square I$ am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

## Name:

## Address:

Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.
(100

Case Number: Z2021-037
Case Name: SUP for Auxiliary Building
Case Type: Zoning
Zoning: Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District
Case Address: 54 Shadydale Lane

## Date Created: 8/20/2021




DATE: $\quad$ August 18, 2021

TO:<br>FROM: James Best c/o Andrew D. Thomas<br>RE: Variance Request: Special Use Permit re: Auxiliary Building at 54 Shady Dale, Rockwall, Texas 75087<br>City of Rockwall Planning \& Zoning Department

RE:

## Variance Request

The homeowners, James and Kimberly Best, request a special use permit authorizing them to construct a 12 -foot by 16 -foot storage shed with a total square footage of 192 -feet on their property.

The subject property is a 2.6 -acre tract located at the intersection of Ridge Road and Shady Dale Lane. The property is zoned single family 16 (SF-16) and subject to the General Residential District Standards and Residential District Development Standards. See §§ 05.03.06, 05.03.01, and 05.07.01.

## Building Code Requirements

Pursuant to section 05.07 .04 , each SF-16 property is permitted:
(1) one detached garage up to 625 square feet and one accessory building up to 144 square feet, or
(2) two accessory buildings up to 144 square feet each.

See § 05.07.04 and n. 6. If a property has an existing accessory building greater than 144 square feet, no additional accessory building or detached garage shall be allowed.

## Discussion

Although the building code allows two accessory buildings of up to 144 square feet each (total 288 square feet), the homeowners would prefer to construct one accessory building with a total square footage of 192 -feet, which exceeds the SF-16 square footage.

The proposed accessory building would be a Tuff Shed Premier Pro Tall Ranch measuring 12-feet x 16 feet $\times 11$ feet, 1 inch, and be similar in appearance and style to the Tuff Shed pictured below:


## See Exhibit A.

The building would be located between the rear driveway and the northern boundary, 8 feet from the north boundary and approximately 60 feet from the rear boundary.


The homeowners request this variance so they can store all the tools, equipment, and supplies necessary to maintain the property in one accessory building. The 2.6 -acre lot has ample space to house the additional square footage. The 11 -foot, 1 -inch building height is within the 15 -foot building height for SF-16, and the height and proposed location of the building will not impede any neighboring properties' view. The 192 square feet is significantly less than the 288 square foot maximum the homeowners are allowed if they construct two auxiliary buildings. The homeowners believe one larger auxiliary building, instead of two smaller auxiliary buildings, will be more aesthetically pleasing to the overall design of the property and more convenient for the day-to-day maintenance of the property.

| 10' x 10' x 10'8" | \$5,000.00 | \$5,500.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$ | \$5,536.00 | \$6,089.60 |
| $10^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | \$6,091.00 | \$6,700.10 |
| $10^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ $10^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$ | $\$ 6,55$ | $7,214.90$ |
| $10^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$ | \$7,676.00 | \$8,443.60 |
| $10^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$ | \$8,704.00 | \$9,574.40 |
| $10^{\prime} \times 28^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$ | \$9,796.00 | \$10,775.60 |
| $10^{\prime} \times 32 \mathrm{l} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$ | \$10,815.00 | \$11,896.50 |
| $12^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime \prime}$ | \$6,227.00 | \$6,849.70 |
| $12^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | \$7,395.00 | \$8,134.50 |
| $12^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime \prime}$ | \$8,672.00 | \$9,539.20 |
| $12^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime \prime}$ | \$9,855.00 | \$10,840.50 |
| $12^{\prime} \times 28^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | \$11,106.00 | \$12,216.60 |
| $12^{\prime} \times 32 \mathrm{x} \times 11^{\prime \prime}$ | \$12,272.00 | \$13,499.20 |
| $16^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime \prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ | \$11,456.00 | \$12,601.60 |
| $16^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime} 10{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | \$13,045.00 | \$14,349.50 |

## CITY OF ROCKWALL

ORDINANCE NO. 21-XX


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE (UDC) [ORDINANCE NO. 20-02] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS, AS PREVIOUSLY AMENDED, SO AS TO GRANT A SPECIFIC USE PERMIT (SUP) TO ALLOW AN ACCESSORY BUILDING THAT EXCEEDS THE MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE SIZE ON A 2.6-ACRE TRACT OF LAND, IDENTIFIED AS LOT 1, BLOCK A, BEST ESTATE ADDITION, CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS; AND MORE SPECIFICALLY DEPICTED AND DESCRIBED IN EXHIBIT 'A' OF THIS ORDINANCE; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS $(\$ 2,000.00)$ FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


WHEREAS, the City has received a request from Andrew Thomas for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) to allow an Accessory Building that exceeds the maximum allowable size on a 2.6-acre tract of land described as Lot 1, Block A, Best Estates Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District, addressed as 54 Shadydale Lane, and being more specifically depicted and described in Exhibit ' $A$ ' of this ordinance, which herein after shall be referred to as the Subject Property and incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall, in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall, have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally, and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area and in the vicinity thereof, the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion has concluded that the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall should be amended as follows:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Rockwall, Texas;
SECTION 1. That the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall, as heretofore amended, be and the same is hereby amended so as to grant a Specific Use Permit (SUP) allowing an Accessory Building as stipulated by Subsection 07.04, Accessory Structure Development Standards, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] on the Subject Property, and,

SECTION 2. That the Specific Use Permit (SUP) shall be subject to the requirements set forth in Subsection 07.04, Accessory Structure Development Standards, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] -- as heretofore amended and as may be amended in the future --, and with the following conditions:

### 2.1. OPERATIONALCONDITIONS

The following conditions pertain to the operation of an Accessory Building on the Subject Property and conformance to these conditions are required for continued operations:
(1) The Accessory Building shall generally conform to the concept plan and the conceptual building elevations depicted in Exhibit ' $B$ \& ' $C$ ' of this ordinance.
(2) The Accessory Building shall not exceed a maximum size of 192 SF.
(3) The Accessory Building shall not exceed a maximum height of 15 -feet.

### 2.2. COMPLIANCE

Approval of this ordinance in accordance with Subsection 02.02, Specific Use Permits (SUP), of Article 11, Development Applications and Review Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) will require compliance to the following:
(1) Upon obtaining a Building Permit, should the homeowner fail to meet the minimum operational requirements set forth herein and outline in the Unified Development Code (UDC), the City Council may (after proper notice) initiate proceedings to revoke the Specific Use Permit (SUP) in accordance with Section 2.02(F), Revocation, of Article 11, Development Applications and Review Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC).

SECTION 3. That the official zoning map of the City be corrected to reflect the changes in zoning described herein.

SECTION 4. That all ordinances of the City of Rockwall in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance be, and the same are hereby repealed to the extent of that conflict.

SECTION 5. Any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS $(\$ 2,000.00)$ for each offence and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense.

SECTION 6. If any section or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section or provision to any person, firm, corporation, situation or circumstance is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section or provision to any other person, firm, corporation, situation or circumstance, and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions of this ordinance shall remain in full force and effect.

SECTION 7. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage.
PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, THIS THE $4^{\text {TH }}$ DAY OF OCTOBER, 2021.

## ATTEST:

## Kristy Cole, City Secretary

## APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Frank J. Garza, City Attorney
$1^{\text {st }}$ Reading: September 20, 2021
$2^{\text {nd }}$ Reading: October 4, 2021


Exhibit ' $A$ '
Zoning Exhibit
Address: 54 Shadydale Lane
Legal Description: Lot 1, Block A, Best Estates Addition


Exhibit ' B ':
Residential Plot Plan


Exhibit ' $C$ ':



CITY OF ROCKWALL
385 S. GOLIAD STREET
ROCKWALL, TEXAS 75087
PHONE: (972) 771-7700

## DATE: 8/26/2021

PROJECT NUMBER:
PROJECT NAME:
SITE ADDRESS/LOCATIONS:

SP2021-024
Site Plan for STREAM Rockwall 1351 CORPORATE CROSSING

CASE MANAGER: CASE MANAGER PHONE: CASE MANAGER EMAIL:

David Gonzales (972) 772-6488 dgonzales@rockwall.com

CASE CAPTION: Discuss and consider a request by Dan Gallagher, PE of Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. on behalf of L. R. Tipton of the Hitt Family, LP for the approval of a Site Plan for a warehouse/distribution center facility on a 43.237-acre tract of land identified as Tract 11 of the J. Lockhart Survey, Abstract No. 134, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the FM-549 Overlay (FM-549 OV) District, located east of the intersection of Corporate Crossing [FM-549] and Capital Boulevard, and take any action necessary.

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| PLANNING | David Gonzales | $08 / 25 / 2021$ | Needs Review |

## 08/25/2021: SP2021-024; Site Plan for Stream Rockwall Distribution Center

Please address the following comments ( $\mathrm{M}=$ Mandatory Comments; I Informational Comments)
I. 1 This is a request for the approval of a Site Plan for a warehouse/distribution center facility on a 43.237 -acre tract of land identified as Tract 11 of the J. Lockhart Survey, Abstract No. 134, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the FM-549 Overlay (FM-549 OV) District, located east of the intersection of Corporate Crossing [FM-549] and Capital Boulevard.
I.2 For questions or comments concerning this case please contact David Gonzales in the Planning Department at (972) 772-6488 or email dgonzales@rockwall.com.
M. 3 For reference, include the case number (SP2021-024) in the lower right-hand corner of all pages of all revised plan submittals. (§03.04.A, Art. 11, UDC)
I. 4 This project is subject to all requirements stipulated by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the FM-549 Overlay (FM-549 OV) District, and the Development Standards of Article 05 that are applicable to the subject property.
M. 5 All pages of the site plan (i.e. photometric plan, landscape plan, treescape plan, and building elevations) are required to have a signature block affixed to each plan for the Planning and Zoning Commission Chairman and the Director of Planning to sign once approved. (§03.04.A, Art. 11, UDC)
I. 6 Please note that the property will require a replat prior to the issuance of a building permit. Additionally, all comments must be addressed prior to an approved site plan being signed by the Planning and Zoning Commission Chairman and the Director of Planning. Once signed, a copy of the approved/signed site plan will be forwarded to you. A copy of the signed site plan must be included upon submittal of the civil engineering plans.
I. 7 Signage Plan. Please note that all plans for signage must be submitted and approved through a separate permit with the Building Inspections Department. Contact Craig Foshee, Plans Examiner at cfoshee@rockwall.com for permitting requirements for your proposed sign(s).
M. 8 Site Plan. Please make the following clarifications on the site plan to ensure staff can properly review this project and convey all of the required elements to the City's boards and commissions (§01.02, Art. 11, UDC):

1) Provide a fence detail (i.e. height and type) for all proposed and existing fencing for the development. Will there be a fence for the subject property? (§08.02.F, Art. 08, UDC) 2) All parking spaces and aisle dimensions shall conform to the off-street parking requirements in section 2.19 of the City's Standards of Design and Construction (Engineering Department).
2) Outside storage of materials or vehicles shall be screened from all public streets, any residential zoning district (i.e. PD-83 \& agriculturally zoned properties) or residentially used property...The screening must be at least one (1) foot taller than the material or vehicles being stored and shall be achieved using a masonry wall (excluding tilt wall or concrete masonry units [CMU] unless otherwise approved by the Planning and Zoning Commission) and Canopy Trees on 20 -foot centers. As an alternative, the Planning and Zoning Commission may approve a screening method in accordance with Subsection 05.02, Landscape Screening Standards, of Article 08, Landscape Standards. Additional screening required for the residential zoning districts and agricultural properties. The proposed three (3)-tiered screening requires approval by the Planning and Zoning Commission. (§01.05.E, Art. 05, UDC) \& Residential Adjacency Standards (§01.06, Art. 05, UDC)
3) Based on the building footprint, an exception to the horizontal articulation standards will be required. Please provided a letter of explanation for the associated exception(s) and the compensatory measures justifying these exceptions/variances as required by the UDC for consideration. (§04.01.C.1, Art. 05, UDC)
4) Indicate all utilities both existing and proposed for the subject property. (§03.04.B, Art. 11, UDC)
5) Indicate and label the widths of all sidewalks existing and proposed for the site along Justin Road. (§03.04.B, Art. 11, UDC)
6) Indicate the street centerline for all existing and proposed streets. (§03.04.B, Art. 11, UDC)Verify the parking count on the site plan with the parking table for total number of spaces. (§05.01, Art. 06, UDC)
7) Pad mounted utility equipment, and air conditioning units, shall be screened from horizontal view from any adjacent public street and from any adjacent property. Utility equipment and air conditioning units shall be screened utilizing plantings, berms, or walls matching the main structure. Indicate on plans where these units are located and provide detail for screening of units. (§01.05.C, Art. 05, UDC)
8) All buildings must be designed such that no roof mounted mechanical equipment, HVAC, or satellite dishes shall be visible from any direction. Dash-in RTU's on building elevations. (§01.05.C, Art. 05, UDC)
9) The landscape buffer for a property zoned Light Industrial (LI) District within the FM-549 Overlay (FM-549 OV) District requires a minimum 50 -feet for the Industrial/Office/Technology Land Uses. Currently indicates a 10 -foot landscape buffer. Make adjustments to site plan. (§06.02.E.1.h, Art. 05, UDC)
M. 9 Landscape Plan. Please make the following clarifications and changes to the landscape plan to ensure staff can properly review this project and convey all of the required elements to the City's boards and commissions (§01.02, Art. 11, UDC):
10) The landscape buffer for a property zoned Light Industrial (LI) District within the FM-549 Overlay (FM-549 OV) District requires a minimum 50 -feet for the Industrial/Office/Technology Land Uses. Currently indicates a 10 -foot landscape buffer. Correct Table for Landscape Buffers and make adjustments to site plan. (§06.02.E.1.h, Art. 05, UDC)
11) A minimum of two (2) canopy trees and four (4) accent trees shall be planted per 100 -feet of linear frontage along the Primary Roadway. In the E. SH-66 Overlay (E SH-66 OV), FM549 Overlay (FM-549 OV), and SH-205 By-Pass Overlay (SH205 BY OV) Districts the required landscape buffer shall incorporate one (1) additional cedar tree per 100-feet of linear of frontage along the Primary Roadway. Correct Table for Landscape Buffers to indicate a minimum of 40 accent trees and a minimum of 10 Cedar Trees. (§06.02.E.1, Art. 05, UDC)
12) All landscape buffers and public right-of-way located adjacent to a proposed development shall be improved with grass prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy (CO) -- hydro mulch/seed shall be prohibited in these areas. (§05.03.G, Art. 08, UDC)
13) Detention basins shall be landscaped in a natural manner using ground cover, grasses, shrubs, berms, and accent and canopy trees. There shall be a minimum of one (1) Canopy Tree and one (1) Accent Tree per 750 SF of detention area. (§05.03.D, Art. 08, UDC)
14) The developer shall establish grass and maintain the seeded area, including watering, until a "Permanent Stand of Grass" is obtained at which time the project will be accepted by the City. A "Stand of Grass" shall consist of $75 \%$ to $80 \%$ coverage and a minimum of one-inch ( 1 ") in height as determined by the City. (Section 4.2 , Engineering Standards of Design and Construction)
15) Correct Plant Schedule to indicate a minimum of 5 -gallons for all shrubs. (§06.02.E.2.c, Art. 05, UDC)
16) Correct plans to include screening details where applicable for truck dock, outside storage areas, and residential adjacency (i.e. PD-83 \& agriculturally zoned properties). [(§01.05(A)\&(E), Art. 05, \& Residential Adjacency Standards (§01.06, Art. 05, UDC)]
17) No tree shall be planted closer than five (5) feet to the edge of pavement or five (5) feet from any water or wastewater line that is less than 12-inches. Water and wastewater lines that are 12 -inches and greater require trees to be planted a minimum of ten (10) feet from the centerline of the pipe. Add a note to plans meeting these requirements. (§05.03.E, Art. 08, UDC)
18) No required parking spaces may be located more than 80 -feet from the trunk of a canopy tree. The parking spaces to the north do not meet this condition. Please include trees. (§05.03.E.4, Art. 08, UDC)

## M. 10 Treescape Plan

1) Indicate all site elevations, grades, major contours, and the limits of construction. (§03.01.C, Art. 09, UDC)
2) As a note, the mitigation is considered to be satisfied with new plantings; however, this requires approval by the Planning and Zoning Commission. (§03.03.A, Art. 07, UDC)
M. 11 Photometric Plan. Please make the following clarifications and changes to the photometric plan to ensure staff can properly review this project and convey all of the required elements to the City's boards and commissions (§01.02, Art. 11, UDC):
3) No light pole, pole base or combination thereof shall exceed 20 -feet -- General Overlay District Standards. Provide detail. (§06.02.G, Art. 05, UDC)
4) Provide cut sheets for all exterior lighting fixtures.
M. 12 Building Elevations. Please make the following clarifications and changes to the building elevations to ensure staff can properly review this project and convey all of the required elements to the City's boards and commissions (§01.02, Art. 11, UDC):

The following are located within the General Industrial District standards of Subsection 05.01, of Article 05, of the Unified Development Code (UDC):

1) Requires an exception to the horizontal articulation standards as listed below.
2) Provide each exterior material's percentage calculation for each façade, less window and doors
3) A minimum of $20 \%$ natural stone or quarried stone required on building facades. Coronado stone is not a natural stone product; however, the Planning and Zoning Commission, upon recommendation from the Architectural Review Board (ARB), may grant the use of a high quality manufactured or cultured stone in lieu of natural or quarried stone if it is determined that the application of the manufactured or cultured stone will be complimentary and integral to the design of the building.
4) Projecting elements and parapets walls are to be finished on the interior using the same materials as the exterior façade (i.e. no exposed TPO)
5) RTU's are to be screened from adjacent properties and rights-of-way. Dash-in RTU's on building elevations.
6) An exception is required to allow for tilt-up wall construction.

Based on the building elevation submittal, staff has identified the following that require approval of exceptions to the Unified Development Code (UDC) for development within the L District:

1) Stone. The proposed building does not meet the stone requirement established by the UDC and requires approval of an exception the use of form-liner. (§05.01.A.1.a.1, Art

05, UDC)
2) Tilt-Up Wall Construction. The proposed building does not meet the masonry standards established by the UDC and requires approval of an exception for the use of tilt-up wall construction. (§05.01.A.1.a.2, Art. 05, UDC)
3) Roof Design Standards. The proposed building does not meet the roof design standards by not finishing the interior side of the projecting elements and parapet walls with the same materials as the exterior as established by the UDC and requires approval of an exception for the use of exposed TPO. (§05.01.A.2, Art. 05, UDC)
4) Building Articulation. The proposed building does not meet the articulation standards established by the UDC and requires approval of an exception. (§05.01.C.1, Art. 05, UDC)

An applicant may request the Planning and Zoning Commission grant an exception to the provisions contained in the Unified Development Code (UDC), where unique or extraordinary conditions exist or where strict adherence to the technical requirements of the Unified Development Code (UDC) would create an undue hardship. The applicant will need to provide a letter stating the justification for each exception requested and indicate a minimum of two (2) compensatory measures for each that directly off-sets the impact of the exception being requested. Please also note that all of the requested exceptions require approval of a supermajority vote for approval. Refer to Section 09, of Article 11, of the UDC for examples of compensatory measures.

- Please provided a letter of explanation for all of the associated exceptions and variances, and the compensatory measures justifying the exceptions being requested for consideration.
I.13 Please note that failure to address all comments provided by staff by 3:00 PM on September 7, 2021 will result in the automatic denial of the case on the grounds of an incomplete submittal. No refund will be given for cases that are denied due to an incomplete submittal, and a new application and fee will be required to resubmit the case.
I. 14 Staff has identified the aforementioned items necessary to continue the submittal process. Please make these revisions and corrections, and provide any additional information that is requested. Revisions for this case will be due on September 7, 2021; however, it is encouraged for applicants to submit revisions as soon as possible to give staff ample time to review the case prior to the September 14, 2021 Planning \& Zoning Meeting.
I. 15 The Architectural Review Board (ARB) meeting will be held on August 31, 2021 and will begin at 5:00 p.m. in the City's Council Chambers. The ARB will provide comments or may forward a recommendation to the Planning and Zoning Commission.
I.16 Please note the scheduled meetings for this case:

1) Planning \& Zoning Work Session meeting will be held on August 31, 2021.
2) Architectural Review Board (ARB) meeting will be held on August 31, 2021.
3) Planning \& Zoning regular meeting will be held on September 14, 2021.
4) Architectural Review Board (ARB) meeting will be held on September 14, 2021
I.17 All meetings will be held in person and in the City's Council Chambers. All meetings listed above are scheduled to begin at 5:00 p.m. (ARB) and 6:00 p.m. (P\&Z). The City prefers that a representative(s) be present for these meetings.

| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENGINEERING | Sarah Johnston | 08/26/2021 | Needs Review |

08/26/2021: M - Wall to have a traffic rated guardrail.
M - All fire hydrants to have $5^{\prime}$ clearance around (bollards to be 5 ' in every direction from fire hydrant). All medians with fire hydrants to be a min 10 ' (b-b) wide.
M - Detention isn't allowed in floodplain
M-200' Min driveway spacing along Corporate Crossing.

The following items are informational for the engineering design phase.
General Items:

- 4\% Engineering Fees
- Engineering Review fees apply
- Impact Fees
- All retaining walls must be rock or stone faced.
- Any walls 3 ' and over must be designed by a licensed engineer
- No walls allowed in or across easements
- Must meet all City of Rockwall Standards of Design
-10 ' utility easement along all street frontage.
Paving Items:
- All paving to be reinforced concrete
- If building is $30^{\prime}$ or taller, the fire lane radii must be $30^{\prime}$ min for the large truck
- Parking to be a min. 20'x9'
- Construct 5' sidewalk along Corporate Crossing the length of the property

Utility Items:

- No structures in easements. Min. easement is 20
- Sewer existing to north of property.


## - Sewer Pro-Rata of \$2773.07/acre

- May tie into the 16 " water line in Corporate Crossing.
- Must loop line into the 8" in Technology Way.
- Depending on use, site may require an infrastructure study to prove no up-sizing of the public lines is necessary.
- Full concrete panel replacement is required if "cutting" a roadway

Detention Items:

- Must have detention on site. Drainage "c" value is per zoning. Detention cannot be in Floodplain.
- Detention ponds catching 20 acres or more must be analyzed with the Unit Hydrograph method. Review fees apply.
- Show floodplain and cross-sections.
- Show the Erosion Hazard Setback.
- Will need a Flood Study and LOMR if "touching" the floodplain. (includes a review retainer.)
- No detention allowed in floodplain
- Where is the dumpster? Dumpster area to drain to oil/water separator prior to discharging into storm system
- No vertical walls allowed in detention easement(s).

Landscaping:

- No trees to be with 10 ' of any public water, sewer or storm line that is 10 in diameter or larger
- No trees to be with $5^{\prime}$ of any public water, sewer, or storm line that is less than $10^{\prime \prime}$.

| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BUILDING | Rusty McDowell | 08/23/2021 | Approved |
| No Comments |  |  |  |
| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| FIRE | Ariana Kistner | 08/23/2021 | Needs Review |

08/23/2021: Two means of fire apparatus access shall be provided for the buildings exceeding 30-feet in height. Currently, only show the access to extend to Corporate Crossing,
provide access to Technology Way to meet this requirement.
All fire lanes shall have an inside turning radius of 30 -feet for buildings 30 -feet or above in height, including the access to Technology way.
The proposed location of the Fire Department Connection (FDC) shall be indicated on the plans. The FDC is required to be along the fire lane and within 100 -feet as the hose lays, of a fire hydrant.

| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GIS | Lance Singleton | $08 / 23 / 2021$ | Approved w/ Comments |
| $08 / 23 / 2021: ~ A s s i g n e d ~ a d d r e s s ~ w i l l ~ b e ~ * 1351 ~ C O R P O R A T E ~ C R O S S I N G, ~ R O C K W A L L, ~ T X ~ 75032 * ~$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| POLICE | Ed Fowler | $08 / 26 / 2021$ | Approved w/ Comments |

08/26/2021: Recommend the developer/owner consider meeting to discuss external and internal crime prevention measures prior to construction.
EMF\#902

| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PARKS | Travis Sales | 08/23/2021 | Approved w/ Comments |

08/23/2021: 1. Detention Pond Landscaping is required please add it to the landscape plan
2. Tree mitigation looks good
3. Landscape looks good

## General Items:

-4\% Engineering Fees
Engineering Review fees apply

- Impact Fees

All retaining walls must be rock or stone
faced.

- Any walls 3 ' and over must be designed by a licensed engineer.
- No walls allowed in or across easements

Must meet all City of Rockwall Standards of
Design.
-10' utility easement along all street frontage

## Paving Items:

- All paving to be reinforced concrete.
- If building is $30^{\prime}$ or taller, the fire lane radii must be 30 ' min for the large truck.
Parking to be a min. 20'x9'
Construct 5' sidewalk along Corporate Crossing the length of the property


## Utility Items:

- No structures in easements. Min. easement is 20'
Sewer existing to north of property.
Sewer Pro-Rata of \$2773.07/acre.
May tie into the 16" water line in Corporate


## Crossing.

- Must loop line into the 8 " in Technology Way
- Depending on use, site may require an infrastructure study to prove no up-sizing of the public lines is necessary.
- Full concrete panel replacement is required if "cutting" a roadway


## Detention Items:

- Must have detention on site. Drainage " c " value is per zoning. Detention cannot be in Floodplain.
- Detention ponds catching 20 acres or more must be analyzed with the Unit Hydrograph method. Review fees apply.
- Show floodplain and cross-sections

Show the Erosion Hazard Setback.

- Will need a Flood Study and LOMR if "touching" the floodplain. (includes a review retainer.)
- No detention allowed in floodplain
- Where is the dumpster? Dumpster area to drain to oil/water separator prior to discharging into storm system
- No vertical walls allowed in detention easement(s).


## Landscaping:

- No trees to be with 10 ' of any public water, sewer or storm line that is $10^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter or larger.
- No trees to be with 5 ' of any public water sewer, or storm line that is less than 10 ".


City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087

## STAFF USE ONLY

PLANNING \& ZONING CASE NO. SP2021*024
NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.

DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:
CITY ENGINEER:
PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW TO INDICATE THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT REQUEST [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

PLATTING APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ MASTER PLAT $(\$ 100.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ PRELIMINARY PLAT $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ FINAL PLAT $\left(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00\right.$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
$\square$ REPLAT $(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ AMENDING OR MINOR PLAT ( $\$ 150.00$ )
$\square$ PLAT REINSTATEMENT REQUEST ( $\$ 100.00$ )
SITE PLAN APPLICATION FEES:
圆 SITE PLAN $(\$ 250.00+\$ 20.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ AMENDED SITE PLAN/ELEVATIONS/LANDSCAPING PLAN (\$100.00)

## ZONING APPLICATION FEES:

$\square$ ZONING CHANGE $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ SPECIFIC USE PERMIT ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE $)$
$\square$ PD DEVELOPMENT PLANS $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
OTHER APPLICATION FEES:

- TREE REMOVAL (\$75.00)
$\square$ VARIANCE REQUEST ( $\$ 100.00$ )
NOTES:
!: $\operatorname{IN}$ DETERMINING THE FEE, PLEASE USE THE EXACT ACREAGE WHEN MULTIPLYING BY THE PER ACRE AMOUNT. FOR REQUESTS ON LESS THAN ONE ACRE, ROUND UP TO ONE (1) ACRE.

PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]
ADDRESS 1515 Corporate Crossing
SUBDIVISION Unplatted - John Lockhard Survey Abstract No. 134
general location West of intersection of Corporate Crossing and Capital Blvd
ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

| CURRENT ZONING | LI |  | CURRENT USE | Undeveloped |  |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROPOSED ZONING |  |  | PROPOSED USE | Warehouse |  |
| ACREAGE | 43.237 AC | LOTS [CURRENT] | N/A | LOTS [PROPOSED] | 1 |

$\square$ SITE PLANS AND PLATS: BY CHECKING THIS BOX YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT DUE TO THE PASSAGE OF HB3167 THE CITY NO LONGER HAS FLEXIBILITY WITH REGARD TO ITS APPROVAL PROCESS, AND FAILURE TO ADDRESS ANY OF STAFF'S COMMENTS BY THE DATE PROVIDED ON THE DEVELOPMENT CALENDAR WILL RESULTIN THE DENIAL OF YOUR CASE

OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINTICHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACTIORIGINAL SIGNATURES ARE REQUIRED]

| $\square$ OWNER | Hitt Family, L.P. | $\square$ APPLICANT | Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONTACT PERSON | L.R. Tipton | CONTACT PERSON | Dan Gallagher, P.E. |
| ADDRESS | 3412 S. FM 548 | ADDRESS | 13455 Noel Road |
|  |  |  | Suite 700 |
| CITY, STATE \& ZIP | Royse City, TX 75189 | CITY, STATE \& ZIP | Dallas, TX 75240 |
| PHONE | 214-213-5087 | PHONE | 972-776-1780 |
| E-MAIL | Irtip@yahoo.com | E-MAIL | dan.gallagher@kimley-horn.com |

NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUIRED]
BEFORE ME, THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHORITY, ON THIS DAY PERSONALLY APPEARED Lyadel 1 ipror STATED THE INFORMATION ON THIS APPLICATION TO BE TRUE AND CERTIFIED THE FOLLOWING:

IHEREBY CERTIFY THAT I AM THE OWNER FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS APPLICATION: ALL INFORMATION SUBMITTED HEREIN IS TRUE AND CORRECT:-AND THE APPLICATION FEE OF



City of Rockwall







## DIGITAL FINISHES LEGEND:

1. STONE ST-1: COLOR \& PATTERN TO MATCH CORONADO - ELEMENT LEDGESTONE: WHITE
2. STONE ST-2: COLOR \& PATTERN TO MATCH CORONADO - ELEMENT LEDGESTONE: SILVER ASH
3. FRAMES AS ALTERNATE: BLACK ANODIZED AL-2
4. VITRO - SOLARGRAY GL-1
5. PAINT 1- FIELD COLOR TO MATCH SW 7005 (255-C1): PURE WHITE
6. PAINT 2- ACCENT COLOR TO MATCH SW 6168 (258-C6) MODERNE WHITE
7. PAINT 3- ACCENT COLOR TO MATCH SW 7017 (244-C3) DORIAN GRAY.
8. PAINT 4- ACCENT COLOR TO MATCH SW 7018 (244-C5) DOVETAIL


EXTERIOR

|  |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |




01 SOUTH ELEVATION cont'd


01 SOUTH ELEVATION cont'd











| Rockwall Industrial－city of Rockwall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Nugber } \\ \text { Num } \end{array}$ | DBH | common | Scientific | Condition | Trunk | Action | class | Replacement Ratio | Mitigation Required |
| － 108 |  | ${ }_{\text {Haex }}$ |  | Heathv | ${ }_{\text {Sneme }}^{\substack{\text { singe }}}$ | Remone | seonder |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{15}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { maxa } \\ \text { max }}}$ |  |  | Sine |  | Uneoteced | $\xrightarrow{\text { N／}}$ | N／8． |
| ${ }_{1088}$ | ${ }^{12}$ |  | Cals Serosata | Heal | Singe | Remove | seoman | ${ }_{51}$ | ${ }_{64}$ |
| ${ }^{1699}$ | ${ }^{11}$ |  | Seande | Hentern | cote | Remove | Seond | ${ }_{\text {s．}}^{51}$ | ¢8， |
| $\stackrel{1611}{ }$ |  |  |  | Heathy | Ssinge |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1612}$ | 2 |  | Cels seomasa | Heathy | Singe | emove |  | 51 |  |
| ${ }^{1633}$ |  | deay | deme | Heathr | matie |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1045}$ | ${ }^{40}$ |  |  |  | Since |  |  | ${ }_{\text {I1 }}^{\text {L1 }}$ |  |
| ${ }^{1065}$ | ${ }_{84}$ | Heratesclub |  | feeme |  | ， |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1617}$ | 96 | Hecruses．Olu |  | Heathr | bled | Renove | pirimar | ${ }_{11}^{11}$ |  |
| －1618 | 79 | Hecues | zemonum oienemer | Heathv | Fored | Remove | Pimar | ${ }_{5}^{11}$ | 19 |
| 150 | 14 | empeceatr | Umens wimmara | Heathy | Mutit | Presene | secondar | ${ }_{51}$ | N／ |
| ${ }^{1021}$ | 12 | Easemeneceasar | wemm |  |  | Preaen |  |  |  |
| 1023 |  | ateme |  |  | Sme |  | 兂 |  |  |
| ${ }^{1624}$ | ${ }^{126}$ | Esisem easecasar | Jumpess yminma | Heathy | Mulit | Remore | Seonden | ${ }_{51}$ | ${ }_{6}^{63}$ |
| （1038 |  |  | Sumpes uspana | ${ }_{\text {Heathy }}^{\substack{\text { Heathy } \\ \text { telt }}}$ | Sine | eve |  |  |  |
|  | 6 | Hesules club |  | Heathy | ${ }_{\text {foreded }}$ | Presene | Pimar | ${ }_{11}$ | N／A |
| 1088 | ${ }_{5}^{54}$ | Hecues | 为 |  |  |  | Prmar |  |  |
| 1180 | 48 | Herulus Oub $^{\text {a }}$ | Zantopum doanemeclis | Heathr | Forled | Presese | Pimar | ${ }_{1}^{11}$ | N／A |
| $\stackrel{1}{1081}$ | ${ }_{\text {10．}}^{10.0}$ | $\substack{\text { Hedemen } \\ \text { Hexus ciub }}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { teathy } \\ \text { Heathy }}}^{\text {Hex }}$ | Snige | ${ }_{\text {Prease }}^{\text {Prese }}$ | Pimar | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{51}$ |  |
| 1103 | 12. | Haskerery | Calls saevasal |  |  |  | secomar |  |  |
| ${ }^{1} 8$ | ， | emm | Soinme ma | Heathy | 隹 | Presen | Prmar | ${ }_{\text {L1 }}^{4}$ | N／A |
| ${ }^{1686}$ | ${ }_{12}$ | Ash | mis memsmename | Healty | Singe | Presene | Pimar | ${ }_{11}^{11}$ | N／A |
| ${ }^{1637}$ | ${ }^{116}$ |  | Ummus uni | Heathr | Foted | Presene | Pimar | ${ }_{\text {l1 }}^{\text {l1 }}$ |  |
| $\stackrel{1059}{ }$ | 10 | Hathem | Colts ementas | ${ }_{\text {deathe }}^{\text {dealnme }}$ | Sinle | Pesesene | mporecele | St |  |
| ${ }^{1660}$ | 11. |  | Cols inemae | Heathr | Sinle | Presene | secondar | ${ }_{5}^{51}$ | N／A |
| ${ }^{1662}$ | ${ }^{128}$ |  | Caths amesata | Heathy | Mutit | Presene | Secondar | ${ }_{51}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{127}$ | emy | Catus sempasa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1065}$ |  | 边 | haevate | Healty |  | Preaene | andan | 51 |  |
| ${ }^{1666}$ | ${ }^{12}$ |  |  | Heathr | Fored | Presese | econdar | ${ }_{51}$ | N／A |
|  |  | Heaterem | heosea | Heathr | Mutit | Presee | seoondar |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {Hat }}$ |  | 为 | Heathy | Mutit | Presene |  | ${ }_{\text {s．t }}^{51}$ |  |
|  | 68 | Cminesem | Umms semotis | Heattry |  |  | Pimar |  | N／A |
| \％ 15 | ${ }^{11}$ |  | 为为 | Heathr | Sniel | Presene |  | ${ }_{51}^{51}$ |  |
| ${ }^{1653}$ | 110 | Esasmenecocosr | Jommens whmene | Healty | mutil | Presene | secondar | 51 | N／A |
|  | ${ }^{118}$ |  |  | Heemethe | Sniel | Presene | seoconar | ${ }_{51}$ | N／A |
| ${ }^{12656}$ | 21. | Heamam | fremata | Healty | Sinse | ${ }^{\text {Preseneme }}$ | manar | ${ }_{51}$ |  |
| ${ }^{1657}$ | 47 | ${ }^{\text {ash }}$ | Semms semensmance | Heathr | Fored | Presese | Pimar | ${ }^{11}$ | N／A |
| ${ }^{1658}$ | ${ }^{4 .}$ | Gieman | Fersmememen |  | Snise |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {ckes }}$ | ${ }^{10}$ | mencosid | Jumens mimmam | Heathy | ¢ | ${ }_{\text {Prease }}$ |  | ${ }_{51}$ |  |
| ${ }^{2068}$ | 4 | anse cue |  | Heattry | Fored | Presene | Pimar | ${ }^{1.1}$ |  |
| 22088 |  | 何mer | Umms beba |  | Smit |  |  |  |  |
| 2208 | ${ }^{6}$ | Uasclub |  | Heathr | Sinie | Presene | Pimar | ${ }_{11}$ | N／A |
| 2000 | ${ }^{130}$ |  |  | Hexter | Sine | ${ }^{\text {Presese }}$ |  | 51 |  |
| 202 | 5. | Gienash | Faruss emenswar | Heathy | Sinje | Presese | Pimar | ${ }_{1}^{11}$ |  |
| 203 | 5 |  | Umins siem | Heathy | 速 | Presen | Pimar | ${ }^{11}$ |  |
| ${ }^{2055}$ | ${ }_{6}$ | emash | Framus semsmenera | Heatty | male | Presene | Pimar |  |  |
| ${ }^{2006}$ | 40 | Seman | Findem | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { Health }}}_{\text {Heathy }}$ | Ster | ${ }^{\text {Presere }}$ | Primar | ${ }_{41}^{14}$ | N／A |
| 208 | ${ }^{43}$ | Geman | Framus ememsmancas | Heathy | Sinfe | Presere | Primar | ${ }_{11}^{11}$ |  |
| 2090 | ${ }_{62}^{42}$ | Sesme |  | Heathy | Sinle | ${ }^{\text {Presene }}$ | Pimar | ${ }_{\text {l1 }}^{11}$ | N／A |
| 2001 |  | mann | ${ }_{\text {Fexmus semss }}$ | Heathy | Sinfe | Presene | primar | ${ }_{11}^{11}$ |  |
| ${ }^{202}$ | ${ }^{43}$ | sipeey lim | Unims mbe | ${ }_{\text {Heathy }}$ | Snole | Presen | Primar | $\frac{11}{11}$ | N／A |
| 204 |  |  | Is smms | teathy |  | Presene | mav | ${ }_{11}$ |  |
| ${ }^{205}$ | 5.7 | Gieen Ash | Faxams ememsmencea | Heathr | Sinde | Presene | Pimar | ${ }_{11}^{11}$ | N／A |
| $\stackrel{\substack{206 \\ 207}}{207}$ | ${ }^{827}$ |  | Umsmber |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Prease }}^{\text {Prese }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Primar }}^{\text {Pimar }}$ | ${ }_{\text {lit }}^{\text {lit }}$ |  |
| 208 | 4. |  | Framus emsmemam | Heathy | Sinde | Esene | may | ${ }^{11}$ |  |
| 2209 |  | amant | Frams memens | Heathy | ${ }_{\text {Singe }}$ | Presene | Prmar |  |  |
| ${ }_{2111}^{211}$ | ${ }^{134}$ | Siemash | manca | Heathy | Sorsed | Presene | Pmiman | ${ }_{1}$ |  |
|  |  | Stem |  |  | Singe |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{63}$ | meq Em | Umus moma | ${ }_{\text {coenthy }}$ Heathy | Sinde | Presene | Primar | ${ }_{1.1}^{1.1}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{NA}}{ }$ |
|  |  |  | Umus sube | Heathy | Smate | Presen | Pimar | ${ }^{11}$ |  |
| ${ }_{2117}^{217}$ | ${ }_{4}{ }^{1}$ | Hecruss cue |  | Deceling | Smine |  |  | N／A | N／A |
| ${ }^{2118}$ |  |  | asman | leathy |  | Resene | emar | ．11 |  |
| 2199 <br> 2120 <br> 2 | ${ }^{80}$ |  | fremems emswence |  | cine | ${ }_{\text {Prease }}{ }^{\text {Presese }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Primar }}^{\text {Primar }}$ | ${ }_{\text {H11 }}^{\text {H1，}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N／}}{\text { N／}}$ |
| 221 |  |  |  | Heattry | fored | Presene | Pinar | ${ }^{11}$ |  |
| 2122 <br> 203 <br> 1 | ${ }_{8.6}$ |  | Unsememe | $\substack{\text { Healihy } \\ \text { Healty }}$ | ${ }_{\text {S }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Prease }}^{\text {Presene }}$ |  | ${ }_{11}^{11}$ | N／A |
| ${ }^{225}$ |  | Geem Ash | ars | Heathy | cin | deeme |  |  |  |
| $\frac{2025}{22025}$ | ${ }^{13}$ | Reacem |  | Heathr | Matil | ${ }^{\text {resenve }}$ | seocond | ${ }_{\text {si }}^{51}$ |  |
| 2128 |  | dem | Smsemmsmonca | teeathy | ded | （resene |  |  |  |
| 229 | ${ }^{88}$ | Sien anc |  |  | $\substack{\text { mut } \\ \text { Sind }}$ |  |  |  | N／A |



NOTES BY SYMBOL " $\square^{\circ}$

| TVE | Discriptoon | Lamps | Manveaturer/Catalog number | bug rating | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51 | 2 HEAD LED AREA LIGHT WITH (1) TYPE T4M DISTRIBUTION HEAD AND (1) TYPE 2 M DISTRIBUTION HEAD MOUNTED AT 180 DEGREES ON 25 ' STRAIGHT SQUARE 2M DISTRIBU |  | LITHONIA DSX2 LED-P5-40K-T4M-MVOLT-SPA-PIRH-DDBXD AMERICAN LITE POLE SNS-25-40-7-AB-DB-D28-BC |  |  |
| 52 | IED AREA LIGHT WITH TYPE 2M DISTRIBUTION MOUNTED ON 25' STRAIGHT SQUARE STEEEL POLE. |  | ITHONIA DSX2 LED-P5-40K-T2M-MVOLT-SPA-PIRH-DDBXD <br> AMERICAN LITE POLE SNS-25-40-7-AB-DB-D19-BC | 84.40 .64 |  |
| s2-w | SIMILAR TO TYPE 'S2' EXCEPT BUIDING MOUNTED AT 30' ABOVE FINISHED GRAD |  |  | ${ }^{8} 4.40$ |  |
| ${ }_{53}$ | (2) HEAD LED AREA LIGHT WITH (2) TYPE 2M DISTRIBUTION HEADS MOUNTED AT 180 DEGREES ON 25 ' STRAIGHT SQUARE STEEL POLE. |  | ITHONIA DSX2 LED-P5-40K-T2M-MVOLT-SPA-PIRH-DDBXD AMERICAN LITE POLE SNS-25-40-7-AB-DB-D28-BC | ${ }^{82} 2.0 .05$ |  |
| ${ }^{54}$ | LED AREA LIGHT WITH TYPE T4M DISTRIBUTION MOUNTED ON 25' STRAIGH SQUARE STEEEL POLE. | $\begin{gathered} 321 \mathrm{~W} \\ \text { 4000K-LED } \end{gathered}$ | ITHONIA DSX2 LED-P5-40K-T4M-MVOLT-SPA-PIRH-DDBXD AMERICAN LITE POLE SNS-25-40-7-AB-DB-D19-BC | ${ }^{84} 40.65$ |  |
| s4w | LED AREA LIGHT WITH TYPE T4M DISTRIBUTION AND WALL MOUNTING BRACKET MOUNTED AT 30 ' ABOVE FINISHED GRADE |  |  | ${ }^{84} 40.65$ |  |
| ${ }^{55}$ | LED AREA LIGHT WITH TYPE BLC DISTRIBUTION MOUNTED ON 25' STRAIGHT SQUARE STEEEL POLE. | $\begin{gathered} 321 \mathrm{~W} \\ 4000 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{LED} \end{gathered}$ | LITHONIA DSX2 LED-P5-4OK-BLC-MVOLT-SPA-PIRH-DDBXD AMERICAN LITE POLE SNS-25-40-7-AB-DB-D19-BC | ${ }_{\text {bs.V.0.65 }}$ |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ On April 22, 2021, the City of Rockwall issued permit RES2021-1285 approving the construction of an 8-foot cedar fence from the Northeast corner of the property to the front corner of the house. See Exhibit B.

[^1]:    $$
    \square
    $$

[^2]:    08/24/2021: Z2021-035; Change of Zoning from AG to NS
    Please address the following comments ( $\mathrm{M}=$ Mandatory Comments; $\mathrm{I}=$ Informational Comments)

